6.10.4 Appending Constraints

Now suppose we want to add a new stage to the production process called Quality control for which 30000 minutes are available. The time requirement for this stage is shown below:

Product no.	Quality control (minutes)
0	1
1	2
2	1
3	1

This corresponds to adding the constraint

$$x_0 + 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \quad 30000$$

to the problem. This is done as follows.

Listing 6.20: Adding a new constraint.

```
prob.a      = [prob.a;sparse([1.0 2.0 1.0 1.0])];
prob.blc    = [prob.blc; -inf];
prob.buc    = [prob.buc; 30000.0];
```

Again, we can continue with re-optimizing the modi ed problem.

6.10.5 Changing bounds

One typical reoptimization scenario is to change bounds. Suppose for instance that we must operate with limited time resources, and we must change the upper bounds in the problem as follows:

Operation	Time available (before)	Time available (new)
Assembly	100000	80000
Polishing	50000	40000
Packing	60000	50000
Quality control	30000	22000

That means we would like to solve the problem:

$$\begin{array}{lrcrcrcrl} \text{maximize} & 1.5x_0 & + & 2.5x_1 & + & 3.0x_2 & + & 1.0x_3 & \\ \text{subject to} & 3x_0 & + & 4x_1 & + & 3x_2 & + & 4x_3 & 80000, \\ & 3x_0 & + & 2x_1 & + & 3x_2 & & & 40000, \\ & 2x_0 & + & 3x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & 1x_3 & 50000, \\ & x_0 & + & 2x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & 22000 \end{array} \tag{6.27}$$

In this case all we need to do is rede ne the upper bound vector for the constraints, as shown in the next listing.

Listing 6.21: Change constraint bounds.

```
prob.buc    = [80000 40000 50000 22000]';
prob.sol    = res.sol;
[r,res] = mosekopt( 'maximize',prob,param);
res.sol.bas.xx
```

Again, we can continue with re-optimizing the modi ed problem.

6.10.6 Advanced hot-start

In order to exploit the possibility of hot-starting the simplex algorithms it is necessary to pass the old basic solution when the modi ed problem is re-optimized. Without this operation the optimizer will simply start from scratch. Any subset of the basic solution may be provided, but to achieve the best results all elds of res.sol.bas should be present, that is xx,xc,y,slx,sux,slc,suc,skx,skc .

Listing 6.22: Passing the full basic solution.

```
% Reoptimize with changed coefficient
% Use previous solution to perform very simple hot-start.
% This part can be skipped, but then the optimizer will start
% from scratch on the new problem, i.e. without any hot-start.
prob.sol = [];
prob.sol.bas = res.sol.bas;
[r,res] = mosekopt('maximize',prob,param);
res.sol.bas.xx
```

If the dimensions of the problem (number of variables, constraints) have changed, the lengths of all fields have to be adjusted to be compatible with the reformulated problem. For example, here is an adjustment when adding a new variable:

Listing 6.23: Adjusting lengths in the solution fields related to variables.

```
% Reoptimize with a new variable and hot-start
% All parts of the solution must be extended to the new dimensions.
prob.sol = [];
prob.sol.bas = res.sol.bas;
prob.sol.bas.xx  = [prob.sol.bas.xx; 0.0];
prob.sol.bas.slx = [prob.sol.bas.slx; 0.0];
prob.sol.bas.sux = [prob.sol.bas.sux; 0.0];
prob.sol.bas.skx = [prob.sol.bas.skx; 'UN'];
[r,res] = mosekopt('maximize',prob,param);
res.sol.bas.xx
```

If the optimizer used the data from the previous run to hot-start the optimizer for reoptimization, this will be indicated in the log:

```
Optimizer  - hotstart               : yes
```

When performing re-optimizations, instead of removing a basic variable it may be more efficient to fix the variable at zero and then remove it when the problem is re-optimized and it has left the basis. This makes it easier for **MOSEK** to restart the simplex optimizer.

A more advanced discussion of hot-start is presented in Sec. 9.2.

For a more in-depth treatment see the following sections:

- *Case studies* for more advanced and complicated optimization examples.
- *Problem Formulation and Solutions* for formal mathematical formulations of problems **MOSEK** can solve, dual problems and infeasibility certificates.

Chapter 7

Solver Interaction Tutorials

In this section we cover the interaction with the solver.

7.1 Accessing the solution

This section contains important information about the status of the solver and the status of the solution, which must be checked in order to properly interpret the results of the optimization.

7.1.1 Solver termination

The optimizer provides a **response code** of type `rescode`, relevant for error handling. It indicates if any errors occurred in any phase of optimization (including processing input data). It will also indicate system-related errors (such as an out of memory error, licensing error etc.). Finally, it will also indicate if the optimizer terminated correctly, but for a non-standard reason, for example because it reached a time limit or met another criterion set by the user. Such termination codes are not errors. The expected value for a typical successful optimization without any special settings is `"MSK_RES_OK"`.

If a runtime error causes the program to crash during optimization, the first debugging step is to enable logging and check the log output. See Sec. 7.3.

If the optimization completes successfully, the next step is to check the solution status, as explained below.

7.1.2 Available solutions

MOSEK uses three kinds of optimizers and provides three types of solutions:

- **basic solution** from the simplex optimizer,
- **interior-point solution** from the interior-point optimizer,
- **integer solution** from the mixed-integer optimizer.

Under standard parameters settings the following solutions will be available for various problem types:

Table 7.1: Types of solutions available from **MOSEK**

	Simplex optimizer	Interior-point optimizer	Mixed-integer optimizer
Linear problem	res.sol.bas	res.sol.itr	
Nonlinear continuous problem		res.sol.itr	
Problem with integer variables			res.sol.int

For linear problems the user can force a specific optimizer choice making only one of the two solutions available. For example, if the user disables basis identification, then only the interior point solution will be available for a linear problem. Numerical issues may cause one of the solutions to be unknown even if another one is feasible.

Not all components of a solution are always available. For example, there is no dual solution for integer problems and no dual conic variables from the simplex optimizer.

The user will always need to specify which solution should be accessed.

7.1.3 Problem and solution status

Assuming that the optimization terminated without errors, the next important step is to check the problem and solution status. There is one for every type of solution, as explained above.

Problem status

Problem status (*prosta*) determines whether the problem is certified as feasible. Its values can roughly be divided into the following broad categories:

- **feasible** — the problem is feasible. For continuous problems and when the solver is run with default parameters, the feasibility status should ideally be *"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS"*.
- **primal/dual infeasible** — the problem is infeasible or unbounded or a combination of those. The exact problem status will indicate the type of infeasibility.
- **unknown** — the solver was unable to reach a conclusion, most likely due to numerical issues.

Solution status

Solution status (*solsta*) provides the information about what the solution values actually contain. The most important broad categories of values are:

- **optimal** (*"MSK_SOL_STA_OPTIMAL"*) — the solution values are feasible and optimal.
- **certificate** — the solution is in fact a certificate of infeasibility (primal or dual, depending on the solution).
- **unknown/undefined** — the solver could not solve the problem or this type of solution is not available for a given problem.

Problem and solution status can be found in the fields prosta and solsta of a solution structure *solution*, for instance res.sol.itr.prosta, res.sol.itr.solsta for the interior-point solution.

The solution status determines the action to be taken. For example, in some cases a suboptimal solution may still be valuable and deserve attention. It is the user's responsibility to check the status and quality of the solution.

Typical status reports

Here are the most typical optimization outcomes described in terms of the problem and solution statuses. Note that these do not cover all possible situations that can occur.

Table 7.2: Continuous problems (solution status for interior-point and basic solution)

Outcome	Problem status	Solution status
Optimal	*"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_OPTIMAL"*
Primal infeasible	*"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_INFEAS"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_INFEAS_CER"*
Dual infeasible (unbounded)	*"MSK_PRO_STA_DUAL_INFEAS"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_INFEAS_CER"*
Uncertain (stall, numerical issues, etc.)	*"MSK_PRO_STA_UNKNOWN"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_UNKNOWN"*

Table 7.3: Integer problems (solution status for integer solution, others undefined)

Outcome	Problem status	Solution status
Integer optimal	*"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_FEAS"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_INTEGER_OPTIMAL"*
Infeasible	*"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_INFEAS"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_UNKNOWN"*
Integer feasible point	*"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_FEAS"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_FEAS"*
No conclusion	*"MSK_PRO_STA_UNKNOWN"*	*"MSK_SOL_STA_UNKNOWN"*

7.1.4 Retrieving solution values

After the meaning and quality of the solution (or certificate) have been established, we can query for the actual numerical values. They can be accessed using:

- res.sol.itr.pobjval , res.sol.itr.dobjval — the primal and dual objective value.
- res.sol.itr.xx — solution values for the variables.
- res.sol.itr.y , res.sol.itr.slx and so on — dual values for the linear constraints

and many other fields of the *solution* structure (replace itr with bas or int for other solution types). Note that if the optimization failed then the res.sol field may not exist and attempting to access it will cause an error.

7.1.5 Source code example

Below is a source code example with a simple framework for assessing and retrieving the solution to a conic optimization problem.

Listing 7.1: Sample framework for checking optimization result.

```
function response(inputfile)

  cmd = sprintf('read(%s)', inputfile)
  % In this example we read the problem from file
  [r, res] = mosekopt(cmd)

  % Read was successful
  if strcmp(res.rcodestr, 'MSK_RES_OK')

      prob = res.prob;
      param = []

      % (Optionally) Uncomment the next line to get solution status Unknown
      % param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_ITERATIONS = 1

      % Perform the optimization.
      [r, res] = mosekopt('minimize', prob, param);

      % Expected result: The solution status of the interior-point solution is optimal.

      % Check if we have non-error termination code or OK
      if isempty(strfind(res.rcodestr, 'MSK_RES_ERR'))

          solsta = strcat('MSK_SOL_STA_', res.sol.itr.solsta)

          if strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_OPTIMAL')
              fprintf('An optimal interior-point solution is located:\n');
              res.sol.itr.xx

          elseif strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_INFEASIBLE_CER')
              fprintf('Dual infeasibility certificate found.');

          elseif strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_PRIMAL_INFEASIBLE_CER')
              fprintf('Primal infeasibility certificate found.');

          elseif strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_UNKNOWN')
              % The solutions status is unknown. The termination code
              % indicates why the optimizer terminated prematurely.
              fprintf('The solution status is unknown.\n');
              fprintf('Termination code: %s (%d) %s.\n', res.rcodestr, res.rcode, res.rmsg);
          else
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
                fprintf('An unexpected solution status is obtained.');
            end

        else
            fprintf('Error during optimization: %s (%d) %s.\n', res.rcodestr, res.rcode, res.
↵ rmsg);
        end

    else
        fprintf('Could not read input file, error: %s (%d) %s.\n', res.rcodestr, res.rcode, res.
↵ rmsg)
    end

end
```

7.2 Errors and exceptions

Response codes

The function mosekopt and its variants return a response code (and its human-readable description), informing if optimization was performed correctly, and if not, what error occurred. The expected response, indicating successful execution, is always 'MSK_RES_OK'. Typical errors include:

- referencing a nonexisting variable (for example with too large index),
- incompatible dimensions of input data matrices,
- NaN in the input data,
- duplicate conic variable,
- error in the optimizer.

Some errors in data preprocessing, such as incorrect command or wrong parameter value will result in mosekopt exiting without assigning output; the error message will just be printed out. For this reason it may be a good idea to call mosekopt in a try-catch block. A full list of response codes, error, warning and termination codes can be found in the API reference. For example, the following code

```
prob.a = sparse(0,1);
prob.c = [NaN];
[r, res] = mosekopt('minimize', prob);
res
```

will produce as output:

```
res =

    rcode: 1470
     rmsg: 'The objective vector c contains an invalid value for variable '' (0).'
rcodestr: 'MSK_RES_ERR_NAN_IN_C'
```

Optimizer errors and warnings

The optimizer may also produce warning messages. They indicate non-critical but important events, that will not prevent solver execution, but may be an indication that something in the optimization problem might be improved. Warning messages are normally printed to a log stream (see Sec. 7.3). A typical warning is, for example:

```
MOSEK warning 53: A numerically large upper bound value 6.6e+09 is specified for constraint
↵ 'C69200' (46020).
```

Warnings can also be suppressed by setting the *MSK_IPAR_MAX_NUM_WARNINGS* parameter to zero, if they are well-understood.

Error and solution status handling example

Below is a source code example with a simple framework for handling major errors when assessing and retrieving the solution to a conic optimization problem.

Listing 7.2: Sample framework for checking optimization result.

```
function response(inputfile)

  cmd = sprintf('read(%s)', inputfile)
  % In this example we read the problem from file
  [r, res] = mosekopt(cmd)

  % Read was successful
  if strcmp(res.rcodestr, 'MSK_RES_OK')

      prob = res.prob;
      param = []

      % (Optionally) Uncomment the next line to get solution status Unknown
      % param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_ITERATIONS = 1

      % Perform the optimization.
      [r, res] = mosekopt('minimize', prob, param);

      % Expected result: The solution status of the interior-point solution is optimal.

      % Check if we have non-error termination code or OK
      if isempty(strfind(res.rcodestr, 'MSK_RES_ERR'))

          solsta = strcat('MSK_SOL_STA_', res.sol.itr.solsta)

          if strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_OPTIMAL')
              fprintf('An optimal interior-point solution is located:\n');
              res.sol.itr.xx

          elseif strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_INFEASIBLE_CER')
              fprintf('Dual infeasibility certificate found.');

          elseif strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_PRIMAL_INFEASIBLE_CER')
              fprintf('Primal infeasibility certificate found.');

          elseif strcmp(solsta, 'MSK_SOL_STA_UNKNOWN')
              % The solutions status is unknown. The termination code
              % indicates why the optimizer terminated prematurely.
              fprintf('The solution status is unknown.\n');
              fprintf('Termination code: %s (%d) %s.\n', res.rcodestr, res.rcode, res.rmsg);
          else
            fprintf('An unexpected solution status is obtained.');
          end

      else
          fprintf('Error during optimization: %s (%d) %s.\n', res.rcodestr, res.rcode, res.
↪rmsg);
      end

  else
      fprintf('Could not read input file, error: %s (%d) %s.\n', res.rcodestr, res.rcode, res.
↪rmsg)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
  end

end
```

7.3 Input/Output

7.3.1 Stream logging

By default the solver prints a log output analogous to the one produced by the command-line version of MOSEK . Logging may be turned o using the command echo(0) , for example:

```
[r, res] = mosekopt( ' minimize echo(0) ' , prob);
```

Log output may be redirected to a le using the command log , for example:

```
[r, res] = mosekopt( ' minimize log(fileName.txt) ' , prob);
```

Note that in recent versions of MATLAB the log is not displayed on screen until optimization is completed, which may be an inconvenience for longer tasks. The log written to a le does not have this issue.

Note also that leaving log output on can lead to a dramatic slowdown, visible especially on very small problems.

It is also possible to register a user-de ned callback function that will receive and handle all log output, see the callback argument of mosekopt.

7.3.2 Log verbosity

The logging verbosity can be controlled by setting the relevant parameters, as for instance

- MSK_IPAR_LOG,
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT,
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO,
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT,
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM, and
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_MINOR

Each parameter controls the output level of a speci c functionality or algorithm. The main switch is MSK_IPAR_LOG which a ect the whole output. The actual log level for a speci c functionality is determined as the minimum between MSK_IPAR_LOG and the relevant parameter. For instance, the log level for the output produce by the interior-point algorithm is tuned by the MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT, the actual log level is de ned by the minimum between MSK_IPAR_LOG and MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT.

Tuning the solver verbosity may require adjusting several parameters. It must be noticed that verbose logging is supposed to be of interest during debugging and tuning. When output is no more of interest, the user can easily disable it globally with MSK_IPAR_LOG. Larger values of MSK_IPAR_LOG do not necessarily result in increased output.

By default MOSEK will reduce the amount of log information after the rst optimization on a given problem. To get full log output on subsequent re-optimizations set MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT to zero.

7.3.3 Saving a problem to a le

An optimization problem can be dumped to a le using the command write . The le format will be determined from the lename's extension. Supported formats are listed in Sec. 16 together with a table of problem types supported by each.

For instance the problem can be written to an OPF le with

```
[r, res] = mosekopt('write(dump.opf)', prob);
```

All formats can be compressed with gzip by appending the .gz extension, for example

```
[r, res] = mosekopt('write(dump.task.gz)', prob);
```

When using MATLAB-like functions the file name can be set using the options structure, for example:

```
opt.Write = 'problem.opf';
linprog(f,A,b,[],[],[],[],opt);
```

Some remarks:

- The problem is written to the file as it is represented in the underlying *optimizer task*, that is including any auxiliary variables introduced by the MATLAB-to-C interface, if applicable.
- Unnamed variables are given generic names. It is therefore recommended to use meaningful variable names if the problem file is meant to be human-readable.
- The task format is **MOSEK**'s native file format which contains all the problem data as well as solver settings.

7.3.4 Reading a problem from a file

A problem saved in any of the supported file formats can be read directly into a *prob* structure using the command read. Afterwards the problem can be optimized, modified, etc.

```
[r, res] = mosekopt('read(dump.opf.gz)');
prob = res.prob;
```

7.4 Setting solver parameters

MOSEK comes with a large number of parameters that allows the user to tune the behavior of the optimizer. The typical settings which can be changed with solver parameters include:

- choice of the optimizer for linear problems,
- choice of primal/dual solver,
- turning presolve on/off,
- turning heuristics in the mixed-integer optimizer on/off,
- level of multi-threading,
- feasibility tolerances,
- solver termination criteria,
- behaviour of the license manager,

and more. All parameters have default settings which will be suitable for most typical users.
The API reference contains:

- *Full list of parameters*
- *List of parameters grouped by topic*

Setting parameters

Each parameter is identified by a unique name and it can accept either integers, floating point values, symbolic strings or symbolic values. Parameters are set in the structure param and passed as a separate argument to *mosekopt*.

Some parameters can accept symbolic strings or symbolic values from a fixed set. The set of accepted values for every parameter is provided in the API reference.

For example, the following piece of code sets up parameters which choose and tune the interior point optimizer before solving a problem.

Listing 7.3: Parameter setting example.

```
% Set log level (integer parameter)
param.MSK_IPAR_LOG = 1;
% Select interior-point optimizer... (integer parameter)
param.MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER = 'MSK_OPTIMIZER_INTPNT';
% ... without basis identification (integer parameter)
param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS = 'MSK_BI_NEVER';
% Set relative gap tolerance (double parameter)
param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP = 1.0e-7;

% Use in mosekopt
[r,resp] = mosekopt('minimize', prob, param);
```

7.5 Retrieving information items

After the optimization the user has access to the solution as well as to a report containing a large amount of additional *information items*. For example, one can obtain information about:

- **timing**: total optimization time, time spent in various optimizer subroutines, number of iterations, etc.
- **solution quality**: feasibility measures, solution norms, constraint and bound violations, etc.
- **problem structure**: counts of variables of different types, constraints, nonzeros, etc.
- **integer optimizer**: integrality gap, objective bound, number of cuts, etc.

and more. Information items are numerical values of integer, long integer or double type. The full list can be found in the API reference:

- *Double*
- *Integer*
- *Long*

Certain information items make sense, and are made available, also *during* the optimization process. They can be accessed from a callback function, see Sec. 7.6 for details.

Remark

For efficiency reasons, not all information items are automatically computed after optimization. To force all information items to be updated use the parameter *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO*.

Retrieving the values

Values of information items are only returned if the info command is used in *mosekopt*. They are available in the field res.info .

Each information item is identified by a unique name. The example below reads two pieces of data from the solver: total optimization time and the number of interior-point iterations.

Listing 7.4: Information items example.

```
[r,res] = mosekopt('minimize info', prob);

res.info.MSK_DINF_OPTIMIZER_TIME
res.info.MSK_IINF_INTPNT_ITER
```

7.6 Progress and data callback

Callbacks are a very useful mechanism that allow the caller to track the progress of the **MOSEK** optimizer. A callback function provided by the user is regularly called during the optimization and can be used to

- obtain a customized log of the solver execution,
- collect information for debugging purposes or
- ask the solver to terminate.

7.6.1 Data callback

In the data callback **MOSEK** passes a callback code and values of all information items to a user-defined function. The callback function is called, in particular, at the beginning of each iteration of the interior-point optimizer. For the simplex optimizers *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ* controls how frequently the call-back is called. Note that the callback is done quite frequently, which can lead to degraded performance. If the information items are not required, the simpler progress callback may be a better choice.

The callback is set by attaching a structure *callback* as a parameter in *mosekopt*. This structure specifies a global callback function and can contain arbitrary user-defined data.

7.6.2 Progress callback

In the progress callback **MOSEK** provides a single code indicating the current stage of the optimization process.

7.6.3 Working example: Data callback

The following example defines a data callback function that prints out some of the information items. It interrupts the solver after a certain time limit.

Listing 7.5: An example of a data callback function.

```
function [r] = callback_handler(handle,where,info)

r = 0;   % r should always be assigned a value.

if handle.symbcon.MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_INTPNT==where
    disp(sprintf('Interior point optimizer started\n'));
end

if handle.symbcon.MSK_CALLBACK_END_INTPNT==where
  disp(sprintf('Interior-point optimizer terminated\n'));
  disp(sprintf('Interior-point primal obj.: %e\n', info.MSK_DINF_INTPNT_PRIMAL_OBJ));
  disp(sprintf('Iterations: %d\n', info.MSK_IINF_INTPNT_ITER));
end

if handle.symbcon.MSK_CALLBACK_NEW_INT_MIO==where
  disp(sprintf('New mixed-integer solution found\n'));
  disp(sprintf('Best objective.: %e\n', info.MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_BOUND));
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
end

% Decide if to terminate the optimization
% Terminate when cputime > handle.maxtime
if info.MSK_DINF_INTPNT_TIME > handle.maxtime
  r = 1;
else
  r = 0;
end
```

Assuming that we have defined some problem prob the callback function is attached as follows:

Listing 7.6: Attaching the data callback function to the model.

```
% Define user defined handle.
[r,res]              = mosekopt('echo(0) symbcon');
data.maxtime         = 100.0;
data.symbcon         = res.symbcon;

callback.iter        = 'callback_handler';        % Defined in callback_handler.m
callback.iterhandle = data;

% Perform the optimization.
[r,res] = mosekopt('minimize echo(0)',prob,[],callback);
```

Chapter 8

Debugging Tutorials

This collection of tutorials contains basic techniques for debugging optimization problems using tools available in **MOSEK**: optimizer log, solution summary, infeasibility report, command-line tools. It is intended as a first line of technical help for issues such as: Why do I get solution status *unknown* and how can I fix it? Why is my model infeasible while it shouldn't be? Should I change some parameters? Can the model solve faster? etc.

The major steps when debugging a model are always:

- Consult the log output. It is enabled by default, but if neccessary switch it on explicitly with:

```
[r, res] = mosekopt('minimize echo(10)', prob);
```

- Run the optimization and analyze the log output, see Sec. 8.1. In particular:
  - check if the problem setup (number of constraints/variables etc.) matches your expectation.
  - check solution summary and solution status.
- Dump the problem to disk if necessary to continue analysis. See Sec. 7.3.3.
  - use a human-readable text format, such as *.opf if you want to check the problem structure by hand. Assign names to variables and constraints to make them easier to identify.

```
[r, res] = mosekopt('write(dump.opf)', prob);
```

  - use the **MOSEK** native format *.task.gz when submitting a bug report or support question.

```
[r, res] = mosekopt('write(dump.task.gz)', prob);
```

- Fix problem setup, improve the model, locate infeasibility or adjust parameters, depending on the diagnosis.

See the following sections for details.

8.1 Understanding optimizer log

The optimizer produces a log which splits roughly into four sections:

1. summary of the input data,
2. presolve and other pre-optimize problem setup stages,
3. actual optimizer iterations,
4. solution summary.

In this tutorial we show how to analyze the most important parts of the log when initially debugging a model: input data (1) and solution summary (4). For the iterations log (3) see Sec. 13.3.4 or Sec. 13.4.8.

8.1.1 Input data

If **MOSEK** behaves very far from expectations it may be due to errors in problem setup. The log file will begin with a summary of the structure of the problem, which looks for instance like:

```
Problem
  Name                   :
  Objective sense        : max
  Type                   : CONIC (conic optimization problem)
  Constraints            : 20413
  Cones                  : 2508
  Scalar variables       : 20414
  Matrix variables       : 0
  Integer variables      : 0
```

This can be consulted to eliminate simple errors: wrong objective sense, wrong number of variables etc. Note that Fusion, and third-party modeling tools can introduce additional variables and constraints to the model. In the remaining **MOSEK** APIs the problem dimensions should match exactly what the user specified.

If this is not sufficient a bit more information can be obtained by dumping the problem to a file (see Sec. 8) and using the anapro option of any of the command line tools. This will produce a longer summary similar to:

```
** Variables
scalar: 20414     integer: 0        matrix: 0
low: 2082         up: 5014          ranged: 0         free: 12892       fixed: 426

** Constraints
all: 20413
low: 10028        up: 0             ranged: 0         free: 0           fixed: 10385

** Cones
QUAD: 1           dims: 2865: 1
RQUAD: 2507       dims: 3: 2507

** Problem data (numerics)
|c|               nnz: 10028        min=2.09e-05      max=1.00e+00
|A|               nnz: 597023       min=1.17e-10      max=1.00e+00
blx               fin: 2508         min=-3.60e+09     max=2.75e+05
bux               fin: 5440         min=0.00e+00      max=2.94e+08
blc               fin: 20413        min=-7.61e+05     max=7.61e+05
buc               fin: 10385        min=-5.00e-01     max=0.00e+00
```

Again, this can be used to detect simple errors, such as:

- Wrong type of cone was used or it has wrong dimension.
- The bounds for variables or constraints are incorrect or incomplete.
- The model is otherwise incomplete.
- Suspicious values of coefficients.
- For various data sizes the model does not scale as expected.

Finally saving the problem in a human-friendly text format such as LP or OPF (see Sec. 8) and analyzing it by hand can reveal if the model is correct.

Warnings and errors

At this stage the user can encounter warnings which should not be ignored, unless they are well-understood. They can also serve as hints as to numerical issues with the problem data. A typical warning of this kind is

Solution status UNKNOWN with a potentially useful solution

Solution status UNKNOWN does not necessarily mean that the solution is completely useless. It only means that the solver was unable to make any more progress due to numerical difficulties, and it was not able to reach the accuracy required by the termination criteria (see Sec. 13.3.2). Consider for instance:

```
Problem status  : UNKNOWN
Solution status : UNKNOWN
Primal.  obj: 3.4531019648e+04    nrm: 1e+05    Viol.  con: 7e-02    var: 0e+00    cones: 0e+00
Dual.    obj: 3.4529720645e+04    nrm: 8e+03    Viol.  con: 1e-04    var: 2e-04    cones: 0e+00
```

Such a solution may still be useful, and it is always up to the user to decide. It may be a good enough approximation of the optimal point. For example, the large constraint violation may be due to the fact that one constraint contained a huge coefficient.

Infeasibility certificate

A primal infeasibility certificate is stored in the dual variables:

```
Problem status  : PRIMAL_INFEASIBLE
Solution status : PRIMAL_INFEASIBLE_CER
Dual.    obj: 2.9238975853e+02    nrm: 6e+02    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 1e-11    cones: 0e+00
```

It is a Farkas-type certificate as described in Sec. 12.2.2. In particular, for a good certificate:

- The dual objective is positive for a minimization problem, negative for a maximization problem. Ideally it is well bounded away from zero.
- The norm is not too big and the violations are small (as for a solution).

If the model was not expected to be infeasible, the likely cause is an error in the problem formulation. Use the hints in Sec. 8.1.1 and Sec. 8.3 to locate the issue.

Just like a solution, the infeasibility certificate can be of better or worse quality. The infeasibility certificate above is very solid. However, there can be less clear-cut cases, such as for example:

```
Problem status  : PRIMAL_INFEASIBLE
Solution status : PRIMAL_INFEASIBLE_CER
Dual.    obj: 1.6378689238e-06    nrm: 6e+05    Viol.  con: 7e-03    var: 2e-04    cones: 0e+00
```

This infeasibility certificate is more dubious because the dual objective is positive, but barely so in comparison with the large violations. It also has rather large norm. This is more likely an indication that the problem is borderline between feasibility and infeasibility or simply ill-posed and sensitive to tiny variations in input data. See Sec. 8.3 and Sec. 8.2.

The same remarks apply to dual infeasibility (i.e. unboundedness) certificates. Here the primal objective should be negative a minimization problem and positive for a maximization problem.

8.1.3 Mixed-integer problem

Optimal integer solution

For a mixed-integer problem there is no dual solution and a typical optimal solution report will look as follows:

```
Problem status  : PRIMAL_FEASIBLE
Solution status : INTEGER_OPTIMAL
Primal.  obj: 6.0111122960e+06    nrm: 1e+03    Viol.  con: 2e-13    var: 2e-14    itg: 5e-15
```

The interpretation of all elements is as for a continuous problem. The additional field itg denotes the maximum violation of an integer variable from being an exact integer.

Feasible integer solution

If the solver found an integer solution but did not prove optimality, for instance because of a time limit, the solution status will be PRIMAL_FEASIBLE

```
Problem status  : PRIMAL_FEASIBLE
Solution status : PRIMAL_FEASIBLE
Primal.  obj: 6.0114607792e+06    nrm: 1e+03    Viol.  con: 2e-13    var: 2e-13    itg: 4e-15
```

In this case it is valuable to go back to the optimizer summary to see how good the best solution is:

```
31       35       1        0        6.0114607792e+06     6.0078960892e+06     0.06        4.1

Objective of best integer solution : 6.011460779193e+06
Best objective bound               : 6.007896089225e+06
```

In this case the best integer solution found has objective value 6.011460779193e+06, the best proved lower bound is 6.007896089225e+06 and so the solution is guaranteed to be within 0.06% from optimum. The same data can be obtained as information items through an API. See also Sec. 13.4 for more details.

Infeasible problem

If the problem is declared infeasible the summary is simply

```
Problem status  : PRIMAL_INFEASIBLE
Solution status : UNKNOWN
Primal.  obj: 0.0000000000e+00    nrm: 0e+00    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 0e+00    itg: 0e+00
```

If infeasibility was not expected, consult Sec. 8.3.

8.2 Addressing numerical issues

The suggestions in this section should help diagnose and solve issues with numerical instability, in particular UNKNOWN solution status or solutions with large violations. Since numerically stable models tend to solve faster, following these hints can also dramatically shorten solution times.

We always recommend that issues of this kind are addressed by reformulating or rescaling the model, since it is the modeler who has the best insight into the structure of the problem and can fix the cause of the issue.

8.2.1 Formulating problems

Scaling

Make sure that all the data in the problem are of comparable orders of magnitude. This applies especially to the linear constraint matrix. Use Sec. 8.1.1 if necessary. For example a report such as

```
|A|              nnz: 597023       min=1.17e-6      max=2.21e+5
```

means that the ratio of largest to smallest elements in A is 10^{11}. In this case the user should rescale or reformulate the model to avoid such spread which makes it difficult for **MOSEK** to scale the problem internally. In many cases it may be possible to change the units, i.e. express the model in terms of rescaled variables (for instance work with millions of dollars instead of dollars, etc.).

Similarly, if the objective contains very different coefficients, say

$$\text{maximize } 10^{10}x + y$$

then it is likely to lead to inaccuracies. The objective will be dominated by the contribution from x and y will become insignificant.

Removing huge bounds

Never use a very large number as replacement for ∞. Instead define the variable or constraint as unbounded from below/above. Similarly, avoid artificial huge bounds if you expect they will not become tight in the optimal solution.

Example 8.2 (Huge solution)**.** If the norm of the expected solution is very large it may lead to numerical issues or infeasibility. For example the problem

$$(10^{-4}, x, 10^3) \in \mathcal{Q}_r^3$$

may be declared infeasible because the expected solution must satisfy $x \geq 5 \cdot 10^9$.

Example 8.3 (Near linear dependency)**.** Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{array}{lrcrcrcrcl}
\text{minimize} & & & & & & & & & \\
\text{subject to} & x_1 & + & x_2 & & & & & = & 1, \\
 & & & & & x_3 & + & x_4 & = & 1, \\
 & -\ x_1 & & & - & x_3 & & & = & -1+\varepsilon, \\
 & & - & x_2 & & & - & x_4 & = & -1, \\
 & x_1, & & x_2, & & x_3, & & x_4 & \geq & 0.
\end{array}$$

If we add the equalities together we obtain:

$$0 = \varepsilon$$

which is infeasible for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$. Here infeasibility is caused by a linear dependency in the constraint matrix coupled with a precision error represented by the ε. Indeed if a problem contains linear dependencies then the problem is either infeasible or contains redundant constraints. In the above case any of the equality constraints can be removed while not changing the set of feasible solutions. To summarize linear dependencies in the constraints can give rise to infeasible problems and therefore it is better to avoid them.

Example 8.4 (Presolving very tight bounds)**.** Next consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{lrcr}
\text{minimize} & & & \\
\text{subject to} & x_1 - 0.01x_2 & = & 0, \\
 & x_2 - 0.01x_3 & = & 0, \\
 & x_3 - 0.01x_4 & = & 0, \\
 & x_1 & \geq & -10^{-9}, \\
 & x_1 & \leq & 10^{-9}, \\
 & x_4 & \geq & 10^{-4}.
\end{array}$$

Now the **MOSEK** presolve will, for the sake of efficiency, fix variables (and constraints) that have tight bounds where tightness is controlled by the parameter `MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_X`. Since the bounds

$$-10^{-9} \leq x_1 \leq 10^{-9}$$

are tight, presolve will set $x_1 = 0$. It easy to see that this implies $x_4 = 0$, which leads to the incorrect conclusion that the problem is infeasible. However a tiny change of the value 10^{-9} makes the problem feasible. In general it is recommended to avoid ill-posed problems, but if that is not possible then one solution is to reduce parameters such as `MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_X` to say 10^{-10}. This will at least make sure that presolve does not make the undesired reduction.

8.3 Debugging infeasibility

This section contains hints for debugging problems that are unexpectedly infeasible. It is always a good idea to remove the objective, i.e. only solve a feasibility problem when debugging such issues.

8.3.1 Numerical issues

Infeasible problem status may be just an artifact of numerical issues appearing when the problem is badly-scaled, barely feasible or otherwise ill-conditioned so that it is unstable under small perturbations of the data or round-off errors. This may be visible in the solution summary if the infeasibility certificate has poor quality. See Sec. 8.1.2 for how to diagnose that and Sec. 8.2 for possible hints. Sec. 8.2.3 contains examples of situations which may lead to infeasibility for numerical reasons.

We refer to Sec. 8.2 for further information on dealing with those sort of issues. For the rest of this section we concentrate on the case when the solution summary leaves little doubt that the problem solved by the optimizer actually is infeasible.

8.3.2 Locating primal infeasibility

As an example of a primal infeasible problem consider minimizing the cost of transportation between a number of production plants and stores: Each plant produces a fixed number of goods, and each store has a fixed demand that must be met. Supply, demand and cost of transportation per unit are given in Fig. 8.1.



Fig. 8.1: Supply, demand and cost of transportation.

The problem represented in Fig. 8.1 is infeasible, since the total demand

$$2300 = 1100 + 200 + 500 + 500$$

exceeds the total supply

$$2200 = 200 + 1000 + 1000$$

If we denote the number of transported goods from plant i to store j by x_{ij}, the problem can be formulated as the LP:

$$\begin{array}{llcccccccccccccl}
\text{minimize} & & x_{11} & + & 2x_{12} & + & 5x_{23} & + & 2x_{24} & + & x_{31} & + & 2x_{33} & + & x_{34} & \\
\text{subject to} & s_0: & x_{11} & + & x_{12} & & & & & & & & & & & \leq & 200, \\
& s_1: & & & & & x_{23} & + & x_{24} & & & & & & & \leq & 1000, \\
& s_2: & & & & & & & & & x_{31} & + & x_{33} & + & x_{34} & \leq & 1000, \\
& d_1: & x_{11} & & & & & & & + & x_{31} & & & & & = & 1100, \\
& d_2: & & & x_{12} & & & & & & & & & & & = & 200, \\
& d_3: & & & & & x_{23} & + & & & & & x_{33} & & & = & 500, \\
& d_4: & & & & & & & x_{24} & + & & & & & x_{34} & = & 500, \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & x_{ij} & \geq & 0.
\end{array} \tag{8.1}$$

Solving problem (8.1) using **MOSEK** will result in an infeasibility status. The infeasibility certificate is contained in the dual variables an can be accessed from an API. The variables and constraints with nonzero solution values form an infeasible subproblem, which frequently is very small. See Sec. 12.1.2 or Sec. 12.2.2 for detailed specifications of infeasibility certificates.

A short infeasibility report can also be printed to the log stream. It can be turned on by setting the parameter *MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_AUTO* to *"MSK_ON"*. This causes **MOSEK** to print a report on variables and constraints which are involved in infeasibility in the above sense, i.e. have nonzero values in the certificate. The parameter *MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_LEVEL* controls the amount of information presented in the infeasibility report. The default value is 1. For the above example the report is

```
MOSEK PRIMAL INFEASIBILITY REPORT.

Problem status: The problem is primal infeasible

The following constraints are involved in the primal infeasibility.

Index    Name      Lower bound      Upper bound      Dual lower       Dual upper
0        s0        NONE             2.000000e+002    0.000000e+000    1.000000e+000
2        s2        NONE             1.000000e+003    0.000000e+000    1.000000e+000
3        d1        1.100000e+003    1.100000e+003    1.000000e+000    0.000000e+000
4        d2        2.000000e+002    2.000000e+002    1.000000e+000    0.000000e+000

The following bound constraints are involved in the infeasibility.

Index    Name      Lower bound      Upper bound      Dual lower       Dual upper
8        x33       0.000000e+000    NONE             1.000000e+000    0.000000e+000
10       x34       0.000000e+000    NONE             1.000000e+000    0.000000e+000
```

The infeasibility report is divided into two sections corresponding to constraints and variables. It is a selection of those lines from the problem solution which are important in understanding primal infeasibility. In this case the constraints s0, s2, d1, d2 and variables x33, x34 are of importance because of nonzero dual values. The columns Dual lower and Dual upper contain the values of dual variables s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x and s_u^x in the primal infeasibility certificate (see Sec. 12.1.2).

In our example the certificate means that an appropriate linear combination of constraints s0, s1 with coefficient $s_u^c = 1$, constraints d1 and d2 with coefficient $s_u^c - s_l^c = 0 - 1 = -1$ and lower bounds on x33 and x34 with coefficient $-s_l^x = -1$ gives a contradiction. Indeed, the combination of the four involved constraints is $x_{33} + x_{34} \leq -100$ (as indicated in the introduction, the difference between supply and demand).

It is also possible to extract the infeasible subproblem with the command-line tool. For an infeasible problem called infeas.lp the command:

```
mosek -d MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_AUTO MSK_ON infeas.lp -info rinfeas.lp
```

will produce the file rinfeas.bas.inf.lp which contains the infeasible subproblem. Because of its size it may be easier to work with than the original problem file.

Returning to the transportation example, we discover that removing the fifth constraint $x_{12} = 200$ makes the problem feasible. Almost all undesired infeasibilities should be fixable at the modeling stage.

8.3.3 Locating dual infeasibility

A problem may also be *dual infeasible*. In this case the primal problem is usually unbounded, meaning that feasible solutions exists such that the objective tends towards infinity. For example, consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & 200y_1 + 1000y_2 + 1000y_3 + 1100y_4 + 200y_5 + 500y_6 + 500y_7 \\ \text{subject to} & y_1 + y_4 \leq 1,\ y_1 + y_5 \leq 2,\ y_2 + y_6 \leq 5,\ y_2 + y_7 \leq 2, \\ & y_3 + y_4 \leq 1,\ y_3 + y_6 \leq 2,\ y_3 + y_7 \leq 1 \\ & y_1, y_2, y_3 \leq 0 \end{array}$$

which is dual to (8.1) (and therefore is dual infeasible). The dual infeasibility report may look as follows:

```
MOSEK DUAL INFEASIBILITY REPORT.

Problem status: The problem is dual infeasible

The following constraints are involved in the infeasibility.

Index    Name             Activity         Objective        Lower bound      Upper bound
5        x33              -1.000000e+00                     NONE             2.000000e+00
6        x34              -1.000000e+00                     NONE             1.000000e+00

The following variables are involved in the infeasibility.

Index    Name             Activity         Objective        Lower bound      Upper bound
0        y1               -1.000000e+00    2.000000e+02     NONE             0.000000e+00
2        y3               -1.000000e+00    1.000000e+03     NONE             0.000000e+00
3        y4               1.000000e+00     1.100000e+03     NONE             NONE
4        y5               1.000000e+00     2.000000e+02     NONE             NONE

Interior-point solution summary
  Problem status  : DUAL_INFEASIBLE
  Solution status : DUAL_INFEASIBLE_CER
  Primal.  obj: 1.0000000000e+02    nrm: 1e+00    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 0e+00
```

In the report we see that the variables y1, y3, y4, y5 and two constraints contribute to infeasibility with non-zero values in the Activity column. Therefore

$$(y_1, \ldots, y_7) = (-1, 0, -1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$$

is the dual infeasibility certificate as in Sec. 12.1.2. This just means, that along the ray

$$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) + t(y_1, \ldots, y_7) = (-t, 0, -t, t, t, 0, 0), \ t > 0,$$

which belongs to the feasible set, the objective value $100t$ can be arbitrarily large, i.e. the problem is unbounded.

In the example problem we could

- Add a lower bound on y3. This will directly invalidate the certificate of dual infeasibility.
- Increase the objective coefficient of y3. Changing the coefficients sufficiently will invalidate the inequality $c^T y^* > 0$ and thus the certificate.

8.3.4 Suggestions

Primal infeasibility

When trying to understand what causes the unexpected primal infeasible status use the following hints:

- Remove the objective function. This does not change the infeasibility status but simplifies the problem, eliminating any possibility of issues related to the objective function.
- Remove cones, semidefinite variables and integer constraints. Solve only the linear part of the problem. Typical simple modeling errors will lead to infeasibility already at this stage.
- Consider whether your problem has some obvious necessary conditions for feasibility and examine if these are satisfied, e.g. total supply should be greater than or equal to total demand.
- Verify that coefficients and bounds are reasonably sized in your problem.
- See if there are any obvious contradictions, for instance a variable is bounded both in the variables and constraints section, and the bounds are contradictory.
- Consider replacing suspicious equality constraints by inequalities. For instance, instead of $x_{12} = 200$ see what happens for $x_{12} \geq 200$ or $x_{12} \leq 200$.

- Relax bounds of the suspicious constraints or variables.
- For integer problems, remove integrality constraints on some/all variables and see if the problem solves.
- Form an **elastic model**: allow to violate constraints at a cost. Introduce slack variables and add them to the objective as penalty. For instance, suppose we have a constraint

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x, \\ \text{subject to} & a^T x \leq b. \end{array}$$

  which might be causing infeasibility. Then create a new variable y and form the problem which contains:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x + y, \\ \text{subject to} & a^T x \leq b + y. \end{array}$$

  Solving this problem will reveal by how much the constraint needs to be relaxed in order to become feasible. This is equivalent to inspecting the infeasibility certificate but may be more intuitive.
- If you think you have a feasible solution or its part, fix all or some of the variables to those values. Presolve will propagate them through the model and potentially reveal more localized sources of infeasibility.
- Dump the problem in OPF or LP format and verify that the problem that was passed to the optimizer corresponds to the problem expressed in the high-level modeling language, if any such was used.

Dual infeasibility

When trying to understand what causes the unexpected dual infeasible status use the following hints:

- Verify that the objective coefficients are reasonably sized.
- Check if no bounds and constraints are missing, for example if all variables that should be nonnegative have been declared as such etc.
- Strengthen bounds of the suspicious constraints or variables.
- Form an series of models with decreasing bounds on the objective, that is, instead of objective

$$\text{minimize } c^T x$$

  solve the problem with an additional constraint such as

$$c^T x = -10^5$$

  and inspect the solution to figure out the mechanism behind arbitrarily decreasing objective values. This is equivalent to inspecting the infeasibility certificate but may be more intuitive.
- Dump the problem in OPF or LP format and verify that the problem that was passed to the optimizer corresponds to the problem expressed in the high-level modeling language, if any such was used.

Please note that modifying the problem to invalidate the reported certificate does *not* imply that the problem becomes feasible — the reason for infeasibility may simply *move*, resulting a problem that is still infeasible, but for a different reason. More often, the reported certificate can be used to give a hint about errors or inconsistencies in the model that produced the problem.

8.4 Python Console

The **MOSEK** Python Console is an alternative to the **MOSEK** Command Line Tool. It can be used for interactive loading, solving and debugging optimization problems stored in files, for example **MOSEK** task files. It facilitates debugging techniques described in Sec. 8.

8.4.1 Usage

The tool requires Python 2 or 3. The **MOSEK** interface for Python must be installed following the installation instructions for Python API or Python Fusion API. In the basic case it should be sufficient to execute the script

```
python setup.py install --user
```

in the directory containing the **MOSEK** Python module.

The Python Console is contained in the file mosekconsole.py in the folder with **MOSEK** binaries. It can be copied to an arbitrary location. The file is also available for download here (mosekconsole.py) .

To run the console in interactive mode use

```
python mosekconsole.py
```

To run the console in batch mode provide a semicolon-separated list of commands as the second argument of the script, for example:

```
python mosekconsole.py "read data.task.gz; solve form=dual; writesol data"
```

The script is written using the **MOSEK** Python API and can be extended by the user if more specific functionality is required. We refer to the documentation of the Python API.

8.4.2 Examples

To read a problem from data.task.gz , solve it, and write solutions to data.sol , data.bas or data.itg :

```
read data.task.gz; solve; writesol data
```

To convert between file formats:

```
read data.task.gz; write data.mps
```

To set a parameter before solving:

```
read data.task.gz; param INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS 1e-9; solve"
```

To list parameter values related to the mixed-integer optimizer in the task file:

```
read data.task.gz; param MIO
```

To print a summary of problem structure:

```
read data.task.gz; anapro
```

To solve a problem forcing the dual and switching off presolve:

```
read data.task.gz; solve form=dual presolve=no
```

To write an infeasible subproblem to a file for debugging purposes:

```
read data.task.gz; solve; infsub; write inf.opf
```

8.4.3 Full list of commands

Below is a brief description of all the available commands. Detailed information about a specific command cmdand its options can be obtained with

```
help cmd
```

Table 8.1: List of commands of the MOSEK Python Console.

Command	Description
help [command]	Print list of commands or info about a specific command
log filename	Save the session to a file
intro	Print MOSEK splashscreen
testlic	Test the license system
read filename	Load problem from file
reread	Reload last problem file
solve [options]	Solve current problem
write filename	Write current problem to file
param [name [value]]	Set a parameter or get parameter values
paramdef	Set all parameters to default values
info [name]	Get an information item
anapro	Analyze problem data
hist	Plot a histogram of problem data
histsol	Plot a histogram of the solutions
spy	Plot the sparsity pattern of the A matrix
truncate epsilon	Truncate small coefficients down to 0
anasol	Analyze solutions
removeitg	Remove integrality constraints
infsub	Replace current problem with its infeasible subproblem
writesol basename	Write solution(s) to file(s) with given basename
delsol	Remove all solutions from the task
exit	Leave

```
[comment]
An example of small QO problem from Boyd and Vandenberghe, "Convex Optimization", page 189 ex␣
↪4.3
The solution is (1,0.5,-1)
[/comment]

[variables]
x0 x1 x2
[/variables]

[objective min]
0.5 (13 x0^2  + 17 x1^2 + 12 x2^2 + 24 x0 * x1 + 12 x1 * x2 - 4 x0 * x2 ) - 22 x0 - 14.5 x1 +␣
↪12 x2 + 1
[/objective]

[bounds]
[b] -1 <= * <= 1 [/b]
[/bounds]
```

The objective function is convex, the minimum is attained for $x^\star = (1, 0.5, -1)$. The conversion will introduce first a variable x_3 in the objective function such that $x_3 \geq 1/2x^T Qx$ and then convert the latter directly in conic form. The converted problem follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & -22x_0 - 14.5x_1 + 12x_2 + x_3 + 1 \\ \text{subject to} & 3.61x_0 + 3.33x_1 - 0.55x_2 - x_6 = 0 \\ & +2.29x_1 + 3.42x_2 - x_7 = 0 \\ & 0.81x_1 - x_8 = 0 \\ & -x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ & x_5 = 1 \\ & (x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) \in \mathcal{Q}_\nabla \\ & -1 \leq x_0, x_1, x_2 \leq 1 \end{array}$$

We obtain the reformulation as follows:

```
% prob is a quadratic problem
[r, res] = mosekopt('toconic prob', prob)
probConic = res.prob
mosekopt('write(conic.opf)', probConic)
```

and the output is:

```
[comment]
   Written by MOSEK version 8.1.0.19
   Date 21-08-17
   Time 10:53:36
[/comment]

[hints]
  [hint NUMVAR] 9 [/hint]
  [hint NUMCON] 4 [/hint]
  [hint NUMANZ] 11 [/hint]
  [hint NUMQNZ] 0 [/hint]
  [hint NUMCONE] 1 [/hint]
[/hints]

[variables disallow_new_variables]
  x0000_x0 x0001_x1 x0002_x2 x0003 x0004
  x0005 x0006 x0007 x0008
[/variables]

[objective minimize]
   - 2.2e+01 x0000_x0 - 1.45e+01 x0001_x1 + 1.2e+01 x0002_x2 + x0003
   + 1e+00
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
[/objective]

[constraints]
  [con c0000]  3.605551275463989e+00 x0000_x0 - 5.547001962252291e-01 x0002_x2 + 3.
↪328201177351375e+00 x0001_x1 - x0006 = 0e+00 [/con]
  [con c0001]  3.419401657060442e+00 x0002_x2 + 2.294598480395823e+00 x0001_x1 - x0007 = 0e+00␣
↪[/con]
  [con c0002]  8.111071056538127e-01 x0001_x1 - x0008 = 0e+00 [/con]
  [con c0003]  - x0003 + x0004 = 0e+00 [/con]
[/constraints]

[bounds]
  [b] -1e+00     <= x0000_x0,x0001_x1,x0002_x2 <= 1e+00 [/b]
  [b]               x0003,x0004 free [/b]
  [b]               x0005 =  1e+00 [/b]
  [b]               x0006,x0007,x0008 free [/b]
  [cone rquad k0000] x0004, x0005, x0006, x0007, x0008 [/cone]
[/bounds]
```

We can clearly see that constraints c0000, c0001 and c0002 represent the original linear constraints as in (9.4), while c0003 corresponds to (9.3). The cone roots are x0005 and x0004.

9.2 Advanced hot-start

In practice it frequently occurs that when an optimization problem has been solved, then the same problem slightly modified should be reoptimized. Moreover, if it is just a small the modification, it can be expected that the optimal solution to the original problem is a good approximation to the modified problem. Therefore, it should be efficient to start the optimization of the modified problem from the previous optimal solution.

Currently, the interior-point optimizer in **MOSEK** cannot take advantage of a previous optimal solution, however, the simplex optimizer can exploit any basic solution.

We work with the simple linear problem:

$$\begin{array}{rl} \text{minimize} & x_1 + 2x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & 4 \leq x_1 + x_3 \leq 6, \\ & 1 \leq x_1 + x_2, \\ & 0 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3. \end{array}$$

9.2.1 Initial hot-start

A quick inspection of the problem indicates that $(x_1, x_3) = (1, 3)$ is an optimal solution. Hence, it seems to be a good idea to let the initial basis consist of x_1 and x_3 and all the other variables be at their lower bounds. This idea is used in the example code:

Listing 9.1: Passing the full basic solution.

```
% Specify an initial basic solution.
bas.skc      = ['LL';'LL'];
bas.skx      = ['BS';'LL';'BS'];
bas.xc       = [4 1]';
bas.xx       = [1 3 0]';

prob.sol.bas = bas;

% Specify the problem data.
prob.c       = [ 1 2 0]';
subi         = [1 2 2 1];
subj         = [1 1 2 3];
valij        = [1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0];
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
prob.a       = sparse(subi,subj,valij);
prob.blc     = [4.0 1.0]';
prob.buc     = [6.0 inf]';
prob.blx     = sparse(3,1);
prob.bux     = [];

% Use the primal simplex optimizer.
param.MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER = 'MSK_OPTIMIZER_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX';
[r,res] = mosekopt('minimize',prob,param)
```

Comments:

- In the example the dual solution is not defined. This is acceptable because the primal simplex optimizer is used for the reoptimization and it does not exploit a dual solution. Otherwise it will be important that a good dual solution is specified.
- The status keys bas.skc and bas.skx must contain only the entries BS, EQ LL, UL, SB Moreover, e.g. EQmust be specified only for a fixed constraint or variable. LL and ULcan be used only for a variable that has a finite lower or upper bound respectively. For an explanation of status keys see *stakey*.
- The number of constraints and variables defined to be basic must correspond exactly to the number of constraints.

9.2.2 Adding a new variable

Next, assume we modify the problem by adding a new variable:

$$\begin{array}{rl} \text{minimize} & x_1 + 2x_2 - x_4 \\ \text{subject to} & 4 \leq x_1 + x_3 + x_4 \leq 6, \\ & 1 \leq x_1 + x_2, \\ & 0 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4. \end{array}$$

In continuation of the previous example this problem can be solved as follows, using the full previous basic solution in hot-start:

Listing 9.2: Hot-start when adding a new variable.

```
prob.c       = [prob.c;-1.0];
prob.a       = [prob.a,sparse([1.0 0.0]')];
prob.blx     = sparse(4,1);

% Reuse the old optimal basic solution.
bas          = res.sol.bas;

% Add to the status key.
bas.skx      = [res.sol.bas.skx;'LL'];

% The new variable is at it lower bound.
bas.xx       = [res.sol.bas.xx;0.0];
bas.slx      = [res.sol.bas.slx;0.0];
bas.sux      = [res.sol.bas.sux;0.0];

prob.sol.bas = bas;

[rcode,res]  = mosekopt('minimize',prob,param);

% The new primal optimal solution
res.sol.bas.xx'
```

9.2.3 Fixing a variable

In e.g. branch-and-bound methods for integer programming problems it is necessary to reoptimize the problem after a variable has been fixed to a value. This can easily be achieved as follows:

Listing 9.3: Hot-start with a fixed variable.

```
prob.blx(4)  = 1;
prob.bux     = [inf inf inf 1]';

% Reuse the basis.
prob.sol.bas = res.sol.bas;

[rcode,res]  = mosekopt('minimize',prob,param);

% Display the optimal solution.
res.sol.bas.xx'
```

9.2.4 Adding a new constraint

Now assume that the constraint

$$x_1 + x_2 \geq 2$$

should be added to the problem and the problem should be reoptimized. The following example demonstrates how to do this.

Listing 9.4: Hot-start when adding a new constraint.

```
% Modify the problem.
prob.a       = [prob.a;sparse([1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0])];
prob.blc     = [prob.blc;2.0];
prob.buc     = [prob.buc;inf];

% Obtain the previous optimal basis.
bas          = res.sol.bas;

% Set the solution to the modified problem.
bas.skc      = [bas.skc;'BS'];
bas.xc       = [bas.xc;bas.xx(1)+bas.xx(2)];
bas.y        = [bas.y;0.0];
bas.slc      = [bas.slc;0.0];
bas.suc      = [bas.suc;0.0];

% Reuse the basis.
prob.sol.bas = bas;

% Reoptimize.
[rcode,res]  = mosekopt('minimize',prob,param);

res.sol.bas.xx'
```

Please note that the slack variable corresponding to the new constraint is declared basic. This implies that the new basis is nonsingular and can be reused.

9.2.5 Removing a constraint

We can remove a constraint in two ways:

- Set the bounds for the constraint to $\pm\infty$ as appropriate.
- Remove the corresponding row from prob.a and other parts of the data and update the basis.

In the following example we use the latter approach to again remove the constraint $x_1 + x_2 \geq 2$.

Listing 9.5: Hot-start when removing a constraint.

```
% Modify the problem.
prob.a       = prob.a(1:end-1,:);
prob.blc     = prob.blc(1:end-1);
prob.buc     = prob.buc(1:end-1);

% Obtain the previous optimal basis.
bas          = res.sol.bas;

% Set the solution to the modified problem.
bas.skc      = bas.skc(1:end-1,:);
bas.xc       = bas.xc(1:end-1);
bas.y        = bas.y(1:end-1);
bas.slc      = bas.slc(1:end-1);
bas.suc      = bas.suc(1:end-1);

% Reuse the basis.
prob.sol.bas = bas;

% Reoptimize.
[rcode,res]  = mosekopt('minimize',prob,param);

res.sol.bas.xx'
```

9.2.6 Removing a variable

Similarly we can remove a variable in two ways:

- Fix the variable to zero.
- Remove the corresponding column from prob.a and other parts of the data and update the basis.

The following example uses the latter approach to remove x_4.

Listing 9.6: Hot-start when removing a constraint.

```
% Modify the problem.
prob.c       = prob.c(1:end-1);
prob.a       = prob.a(:,1:end-1);
prob.blx     = prob.blx(1:end-1);
prob.bux     = prob.bux(1:end-1);

% Obtain the previous optimal basis.
bas          = res.sol.bas;

% Set the solution to the modified problem.
bas.xx       = bas.xx(1:end-1);
bas.skx      = bas.skx(1:end-1,:);
bas.slx      = bas.slx(1:end-1);
bas.sux      = bas.sux(1:end-1);

% Reuse the basis.
prob.sol.bas = bas;

% Reoptimize.
[rcode,res]  = mosekopt('minimize',prob,param);

res.sol.bas.xx'
```

Chapter 10

Technical guidelines

This section contains some more in-depth technical guidelines for Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB, not strictly necessary for basic use of **MOSEK**.

10.1 Integration with MATLAB

The mosekopt MEX file

The central part of Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB is the *mosekopt* MEX file. It provides an interface to **MOSEK** that is employed by all the other functions provided in the toolbox. Therefore, we recommend to *mosekopt* function if possible because that give rise to the least overhead and provides the maximum of features.

Compatibility with the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox

For compatibility with the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox, **MOSEK** provides the following functions:

- *linprog*: Solves linear optimization problems.
- *intlinprog*: Solves a linear optimization problem with integer constrained variables.
- *quadprog*: Solves quadratic optimization problems.
- *lsqlin*: Minimizes a least-squares objective with linear constraints.
- *lsqnonneg*: Minimizes a least-squares objective with nonnegativity constraints.
- *mskoptimget*: Getting an options structure for MATLAB compatible functions.
- *mskoptimset*: Setting up an options structure for MATLAB compatible functions.

These functions are described in detail in Sec. 15.2. The functions *mskoptimget* and *mskoptimset* are not fully compatible with the MATLAB counterparts, optimget and optimset , so the **MOSEK** versions should only be used in conjunction with the **MOSEK** implementations of *linprog*, etc., and similarly optimget should be used in conjunction with the MATLAB implementations.

Caveats using the MATLAB compiler

When using **MOSEK** with the MATLAB compiler it is necessary manually:

- to remove mosekopt.m before compilation,
- copy the MEX file to the directory with MATLAB binary files and
- copy the mosekopt.m file back after compilation.

10.2 Names

All elements of an optimization problem in **MOSEK** (objective, constraints, variables, etc.) can be given names. Assigning meaningful names to variables and constraints makes it much easier to understand and debug optimization problems dumped to a file. On the other hand, note that assigning names can substantially increase setup time, so it should be avoided in time-critical applications.

Names of various elements of the problem are assigned using the *names* structure within an optimization problem specification *prob*.

10.3 Multithreading

Parallelization

The interior-point and mixed-integer optimizers in **MOSEK** are parallelized. By default **MOSEK** will automatically select the number of threads. However, the maximum number of threads allowed can be changed by setting the parameter *MSK_IPAR_NUM_THREADS* and related parameters. This should never exceed the number of cores. See Sec. 13 and Sec. 13.4 for more details.

The speed-up obtained when using multiple threads is highly problem and hardware dependent. We recommend experimenting with various thread numbers to determine the optimal settings. For small problems using multiple threads may be counter-productive because of the associated overhead.

Determinism

By default the optimizer is run-to-run deterministic, which means that it will return the same answer each time it is run on the same machine with the same input, the same parameter settings (including number of threads) and no time limits.

Setting the number of threads

The number of threads the optimizer uses can be changed with the parameter *MSK_IPAR_NUM_THREADS*.

For conic problems (when the conic optimizer is used) the value set at the first optimization will remain fixed through the lifetime of the process. The thread pool will be reserved once for all and subsequent changes to *MSK_IPAR_NUM_THREADS* will have no effect. The only possibility at that point is to switch between multi-threaded and single-threaded interior-point optimization using the parameter *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MULTI_THREAD*.

The MATLAB Parallel Computing Toolbox

Running **MOSEK** with the MATLAB Parallel Computing Toolbox requires multiple **MOSEK** licenses, since each thread runs a separate instance of the **MOSEK** optimizer. Each thread thus requires a **MOSEK** license.

10.4 The license system

MOSEK is a commercial product that **always** needs a valid license to work. **MOSEK** uses a third party license manager to implement license checking. The number of license tokens provided determines the number of optimizations that can be run simultaneously.

By default a license token remains checked out from the first optimization until the end of the **MOSEK** session, i.e.

- a license token is checked out when any **MOSEK** function involving optimization, as for instance *mosekopt*, is called the first time and
- it is returned when MATLAB is terminated.

Starting the optimization when no license tokens are available will result in an error.

Default behaviour of the license system can be changed in several ways:

- Setting the parameter *MSK_IPAR_CACHE_LICENSE* to *"MSK_OFF"* will force **MOSEK** to return the license token immediately after the optimization completed.
- Setting the parameter *MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT* will force **MOSEK** to wait until a license token becomes available instead of returning with an error.
- All licenses currently checked out and not in use can be released on demand using the nokeepenv command of *mosekopt*.

```
mosekopt('nokeepenv');
```

Chapter 11

Case Studies

In this section we present some case studies in which the Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB is used to solve real-life applications. These examples involve some more advanced modeling skills and possibly some input data. The user is strongly recommended to first read the basic tutorials of Sec. 6 before going through these advanced case studies.

- *Portfolio Optimization*
  - **Keywords:** Markowitz model, variance, risk, efficient frontier, transaction cost, market impact cost, cardinality constraints
  - **Type:** Conic Quadratic, Power Cone, Mixed-Integer
- *Least squares and other norm minimization problems*
  - **Keywords:** Least squares, regression, 2-norm, 1-norm, p-norm, ridge, lasso
  - **Type:** Conic Quadratic, Power Cone
- *Robust linear optimization*
  - **Keywords:** Robust optimization, ellipsoidal uncertainty
  - **Type:** Conic Quadratic

11.1 Portfolio Optimization

In this section the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem and variants are implemented using Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB.

- *Basic Markowitz model*
- *Efficient frontier*
- *Factor model and efficiency*
- *Market impact costs*
- *Transaction costs*
- *Cardinality constraints*

11.1.1 The Basic Model

The classical Markowitz portfolio optimization problem considers investing in n stocks or assets held over a period of time. Let x_j denote the amount invested in asset j, and assume a stochastic model where the return of the assets is a random variable r with known mean

$$\mu = \mathbf{E}r$$

and covariance

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{E}(r-\mu)(r-\mu)^T.$$

The return of the investment is also a random variable $y = r^T x$ with mean (or expected return)

$$\mathbf{E}y = \mu^T x$$

and variance

$$\mathbf{E}(y - \mathbf{E}y)^2 = x^T \Sigma x.$$

The standard deviation

$$\sqrt{x^T \Sigma x}$$

is usually associated with risk.

The problem facing the investor is to rebalance the portfolio to achieve a good compromise between risk and expected return, e.g., maximize the expected return subject to a budget constraint and an upper bound (denoted γ) on the tolerable risk. This leads to the optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & \mu^T x & & \\ \text{subject to} & e^T x & = & w + e^T x^0, \\ & x^T \Sigma x & \leq & \gamma^2, \\ & x & \geq & 0. \end{array} \tag{11.1}$$

The variables x denote the investment i.e. x_j is the amount invested in asset j and x_j^0 is the initial holding of asset j. Finally, w is the initial amount of cash available.

A popular choice is $x^0 = 0$ and $w = 1$ because then x_j may be interpreted as the relative amount of the total portfolio that is invested in asset j.

Since e is the vector of all ones then

$$e^T x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j$$

is the total investment. Clearly, the total amount invested must be equal to the initial wealth, which is

$$w + e^T x^0.$$

This leads to the first constraint

$$e^T x = w + e^T x^0.$$

The second constraint

$$x^T \Sigma x \leq \gamma^2$$

ensures that the variance, is bounded by the parameter γ^2. Therefore, γ specifies an upper bound of the standard deviation (risk) the investor is willing to undertake. Finally, the constraint

$$x_j \geq 0$$

excludes the possibility of short-selling. This constraint can of course be excluded if short-selling is allowed.

The covariance matrix Σ is positive semidefinite by definition and therefore there exist a matrix G such that

$$\Sigma = GG^T. \tag{11.2}$$

In general the choice of G is **not** unique and one possible choice of G is the Cholesky factorization of Σ. However, in many cases another choice is better for efficiency reasons as discussed in Sec. 11.1.3. For a given G we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x^T\Sigma x &= x^T GG^T x \\ &= \left\|G^T x\right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may write the risk constraint as

$$\gamma \geq \left\|G^T x\right\|$$

or equivalently

$$(\gamma, G^T x) \in \mathcal{Q}^{n+1},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}^{n+1}$ is the $(n+1)$-dimensional quadratic cone. Therefore, problem (11.1) can be written as

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & \mu^T x & & \\ \text{subject to} & e^T x & = & w + e^T x^0, \\ & (\gamma, G^T x) & \in & \mathcal{Q}^{n+1}, \\ & x & \geq & 0, \end{array} \tag{11.3}$$

which is a conic quadratic optimization problem that can easily be formulated and solved with Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB. Subsequently we will use the example data

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1073 \\ 0.0737 \\ 0.0627 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Sigma = 0.1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.2778 & 0.0387 & 0.0021 \\ 0.0387 & 0.1112 & -0.0020 \\ 0.0021 & -0.0020 & 0.0115 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies

$$G^T = \sqrt{0.1} \begin{bmatrix} 0.5271 & 0.0734 & 0.0040 \\ 0 & 0.3253 & -0.0070 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1069 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why a Conic Formulation?

Problem (11.1) is a convex quadratically constrained optimization problem that can be solved directly using **MOSEK**. Why then reformulate it as a conic quadratic optimization problem (11.3)? The main reason for choosing a conic model is that it is more robust and usually solves faster and more reliably. For instance it is not always easy to numerically validate that the matrix Σ in (11.1) is positive semidefinite due to the presence of rounding errors. It is also very easy to make a mistake so Σ becomes indefinite. These problems are completely eliminated in the conic formulation.

Moreover, observe the constraint

$$\left\|G^T x\right\| \leq \gamma$$

more numerically robust than

$$x^T\Sigma x \leq \gamma^2$$

for very small and very large values of γ. Indeed, if say $\gamma \approx 10^4$ then $\gamma^2 \approx 10^8$, which introduces a scaling issue in the model. Hence, using conic formulation we work with the standard deviation instead of variance, which usually gives rise to a better scaled model.

Example code

Listing 11.1 demonstrates how the basic Markowitz model (11.3) is implemented.

Listing 11.1: Code implementing problem (11.3).

```
function er = BasicMarkowitz(n,mu,GT,x0,w,gamma)

[rcode, res] = mosekopt('symbcon');
prob = [];

% Objective vector - expected return
prob.c = mu;

% The budget constraint  - e'x = w + sum(x0)
prob.a = ones(1,n);
prob.blc = w + sum(x0);
prob.buc = w + sum(x0);

% Bounds exclude shortselling
prob.blx = zeros(n,1);
prob.bux = inf*ones(n,1);

% An affine conic constraint: [gamma, GT*x] in quadratic cone
prob.f = sparse([ zeros(1,n); GT ]);
prob.g = [gamma; zeros(n,1)];
prob.cones = [ res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD n+1 ];

% Maximize problem and return the objective value
[rcode,res] = mosekopt('maximize echo(0)', prob, []);
x = res.sol.itr.xx;
er = mu'*x;
```

The source code should be self-explanatory except perhaps for

```
prob.f = sparse([ zeros(1,n); GT ]);
prob.g = [gamma; zeros(n,1)];
prob.cones = [ res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD n+1 ];
```

where the constraint

$$(\gamma, G^T x) \in \mathcal{Q}^{n+1}$$

is created as an *affine conic constraint format* of the form $Fx + g \in \mathcal{K}$, in this specific case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ G^T \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{Q}^{n+1}.$$

11.1.2 The Efficient Frontier

The portfolio computed by the Markowitz model is efficient in the sense that there is no other portfolio giving a strictly higher return for the same amount of risk. An efficient portfolio is also sometimes called a Pareto optimal portfolio. Clearly, an investor should only invest in efficient portfolios and therefore it may be relevant to present the investor with all efficient portfolios so the investor can choose the portfolio that has the desired tradeoff between return and risk.

Given a nonnegative α the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \mu^T x - \alpha x^T \Sigma x \\ \text{subject to} & e^T x = w + e^T x^0, \\ & x \geq 0. \end{array} \tag{11.4}$$

is one standard way to trade the expected return against penalizing variance. Note that, in contrast to the previous example, we explicitly use the variance ($\|G^T x\|_2^2$) rather than standard deviation ($\|G^T x\|_2$),

therefore the conic model includes a rotated quadratic cone:

$$\begin{array}{lrcll} \text{maximize} & \mu^T x - \alpha s & & & \\ \text{subject to} & e^T x & = & w + e^T x^0, & \\ & (s, 0.5, G^T x) & \in & Q_r^{n+2} & \text{(equiv. to } s \geq \|G^T x\|_2^2 = x^T \Sigma x), \\ & x & \geq & 0. & \end{array} \tag{11.5}$$

The parameter α specifies the tradeoff between expected return and variance. Ideally the problem (11.4) should be solved for all values $\alpha \geq 0$ but in practice it is impossible. Using the example data from Sec. 11.1.1, the optimal values of return and variance for several values of α are shown in the figure.



Fig. 11.1: The efficient frontier for the sample data.

Example code

Listing 11.2 demonstrates how to compute the efficient portfolios for several values of α.

Listing 11.2: Code for the computation of the efficient frontier based on problem (11.4).

```
function frontier = EfficientFrontier(n,mu,GT,x0,w,alphas)

frontier = [];
[rcode, res] = mosekopt('symbcon');
prob = [];

% The budget constraint in terms of variables [x; s]
prob.a = [ones(1,n), 0.0];
prob.blc = w + sum(x0);
prob.buc = w + sum(x0);

% No shortselling
prob.blx = [zeros(n,1); -inf];
prob.bux = inf*ones(n+1,1);

% An affine conic constraint: [s, 0.5, GT*x] in rotated quadratic cone
% In matrix form
% [ 0   1]   [ x ]      [ 0   ]
% [ 0   0]   [   ]  +   [ 0.5 ]   \in Q_r
% [ GT 0]   [ s ]      [ 0   ]
prob.f = sparse([ [zeros(1,n), 1.0]; zeros(1, n+1); [GT, zeros(n,1)] ]);
prob.g = [ 0; 0.5; zeros(n, 1) ]
prob.cones = [ res.symbcon.MSK_CT_RQUAD n+2 ];

for alpha = alphas
    % Objective mu'*x - alpha*s
    prob.c = [mu; -alpha];

    [rcode,res] = mosekopt('maximize echo(0)',prob,[]);
    x = res.sol.itr.xx(1:n);
    s = res.sol.itr.xx(n+1);

    frontier = [frontier; [alpha, mu'*x, s] ];
end
```

11.1.3 Factor model and efficiency

In practice it is often important to solve the portfolio problem very quickly. Therefore, in this section we discuss how to improve computational efficiency at the modeling stage.

The computational cost is of course to some extent dependent on the number of constraints and variables in the optimization problem. However, in practice a more important factor is the sparsity: the number of nonzeros used to represent the problem. Indeed it is often better to focus on the number of nonzeros in G see (11.2) and try to reduce that number by for instance changing the choice of G.

In other words if the computational efficiency should be improved then it is always good idea to start with focusing at the covariance matrix. As an example assume that

$$\Sigma = D + VV^T$$

where D is a positive definite diagonal matrix. Moreover, V is a matrix with n rows and p columns. Such a model for the covariance matrix is called a factor model and usually p is much smaller than n. In practice p tends to be a small number independent of n, say less than 100.

One possible choice for G is the Cholesky factorization of Σ which requires storage proportional to $n(n+1)/2$. However, another choice is

$$G^T = \begin{bmatrix} D^{1/2} \\ V^T \end{bmatrix}$$

because then

$$GG^T = D + VV^T.$$

This choice requires storage proportional to $n + pn$ which is much less than for the Cholesky choice of G. Indeed assuming p is a constant storage requirements are reduced by a factor of n.

The example above exploits the so-called factor structure and demonstrates that an alternative choice of G may lead to a significant reduction in the amount of storage used to represent the problem. This will in most cases also lead to a significant reduction in the solution time.

The lesson to be learned is that it is important to investigate how the covariance matrix is formed. Given this knowledge it might be possible to make a special choice for G that helps reducing the storage requirements and enhance the computational efficiency. More details about this process can be found in *[And13]*.

11.1.4 Slippage Cost

The basic Markowitz model assumes that there are no costs associated with trading the assets and that the returns of the assets are independent of the amount traded. Neither of those assumptions is usually valid in practice. Therefore, a more realistic model is

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & \mu^T x & & \\ \text{subject to} & e^T x + \sum_{j=1}^n T_j(\Delta x_j) & = & w + e^T x^0, \\ & x^T \Sigma x & \leq & \gamma^2, \\ & x & \geq & 0. \end{array} \tag{11.6}$$

Here Δx_j is the change in the holding of asset j i.e.

$$\Delta x_j = x_j - x_j^0$$

and $T_j(\Delta x_j)$ specifies the transaction costs when the holding of asset j is changed from its initial value. In the next two sections we show two different variants of this problem with two nonlinear cost functions T.

11.1.5 Market Impact Costs

If the initial wealth is fairly small and no short selling is allowed, then the holdings will be small and the traded amount of each asset must also be small. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the prices of the assets are independent of the amount traded. However, if a large volume of an asset is sold or purchased, the price, and hence return, can be expected to change. This effect is called market impact costs. It is common to assume that the market impact cost for asset j can be modeled by

$$T_j(\Delta x_j) = m_j |\Delta x_j|^{3/2}$$

where m_j is a constant that is estimated in some way by the trader. See *[GK00]* [p. 452] for details. From the Modeling Cookbook we know that $t \geq |z|^{3/2}$ can be modeled directly using the power cone $\mathcal{P}_3^{2/3,1/3}$:

$$\{(t,z) : t \geq |z|^{3/2}\} = \{(t,z) : (t,1,z) \in \mathcal{P}_3^{2/3,1/3}\}$$

Hence, it follows that $\sum_{j=1}^n T_j(\Delta x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j |x_j - x_j^0|^{3/2}$ can be modeled by $\sum_{j=1}^n m_j t_j$ under the constraints

$$\begin{array}{rl} z_j & = |x_j - x_j^0|, \\ (t_j, 1, z_j) & \in \mathcal{P}_3^{2/3,1/3}. \end{array}$$

Unfortunately this set of constraints is nonconvex due to the constraint

$$z_j = |x_j - x_j^0| \tag{11.7}$$

but in many cases the constraint may be replaced by the relaxed constraint

$$z_j \geq |x_j - x_j^0|, \tag{11.8}$$

For instance if the universe of assets contains a risk free asset then

$$z_j > |x_j - x_j^0| \tag{11.9}$$

cannot hold for an optimal solution.

If the optimal solution has the property (11.9) then the market impact cost within the model is larger than the true market impact cost and hence money are essentially considered garbage and removed by generating transaction costs. This may happen if a portfolio with very small risk is requested because the only way to obtain a small risk is to get rid of some of the assets by generating transaction costs. We generally assume that this is not the case and hence the models (11.7) and (11.8) are equivalent.

The above observations lead to

$$\begin{array}{lrcll} \text{maximize} & \mu^T x & & & \\ \text{subject to} & e^T x + m^T t & = & w + e^T x^0, & \\ & (\gamma, G^T x) & \in & \mathcal{Q}^{n+1}, & \\ & (t_j, 1, x_j - x_j^0) & \in & \mathcal{P}_3^{2/3,1/3}, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\ & x & \geq & 0. & \end{array} \tag{11.10}$$

The revised budget constraint

$$e^T x + m^T t = w + e^T x^0$$

specifies that the initial wealth covers the investment and the transaction costs. It should be mentioned that transaction costs of the form

$$t_j \geq |z_j|^p$$

where $p > 1$ is a real number can be modeled with the power cone as

$$(t_j, 1, z_j) \in \mathcal{P}_3^{1/p,1-1/p}.$$

See the Modeling Cookbook for details.

Example code

Listing 11.3 demonstrates how to compute an optimal portfolio when market impact cost are included.

Listing 11.3: Implementation of model (11.10).

```
function [x, t] = MarkowitzWithMarketImpact(n,mu,GT,x0,w,gamma,m)

[rcode, res] = mosekopt('symbcon');

% unrolled variable ordered as (x, t)
prob = [];
prob.c = [mu; zeros(n,1)];

In = speye(n);
On = sparse([],[],[],n,n);

% Linear part
% [ e'  m'  ]  * [ x; t ]  =   w + e' *x0
prob.a   = [ ones(1,n), m' ];
prob.blc = [ w + sum(x0) ];
prob.buc = [ w + sum(x0) ];

% No shortselling and no other bounds
prob.blx = [ zeros(n,1); -inf*ones(n,1) ];
prob.bux = inf*ones(2*n,1);

% Affine conic constraints representing [ gamma, GT*x ] in quadratic cone
```

(continues on next page)

First observe that

$$z_j \quad j\ x_j \quad x_j^0 j = j \quad x_j j:$$

We choose U_j as some a priori upper bound on the amount of trading in asset j and therefore if $z_j > 0$ then $y_j = 1$ has to be the case. This implies that the transaction cost for asset j is given by

$$f_j y_j + g_j z_j :$$

Example code

The following example code demonstrates how to compute an optimal portfolio when transaction costs are included.

Listing 11.4: Code solving problem (11.11).

```
function [x, z, y] = MarkowitzWithTransactionsCost(n,mu,GT,x0,w,gamma,f,g)

[rcode, res] = mosekopt( 'symbcon');

% Upper bound on the traded amount
u = w+sum(x0);

% unrolled variable ordered as (x, z, y)
prob = [];
prob.c = [mu; zeros(2*n,1)];
In = speye(n);
On = sparse([],[],[],n,n);

% Linear constraints
% [ e'   g'   f' ]   [ x ]   =   w + e'*x0
% [ I   -I    0  ] * [ z ]   <=  x0
% [ I    I    0  ]   [ y ]   >=  x0
% [ 0    I   -U  ]             <=  0
prob.a   = [ [ones(1,n), g   ', f']; In -In On; In In On; On In -u*In ];
prob.blc = [ w + sum(x0); -inf*ones(n,1); x0; -inf*ones(n,1) ];
prob.buc = [ w + sum(x0); x0; inf*ones(n,1); zeros(n,1) ];

% No shortselling and the linear bound 0 <= y <= 1
prob.blx = [ zeros(n,1); -inf*ones(n,1); zeros(n,1) ];
prob.bux = [ inf*ones(2*n,1); ones(n,1) ];

% Affine conic constraints representing [ gamma, GT*x ] in quadratic cone
prob.f = sparse([ zeros(1,3*n); [GT On On];  ]);
prob.g = [gamma; zeros(n,1)];
prob.cones = [ res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD n+1 ];

% Demand y to be integer (hence binary)
prob.ints.sub = 2*n+(1:n);

[rcode,res] = mosekopt( 'maximize echo(0)',prob,[]);

x = res.sol.int.xx(1:n);
z = res.sol.int.xx(n+(1:n));
y = res.sol.int.xx(2*n+(1:n));
```

11.1.7 Cardinality constraints

Another method to reduce costs involved with processing transactions is to only change positions in a small number of assets. In other words, at most k of the differences $j \quad x_j j = j x_j \quad x_j^0 j$ are allowed to be non-zero, where k is (much) smaller than the total number of assets n.

This type of constraint can be again modeled by introducing a binary variable y_j which indicates if $x_j \neq 0$ and bounding the sum of y_j. The basic Markowitz model then gets updated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lrcll}
\text{maximize} & \mu^T x & & & \\
\text{subject to} & e^T x & = & w + e^T x^0, & \\
& (\gamma, G^T x) & \in & \mathcal{Q}^{n+1}, & \\
& z_j & \geq & x_j - x_j^0, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\
& z_j & \geq & x_j^0 - x_j, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\
& z_j & \leq & U_j y_j, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\
& y_j & \in & \{0, 1\}, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\
& e^T y & \leq & k, & \\
& x & \geq & 0, &
\end{array}
\tag{11.12}
$$

were U_j is some a priori chosen upper bound on the amount of trading in asset j.

Example code

The following example code demonstrates how to compute an optimal portfolio with cardinality bounds.

Listing 11.5: Code solving problem (11.12).

```
function x = MarkowitzWithCardinality(n,mu,GT,x0,w,gamma,k)

[rcode, res] = mosekopt('symbcon');

% Upper bound on the traded amount
u = w+sum(x0);

% unrolled variable ordered as (x, z, y)
prob = [];
prob.c = [mu; zeros(2*n,1)];
In = speye(n);
On = sparse([],[],[],n,n);

% Linear constraints
% [ e'   0   0  ]            =   w + e' *x0
% [ I  -I   0  ]   [ x ]  <=  x0
% [ I   I   0  ] * [ z ]  >=  x0
% [ 0   I  -U  ]   [ y ]  <=  0
% [ 0   0   e' ]           <=  k
prob.a   = [ [ones(1,n), zeros(1,2*n)]; In -In On; In In On; On In -u*In; zeros(1,2*n) ones(1,
↪n) ];
prob.blc = [ w + sum(x0); -inf*ones(n,1); x0; -inf*ones(n,1); 0 ];
prob.buc = [ w + sum(x0); x0; inf*ones(n,1); zeros(n,1); k ];

% No shortselling and the linear bound 0 <= y <= 1
prob.blx = [ zeros(n,1); -inf*ones(n,1); zeros(n,1) ];
prob.bux = [ inf*ones(2*n,1); ones(n,1) ];

% Affine conic constraints representing [ gamma, GT*x ] in quadratic cone
prob.f = sparse([ zeros(1,3*n); [GT On On];  ]);
prob.g = [gamma; zeros(n,1)];
prob.cones = [ res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD n+1 ];

% Demand y to be integer (hence binary)
prob.ints.sub = 2*n+(1:n);

[rcode,res] = mosekopt('maximize echo(0)',prob,[]);

x = res.sol.int.xx(1:n);
```

If we solve our running example with $k = 1, 2, 3$ then we get the following solutions, with increasing expected returns:

```
Bound:  1  Expected return: 0,0627   Solution: 0,0000 0,0000 1,0000
Bound:  2  Expected return: 0,0669   Solution: 0,0939 0,0000 0,9061
Bound:  3  Expected return: 0,0685   Solution: 0,1010 0,1156 0,7834
```

11.2 Least Squares and Other Norm Minimization Problems

A frequently occurring problem in statistics and in many other areas of science is a norm minimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \|Fx - g\|, \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b, \end{array} \tag{11.13}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and of course we can allow other types of constraints. The objective can involve various norms: infinity norm, 1-norm, 2-norm, p-norms and so on. For instance the most popular case of the 2-norm corresponds to the least squares linear regression, since it is equivalent to minimization of $\|Fx - g\|_2^2$.

11.2.1 Least squares, 2-norm

In the case of the 2-norm we specify the problem directly in conic quadratic form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & t, \\ \text{subject to} & (t, Fx - g) \in \mathcal{Q}^{k+1}, \\ & Ax = b. \end{array} \tag{11.14}$$

The first constraint of the problem can be represented as an affine conic constraint. This leads to the following model.

Listing 11.6: Script solving problem (11.14)

```
% Least squares regression
% minimize \|Fx-g\|_2
function x = norm_lse(F,g,A,b)
clear prob;
[r, res] = mosekopt('symbcon');
n = size(F,2);
k = size(g,1);
m = size(A,1);

% Linear constraints in [x; t]
prob.a   = [A, zeros(m,1)];
prob.buc = b;
prob.blc = b;
prob.blx = -inf*ones(n+1,1);
prob.bux = inf*ones(n+1,1);
prob.c   = [zeros(n,1); 1];

% Affine conic constraint
prob.f = sparse([zeros(1,n), 1; F, zeros(k,1)]);
prob.g = [0; -g];
prob.cones = [ res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD k+1 ];

% Solve
[r, res] = mosekopt('minimize echo(0)', prob);
x = res.sol.itr.xx(1:n);
end
```

11.2.2 Ridge regularisation

Regularisation is classically applied to reduce the impact of outliers and to control overfitting. In the conic version of *ridge (Tychonov) regression* we consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \|Fx - g\|_2 + \gamma\|x\|_2, \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b, \end{array} \tag{11.15}$$

which can be written explicitly as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & t_1 + \gamma t_2, \\ \text{subject to} & (t_1, Fx - g) \in \mathcal{Q}^{k+1}, \\ & (t_2, x) \in \mathcal{Q}^{n+1}, \\ & Ax = b. \end{array} \tag{11.16}$$

The implementation is a small extension of that from the previous section.

Listing 11.7: Script solving problem (11.16)

```
% Least squares regression with regularization
% minimize \|Fx-g\|_2 + gamma*\|x\|_2
function x = norm_lse_reg(F,g,A,b,gamma)
clear prob;
[r, res] = mosekopt('symbcon');
n = size(F,2);
k = size(g,1);
m = size(A,1);

% Linear constraints in [x; t1; t2]
prob.a   = [A, zeros(m,2)];
prob.buc = b;
prob.blc = b;
prob.blx = -inf*ones(n+2,1);
prob.bux = inf*ones(n+2,1);
prob.c   = [zeros(n,1); 1; gamma];

% Affine conic constraint
prob.f = sparse([zeros(1,n),        1, 0; ...
                 F,           zeros(k,2); ...
                 zeros(1,n),        0, 1; ...
                 eye(n),      zeros(n,2) ]);
prob.g = [0; -g; zeros(n+1,1)];
prob.cones = [ res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD k+1 res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD n+1 ];

% Solve
[r, res] = mosekopt('minimize echo(0)', prob);
x = res.sol.itr.xx(1:n);
end
```

Note that classically least squares problems are formulated as quadratic problems and then the objective function would be written as

$$\|Fx - g\|_2^2 + \gamma\|x\|_2^2.$$

This version can easily be obtained by replacing the quadratic cone with an appropriate rotated quadratic cone in (11.16). Then they core of the implementation would change as follows:

Listing 11.8: Script solving classical quadratic ridge regression

```
prob.f = sparse([zeros(1,n),        1, 0; ...
                 zeros(1,n+2)           ; ...
                 F,           zeros(k,2); ...
                 zeros(1,n),        0, 1; ...
```

(continues on next page)

Here

$$(c^{\mathrm{n}}, A^{\mathrm{n}}, l_c^{\mathrm{n}}, u_c^{\mathrm{n}}, l_x^{\mathrm{n}}, u_x^{\mathrm{n}})$$

is the *nominal data*,

$$dc, dA, dl_c, du_c, dl_x, du_x \geq 0$$

is the *data perturbation bounds*. Please note that some of the entries in the data perturbation bounds can be zero, meaning that the corresponding data entries are certain (the expected values equals the actual values).

- The family of instances (11.22) with data running through a given uncertainty set $\mathcal{U}$ is called an *uncertain linear optimization problem*.
- Vector x is called a *robust feasible solution* to an uncertain linear optimization problem, if it remains feasible for all realizations of the data from the uncertainty set, i.e. if

$$l_c \leq Ax \leq u_c, l_x \leq x \leq u_x$$

  for all

$$(c, A, l_c, u_c, l_x, u_x) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

- If for some value t we have $c^T x \leq t$ for all realizations of the objective from the uncertainty set, we say that *robust value of the objective* at x does not exceed t.

The Robust Optimization methodology proposes to associate with an uncertain linear program its *robust counterpart* (RC) which is *the problem of minimizing the robust optimal value over the set of all robust feasible solutions*, i.e. the problem

$$\min_{t,x} \left\{ t : c^T x \leq t, l_c \leq Ax \leq u_c, l_x \leq x \leq u_x \forall (c, A, l_c, u_c, l_x, u_x) \in \mathcal{U} \right\}. \tag{11.24}$$

The optimal solution to (11.24) is treated as the *uncertainty-immuned* solution to the original uncertain linear programming program.

Robust Counterpart of an Uncertain Linear Optimization Problem with Interval Uncertainty

In general, the RC (11.24) of an uncertain linear optimization problem is not a linear optimization problem since (11.24) has infinitely many linear constraints. There are, however, cases when (11.24) can be rewritten equivalently as a linear programming program; in particular, this is the case for interval uncertainty (11.23). Specifically, in the case of (11.23), the robust counterpart of uncertain linear program is equivalent to the following linear program in variables x, y, t:

$$\begin{array}{lrcccl}
\text{minimize} & & & t & & \\
\text{subject to} & & & (c^{\mathrm{n}})^T x + (dc)^T y - t & \leq & 0, \quad (a) \\
& l_c^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_c & \leq & (A^{\mathrm{n}})x - (dA)y, & & (b) \\
& & & (A^{\mathrm{n}})x + (dA)y & \leq & u_c^{\mathrm{n}} - du_c, \quad (c) \\
& 0 & \leq & x + y, & & (d) \\
& 0 & \leq & -x + y, & & (e) \\
& l_x^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_x & \leq & x & \leq & u_x^{\mathrm{n}} - du_x, \quad (f)
\end{array} \tag{11.25}$$

The origin of (11.25) is quite transparent: The constraints $d - e$ in (11.25) linking x and y merely say that $y_i \geq |x_i|$ for all i. With this in mind, it is evident that at every feasible solution to (11.25) the entries in the vector

$$(A^{\mathrm{n}})x - (dA)y$$

are lower bounds on the entries of Ax with A from the uncertainty set (11.23), so that (b) in (11.25) ensures that $l_c \leq Ax$ for all data from the uncertainty set. Similarly, $(c), (a)$ ans f in (11.25) ensure, for

all data from the uncertainty set, that $Ax \leq u_c$, $c^T x \leq t$, and that the entries in x satisfy the required lower and upper bounds, respectively.

Please note that at the optimal solution to (11.25), one clearly has $y_j = |x_j|$. It follows that when the bounds on the entries of x impose nonnegativity (nonpositivity) of an entry x_j, then there is no need to introduce the corresponding additional variable y_i — from the very beginning it can be replaced with x_j, if x_j is nonnegative, or with $-x_j$, if x_j is nonpositive.

Another possible formulation of problem (11.25) is the following. Let

$$l_c^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_c = (A^{\mathrm{n}})x - (dA)y - f, f \geq 0$$

then this equation is equivalent to $(a) - (b)$ in (11.25). If $(l_c)_i = -\infty$, then equation i should be dropped from the computations. Similarly,

$$-x + y = g \geq 0$$

is equivalent to (d) in (11.25). This implies that

$$l_c^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_c - (A^{\mathrm{n}})x + f = -(dA)y$$

and that

$$y = g + x$$

Substituting these values into (11.25) gives

$$\begin{array}{lrcccl}
\text{minimize} & & & t & & \\
\text{subject to} & & & (c^{\mathrm{n}})^T x + (dc)^T (g + x) - t & \leq & 0, \\
 & 0 & \leq & f, & & \\
 & & & 2(A^{\mathrm{n}})x + (dA)(g + x) + f + l_c^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_c & \leq & u_c^{\mathrm{n}} - du_c, \\
 & 0 & \leq & g, & & \\
 & 0 & \leq & 2x + g, & & \\
 & l_x^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_x & \leq & x & \leq & u_x^{\mathrm{n}} - du_x,
\end{array}$$

which after some simplifications leads to

$$\begin{array}{lrcccll}
\text{minimize} & & & t & & & \\
\text{subject to} & & & (c^{\mathrm{n}} + dc)^T x + (dc)^T g - t & \leq & 0, & (a) \\
 & 0 & \leq & f, & & & (b) \\
 & & & 2(A^{\mathrm{n}} + dA)x + (dA)g + f - (l_c^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_c) & \leq & u_c^{\mathrm{n}} - du_c, & (c) \\
 & 0 & \leq & g, & & & (d) \\
 & 0 & \leq & 2x + g, & & & (e) \\
 & l_x^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_x & \leq & x & \leq & u_x^{\mathrm{n}} - du_x, & (f)
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lrcccll}
\text{minimize} & & & t & & & \\
\text{subject to} & & & (c^{\mathrm{n}} + dc)^T x + (dc)^T g - t & \leq & 0, & (a) \\
 & & & 2(A^{\mathrm{n}} + dA)x + (dA)g + f & \leq & u_c^{\mathrm{n}} - du_c + l_c^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_c, & (b) \\
 & 0 & \leq & 2x + g, & & & (c) \\
 & 0 & \leq & f, & & & (d) \\
 & 0 & \leq & g, & & & (e) \\
 & l_x^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_x & \leq & x & \leq & u_x^{\mathrm{n}} - du_x. & (f)
\end{array} \tag{11.26}$$

Please note that this problem has more variables but much fewer constraints than (11.25). Therefore, (11.26) is likely to be solved faster than (11.25). Note too that (11.26).b is trivially redundant if $l_x^{\mathrm{n}} + dl_x \geq 0$.

Introductory Example (continued)

Let us apply the Robust Optimization methodology to our drug production example presented in Sec. 11.3.1, assuming that the only uncertain data is the contents of the active agent in the raw materials,

and that these contents vary in 0.5% and 2% neighborhoods of the respective nominal values 0.01 and 0.02. With this assumption, the problem becomes an uncertain LP affected by interval uncertainty; the robust counterpart (11.25) of this uncertain LP is the linear program

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\text{(Drug_RC)}: & & \\
\text{maximize} & & \\
t & & \\
\text{subject to} & & \\
t \leq -100 \cdot \texttt{RawI} - 199.9 \cdot \texttt{RawII} + 5500 \cdot \texttt{DrugI} + 6100 \cdot \texttt{DrugII} & & \\
0.01 \cdot 0.995 \cdot \texttt{RawI} + 0.02 \cdot 0.98 \cdot \texttt{RawII} - 0.500 \cdot \texttt{DrugI} - 0.600 \cdot \texttt{DrugII} & \geq & 0 \\
\texttt{RawI} + \texttt{RawII} & \leq & 1000 \\
90.0 \cdot \texttt{DrugI} + 100.0 \cdot \texttt{DrugII} & \leq & 2000 \\
40.0 \cdot \texttt{DrugI} + 50.0 \cdot \texttt{DrugII} & \leq & 800 \\
100.0 \cdot \texttt{RawI} + 199.90 \cdot \texttt{RawII} + 700 \cdot \texttt{DrugI} + 800 \cdot \texttt{DrugII} & \leq & 100000 \\
\texttt{RawI}, \texttt{RawII}, \texttt{DrugI}, \texttt{DrugII} & \geq & 0
\end{array}
\tag{11.27}
$$

Solving this problem with **MOSEK** we get the following output:

Listing 11.13: Output solving problem (11.27).

```
*** Optimal value: 8294.567
*** Optimal solution:
RawI:    877.732
RawII:     0.000
DrugI:    17.467
DrugII:    0.000
```

We see that the robust optimal solution we have built *costs money* – it promises a profit of just $8,295$ (cf. with the profit of $8,820$ promised by the nominal optimal solution). Please note, however, that the robust optimal solution remains feasible whatever are the realizations of the uncertain data from the uncertainty set in question, while the nominal optimal solution requires adjustment to this data and, with this adjustment, results in the average profit of $7,843$, which is by 5.4% *less* than the profit of ‘ 8,295 *guaranteed* by the robust optimal solution. Note too that the robust optimal solution is significantly different from the nominal one: both solutions prescribe to produce the same drug DrugI (in the amounts $17,467$ and $17,552$ packs, respectively) but from different raw materials, RawI in the case of the robust solution and RawII in the case of the nominal solution. The reason is that although the price per unit of the active agent for RawII is sligthly less than for RawI, the content of the agent in RawI is more stable, so when possible fluctuations of the contents are taken into account, RawI turns out to be more profitable than RawII.

11.3.4 Random Uncertainty and Ellipsoidal Robust Counterpart

In some cases, it is natural to assume that the perturbations affecting different uncertain data entries are random and independent of each other. In these cases, the robust counterpart based on the interval model of uncertainty seems to be too conservative: Why should we expect that all the data will be simultaneously driven to its most unfavorable values and immune the solution against this highly unlikely situation? A less conservative approach is offered by the *ellipsoidal* model of uncertainty. To motivate this model, let us seseee what happens with a particular linear constraint

$$a^T x \leq b \tag{11.28}$$

at a given candidate solution x in the case when the vector a of coefficients of the constraint is affected by random perturbations:

$$a = a^{\mathrm{n}} + \zeta, \tag{11.29}$$

where a^{n} is the vector of nominal coefficients and ζ is a random perturbation vector with zero mean and covariance matrix V_a. In this case the value of the left-hand side of (11.28), evaluated at a given x, becomes a random variable with the expected value $(a^{\mathrm{n}})^T x$ and the standard deviation $\sqrt{x^T V_a x}$. Now let us act as an engineer who believes that the value of a random variable never exceeds its mean plus 3 times the standard deviation; we do not intend to be that specific and replace *3* in the above rule by

a safety parameter Ω which will be in our control. Believing that the value of a random variable *never* exceeds its mean plus Ω times the standard deviation, we conclude that a *safe* version of (11.28) is the inequality

$$(a^{\mathrm{n}})^T x + \Omega\sqrt{x^T V_a x} \leq b. \tag{11.30}$$

The word *safe* above admits a quantitative interpretation: If x satisfies (11.30), one can bound from above the probability of the event that random perturbations (11.29) result in violating the constraint (11.28) evaluated at x. The bound in question depends on what we know about the distribution of ζ, e.g.

- We always have the bound given by the Tschebyshev inequality: x satisfies (11.30) $\Rightarrow$

$$\mathrm{Prob}\left\{a^T x > b\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\Omega^2}.$$

- When ζ is Gaussian, then the Tschebyshev bound can be improved to: x satisfies (11.30) $\Rightarrow$

$$\mathrm{Prob}\left\{a^T x > b\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\Omega}^{\infty} \exp\{-t^2/2\}dt \leq 0.5\exp\{-\Omega^2/2\}. \tag{11.31}$$

- Assume that $\zeta = D\xi$, where Δ is certain $n \times m$ matrix, and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m)^T$ is a random vector with independent coordinates $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m$ symmetrically distributed in the segment $[-1, 1]$. Setting $V = DD^T$ (V is a natural *upper bound* on the covariance matrix of ζ), one has: x satisfies (11.30) implies

$$\mathrm{Prob}\left\{a^T x > b\right\} \leq 0.5\exp\{-\Omega^2/2\}. \tag{11.32}$$

Please note that in order to ensure the bounds in (11.31) and (11.32)) to be $\leq 10^{-6}$, it suffices to set $\Omega = 5.13$.

Now, assume that we are given a linear program affected by random perturbations:

$$\begin{array}{lcccl} \text{minimize} & & [c^{\mathrm{n}} + dc]^T x & & \\ \text{subject to} & (l_c)_i & \leq & [a_i^{\mathrm{n}} + da_i]^T x & \leq & (u_c)_i, i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & l_x & \leq & x & \leq & u_x, \end{array} \tag{11.33}$$

where $(c^{\mathrm{n}}, \{a_i^{\mathrm{n}}\}_{i=1}^m, l_c, u_c, l_x, u_x)$ are the nominal data, and dc, da_i are random perturbations with zero means[3]. Assume, for the sake of definiteness, that every one of the random perturbations $dc, da_1, \ldots, da_m$ satisfies either the assumption of item 2 or the assumption of item 3, and let $V_c, V_1, \ldots, V_m$ be the corresponding (upper bounds on the) covariance matrices of the perturbations. Choosing a safety parameter Ω and replacing the objective and the bodies of all the constraints by their safe bounds as explained above, we arrive at the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{lcccl} \text{minimize} & & t & & \\ \text{subject to} & & [c^{\mathrm{n}}]^T x + \Omega\sqrt{x^T V_c x} & \leq & t, \\ & (l_c)_i & \leq & [a_i^{\mathrm{n}}]^T x - \Omega\sqrt{x^T V_{a_i} x}, & \\ & & [a_i^{\mathrm{n}}]^T x + \Omega\sqrt{x^T V_{a_i} x} & \leq & (u_c)_i, i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & l_x & \leq & x & \leq & u_x. \end{array} \tag{11.34}$$

The relation between problems (11.34) and (11.33) is as follows:

- If (x, t) is a feasible solution of (11.34), then with probability at least

$$p = 1 - (m+1)\exp\{-\Omega^2/2\}$$

x is feasible for randomly perturbed problem (11.33), and t is an upper bound on the objective of (11.33) evaluated at x.

- We see that if Ω is not too small (11.34) can be treated as a "safe version" of (11.33).

[3] For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the bounds l_c, u_c, l_x, u_x are not affected by uncertainty; extensions to the case when it is not so are evident.

On the other hand, it is easily seen that (11.34) is nothing but the robust counterpart of the uncertain linear optimization problem with the nominal data $(c^{\mathrm{n}}, \{a_i^{\mathrm{n}}\}_{i=1}^m, l_c, u_c, l_x, u_x)$ and the row-wise ellipsoidal uncertainty given by the matrices $V_c, V_{a_1}, \ldots, V_{a_m}$. In the corresponding uncertainty set, the uncertainty affects the coefficients of the objective and the constraint matrix only, and the perturbation vectors affecting the objective and the vectors of coefficients of the linear constraints run, independently of each other, through the respective ellipsoids

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E_c & = & \\ \left\{dc = \Omega V_c^{1/2} u : u^T u \leq 1\right\} E_{a_i} & = & \\ \left\{da_i = \Omega V_{a_i}^{1/2} u : u^T u \leq 1\right\}, i = 1, \ldots, m. & & \end{array}$$

It turns out that in many cases the ellipsoidal model of uncertainty is significantly less conservative and thus better suited for practice, than the interval model of uncertainty.

Last but not least, it should be mentioned that problem (11.34) is equivalent to a conic quadratic program, specifically to the program

$$\begin{array}{lrcccl} \text{minimize} & & & t & & \\ \text{subject to} & & & [c^{\mathrm{n}}]^T x + \Omega z & \leq & t, \\ & (l_c)_i & \leq & [a_i^{\mathrm{n}}]^T x - \Omega z_i, & & \\ & & & [a_i^{\mathrm{n}}]^T x + \Omega z_i & \leq & (u_c)_i, i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & 0 & = & w - D_c x & & \\ & 0 & = & w^i - D_{a_i} x, & & i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & 0 & \leq & z - \sqrt{w^T w}, & & \\ & 0 & \leq & z_i - \sqrt{(w^i)^T w^i}, & & i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & l_x & \leq & x & \leq & u_x. \end{array}$$

where D_c and D_{a_i} are matrices satisfying the relations

$$V_c = D_c^T D_c, V_{a_i} = D_{a_i}^T D_{a_i}, i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

Example: Interval and Ellipsoidal Robust Counterparts of Uncertain Linear Constraint with Independent Random Perturbations of Coe□cients

Consider a linear constraint

$$l \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \leq u \tag{11.35}$$

and assume that the a_j coefficients of the body of the constraint are uncertain and vary in intervals $a_j^{\mathrm{n}} \pm \sigma_j$. The worst-case_oriented model of uncertainty here is the interval one, and the corresponding robust counterpart of the constraint is given by the system of linear inequalities

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} l & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{\mathrm{n}} x_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j y_j, & & \\ & & \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{\mathrm{n}} x_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j y_j & \leq & u, \\ 0 & \leq & x_j + y_j, & & \\ 0 & \leq & -x_j + y_j, & & j = 1, \ldots, n. \end{array} \tag{11.36}$$

Now, assume that we have reasons to believe that the true values of the coefficients a_j are obtained from their nominal values a_j^{n} by random perturbations, independent for different j and symmetrically distributed in the segments *[-sigma_j,sigma_j]*. With this assumption, we are in the situation of item 3 and can replace the uncertain constraint (11.35) with its ellipsoidal robust counterpart

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} l & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{\mathrm{n}} x_j - \Omega z, & & \\ & & \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{\mathrm{n}} x_j + \Omega z & \leq & u, \\ 0 & \leq & z - \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^2 x_j^2}. & & \end{array} \tag{11.37}$$

Please note that with the model of random perturbations, a vector x satisfying (11.37) satisfies a realization of (11.35) with probability at least $1 - \exp\{\Omega^2/2\}$; for $\Omega = 6$. This probability is $\geq 1 - 1.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$,

which for all practical purposes is the same as sayiong that x satisfies all realizations of (11.35). On the other hand, the uncertainty set associated with (11.36) is the box

$$B = \left\{a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T : a_j^{\mathrm{n}} - \sigma_j \leq a_j \leq a_j^{\mathrm{n}} + \sigma_j, j = 1, \ldots, n\right\},$$

while the uncertainty set associated with (11.37) is the ellipsoid

$$E(\Omega) = \left\{a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T : \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j - a_j^{\mathrm{n}})^{\frac{2}{\sigma_j^2}} \leq \Omega^2\right\}.$$

For a moderate value of Ω, say $\Omega = 6$, and $n \geq 40$, the ellipsoid $E(\Omega)$ in its diameter, typical linear sizes, volume, etc. is incomparably less than the box B, the difference becoming more dramatic the larger the dimension n of the box and the ellipsoid. It follows that the ellipsoidal robust counterpart (11.37) of the randomly perturbed uncertain constraint (11.35) is much less conservative than the interval robust counterpart (11.36), while ensuring basically the same "robustness guarantees". To illustrate this important point, consider the following numerical examples:

There are n different assets on the market. The return on 1 invested in asset j is a random variable distributed symmetrically in the segment $[\delta_j - \sigma_j, \delta_j + \sigma_j]$, and the returns on different assets are independent of each other. The problem is to distribute ' 1 among the assets in order to get the largest possible total return on the resulting portfolio.

A natural model of the problem is an uncertain linear optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & & \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j x_j & \\ \text{subject to} & & \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j & = 1, \\ & 0 \leq & x_j, & j = 1, \ldots, n. \end{array}$$

where a_j are the uncertain returns of the assets. Both the nominal optimal solution (set all returns a_j equal to their nominal values δ_j) and the risk-neutral Stochastic Programming approach (maximize the expected total return) result in the same solution: Our money should be invested in the most promising asset(s) – the one(s) with the maximal nominal return. This solution, however, can be very unreliable if, as is typically the case in reality, the most promising asset has the largest volatility σ and is in this sense the most risky. To reduce the risk, one can use the Robust Counterpart approach which results in the following optimization problems.

The Interval Model of Uncertainty:

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & & t & \\ \text{subject to} & 0 \leq & -t + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\delta_j - \sigma_j) x_j, & \\ & & \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j & = 1, \\ & 0 \leq & x_j, & j = 1, \ldots, n \end{array} \tag{11.38}$$

and

The ellipsoidal Model of Uncertainty:}

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & & t & \\ \text{subject to} & 0 \leq & -t + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\delta_j) x_j - \Omega z, & \\ & 0 \leq & z - \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j^2 x_j^2}, & \\ & & \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j & = 1, \\ & 0 \leq & x_j, & j = 1, \ldots, n. \end{array} \tag{11.39}$$

Note that the problem (11.39) is essentially the risk-averted portfolio model proposed in mid-50's by Markowitz.

The solution of (11.38) is evident — our '1 should be invested in the asset(s) with the largest possible *guaranteed* return $\delta_j - \sigma_j$. In contrast to this very conservative policy (which in reality prescribes to keep

This means that the duality gap, defined in (12.3) as the primal minus the dual objective value, becomes nonpositive. It follows that the dual objective will always be greater than or equal to the primal objective. The primal infeasibility certificate will be reported by **MOSEK** as a solution to the system

$$\begin{array}{c} A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x = 0, \\ -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, \\ s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \leq 0, \end{array} \tag{12.6}$$

such that the objective value is strictly negative

$$(l^c)^T (s_l^c)^* - (u^c)^T (s_u^c)^* + (l^x)^T (s_l^x)^* - (u^x)^T (s_u^x)^* < 0.$$

Similarly, the certificate of dual infeasibility is an x satisfying the requirements of (12.5) such that $c^T x > 0$.

12.2 Conic Optimization

Conic optimization is an extension of linear optimization (see Sec. 12.1) allowing conic domains to be specified for subsets of the problem variables. A conic optimization problem to be solved by **MOSEK** can be written as

$$\begin{array}{lcccl} \text{minimize} & & c^T x + c^f & & \\ \text{subject to} & l^c & \leq \quad Ax & \leq & u^c, \\ & l^x & \leq \quad x & \leq & u^x, \\ & & x \in \mathcal{K}, & & \end{array} \tag{12.7}$$

where

- m is the number of constraints.
- n is the number of decision variables.
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a vector of decision variables.
- $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the linear part of the objective function.
- $c^f \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant term in the objective
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the constraint matrix.
- $l^c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the lower limit on the activity for the constraints.
- $u^c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the upper limit on the activity for the constraints.
- $l^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the lower limit on the activity for the variables.
- $u^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the upper limit on the activity for the variables.

Lower and upper bounds can be infinite, or in other words the corresponding bound may be omitted.

The set $\mathcal{K}$ is a Cartesian product of convex cones, namely $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_p$. Having the domain restriction $x \in \mathcal{K}$, is thus equivalent to

$$x^t \in \mathcal{K}_t \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_t},$$

where $x = (x^1, \ldots, x^p)$ is a partition of the problem variables. Please note that the n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a cone itself, so simple linear variables are still allowed. The user only needs to specify subsets of variables which belong to non-trivial cones.

In this section we discuss the formulations which apply to the following cones supported by **MOSEK**:

- The set $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- The zero cone $\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$.
- Quadratic cone

$$\mathcal{Q}^n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=2}^{n} x_j^2} \right\}.$$

ˆ Rotated quadratic cone

$$\mathcal{Q}_r^n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2x_1x_2 \geq \sum_{j=3}^{n} x_j^2, \quad x_1 \geq 0, \quad x_2 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

ˆ Primal exponential cone

$$K_{\exp} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 \geq x_2 \exp(x_3/x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}$$

as well as its dual

$$K_{\exp}^* = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 \geq -x_3 e^{-1} \exp(x_2/x_3), \quad x_3 \leq 0, x_1 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

ˆ Primal power cone (with parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$)

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,1-\alpha} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^\alpha x_2^{1-\alpha} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=3}^{n} x_j^2}, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}$$

as well as its dual

$$(\mathcal{P}_n^{\alpha,1-\alpha})^* = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\frac{x_1}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{x_2}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=3}^{n} x_j^2}, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

MOSEK supports also the cone of positive semidefinite matrices. Since that is handled through a separate interface, we discuss it in Sec. 12.3.

12.2.1 Duality for Conic Optimization

Corresponding to the primal problem (12.7), there is a dual problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & (l^c)^T s_l^c - (u^c)^T s_u^c + (l^x)^T s_l^x - (u^x)^T s_u^x + c^f \\ \text{subject to} & \\ & \begin{array}{c} A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x + s_n^x = c \\ -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, \\ s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \geq 0, \\ s_n^x \in \mathcal{K}^*, \end{array} \end{array} \tag{12.8}$$

where the dual cone $\mathcal{K}^*$ is a Cartesian product of the cones dual to $\mathcal{K}_t$. In practice this means that s_n^x has one entry for each entry in x. Please note that the dual problem of the dual problem is identical to the original primal problem.

If a bound in the primal problem is plus or minus infinity, the corresponding dual variable is fixed at 0, and we use the convention that the product of the bound value and the corresponding dual variable is 0. This is equivalent to removing variable $(s_l^x)_j$ from the dual problem. In other words:

$$l_j^x = -\infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad (s_l^x)_j = 0 \text{ and } l_j^x \cdot (s_l^x)_j = 0.$$

A solution

$$(y, s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x, s_n^x)$$

to the dual problem is feasible if it satisfies all the constraints in (12.8). If (12.8) has at least one feasible solution, then (12.8) is *(dual) feasible*, otherwise the problem is *(dual) infeasible*.

Dual Infeasible Problems

If the problem (12.8) is infeasible (has no feasible solution), **MOSEK** will report a certificate of dual infeasibility: The primal solution reported is the certificate of infeasibility, and the dual solution is undefined.

A certificate of dual infeasibility is a feasible solution to the modified primal problem

$$\begin{array}{lccccc} \text{minimize} & & & c^T x & & \\ \text{subject to} & \hat{l}^c & \leq & Ax & \leq & \hat{u}^c, \\ & \hat{l}^x & \leq & x & \leq & \hat{u}^x, \\ & & & x \in K, & & \end{array} \tag{12.12}$$

where

$$\hat{l}_i^c = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } l_i^c > -\infty, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_i^c := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } u_i^c < \infty, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right\} \tag{12.13}$$

and

$$\hat{l}_j^x = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } l_j^x > -\infty, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_j^x := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } u_j^x < \infty, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right\} \tag{12.14}$$

such that

$$c^T x < 0.$$

Such a solution implies that (12.12) is unbounded, and that (12.8) is infeasible.

In case that both the primal problem (12.7) and the dual problem (12.8) are infeasible, **MOSEK** will report only one of the two possible certificates — which one is not defined (**MOSEK** returns the first certificate found).

12.2.3 Minimalization vs. Maximalization

When the objective sense of problem (12.7) is maximization, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{lccccc} \text{maximize} & & & c^T x + c^f & & \\ \text{subject to} & l^c & \leq & Ax & \leq & u^c, \\ & l^x & \leq & x & \leq & u^x, \\ & & & x \in \mathcal{K}, & & \end{array}$$

the objective sense of the dual problem changes to minimization, and the domain of all dual variables changes sign in comparison to (12.2). The dual problem thus takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & (l^c)^T s_l^c - (u^c)^T s_u^c + (l^x)^T s_l^x - (u^x)^T s_u^x + c^f \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x + s_n^x = c, \\ & -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, \\ & s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \leq 0, \\ & -s_n^x \in \mathcal{K}^* \end{array}$$

This means that the duality gap, defined in (12.9) as the primal minus the dual objective value, becomes nonpositive. It follows that the dual objective will always be greater than or equal to the primal objective. The primal infeasibility certificate will be reported by **MOSEK** as a solution to the system

$$\begin{array}{c} A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x + s_n^x = 0, \\ -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, \\ s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \leq 0, \\ -s_n^x \in \mathcal{K}^* \end{array} \tag{12.15}$$

such that the objective value is strictly negative

$$(l^c)^T (s_l^c)^* - (u^c)^T (s_u^c)^* + (l^x)^T (s_l^x)^* - (u^x)^T (s_u^x)^* < 0.$$

Similarly, the certificate of dual infeasibility is an x satisfying the requirements of (12.12) such that $c^T x > 0$.

12.3 Semidefinite Optimization

Semidefinite optimization is an extension of conic optimization (see Sec. 12.2) allowing positive semidefinite matrix variables to be used in addition to the usual scalar variables. All the other parts of the input are defined exactly as in Sec. 12.2, and the discussion from that section applies verbatim to all properties of problems with semidefinite variables. We only briefly indicate how the corresponding formulae should be modified with semidefinite terms.

A semidefinite optimization problem can be written as

$$\begin{array}{lcccccl} \text{minimize} & & & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j x_j + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle \overline{C}_j, \overline{X}_j \rangle + c^f & & & \\ \text{subject to} & l_i^c & \leq & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{ij} x_j + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle \overline{A}_{ij}, \overline{X}_j \rangle & \leq & u_i^c, & i = 0, \ldots, m-1 \\ & l_j^x & \leq & x_j & \leq & u_j^x, & j = 0, \ldots, n-1 \\ & & & x \in \mathcal{K}, & & & \\ & & & \overline{X}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, & & & j = 0, \ldots, p-1 \end{array} \tag{12.16}$$

where the problem has p symmetric positive semidefinite variables $\overline{X}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}$ of dimension r_j with symmetric coefficient matrices $\overline{C}_j \in \mathcal{S}^{r_j}$ and $\overline{A}_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}^{r_j}$. We use standard notation for the matrix inner product, i.e., for $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have

$$\langle U, V \rangle := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{ij} V_{ij}.$$

As always we write $A = (a_{i,j})$ for the linear coefficient matrix.

Duality

The definition of the dual problem (12.8) becomes:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{maximize} & (l^c)^T s_l^c - (u^c)^T s_u^c + (l^x)^T s_l^x - (u^x)^T s_u^x + c^f & \\ \text{subject to} & & \\ & A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x + s_n^x = c & \\ & -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, & \\ & \overline{C}_j - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y_i \overline{A}_{ij} = \overline{S}_j, & j = 0, \ldots, p-1 \\ & s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \geq 0, & \\ & s_n^x \in \mathcal{K}^*, & \\ & \overline{S}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, & j = 0, \ldots, p-1. \end{array} \tag{12.17}$$

The duality gap (12.9) is computed as:

$$\begin{array}{l} c^T x^* + c^f - \left\{ (l^c)^T (s_l^c)^* - (u^c)^T (s_u^c)^* + (l^x)^T (s_l^x)^* - (u^x)^T (s_u^x)^* + c^f \right\} \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[(s_l^c)_i^* ((x_i^c)^* - l_i^c) + (s_u^c)_i^* (u_i^c - (x_i^c)^*) \right] \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[(s_l^x)_j^* (x_j - l_j^x) + (s_u^x)_j^* (u_j^x - x_j^*) \right] + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (s_n^x)_j^* x_j^* + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle \overline{X}_j, \overline{S}_j \rangle \geq 0. \end{array} \tag{12.18}$$

Complementarity conditions (12.10) include the additional relation:

$$\langle \overline{X}_j, \overline{S}_j \rangle = 0 \quad j = 0, \ldots, p-1. \tag{12.19}$$

Infeasibility

A certificate of primal infeasibility (12.11) is now a feasible solution to:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{maximize} & (l^c)^T s_l^c - (u^c)^T s_u^c + (l^x)^T s_l^x - (u^x)^T s_u^x & \\ \text{subject to} & & \\ & A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x + s_n^x = 0, & \\ & -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, & \\ & \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y_i \overline{A}_{ij} + \overline{S}_j = 0, & j = 0, \ldots, p-1 \\ & s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \geq 0, & \\ & s_n^x \in \mathcal{K}^*, & \\ & \overline{S}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, & j = 0, \ldots, p-1. \end{array} \tag{12.20}$$

such that the objective value is strictly positive.

Similarly, a dual infeasibility certificate (12.12) is a feasible solution to

$$\begin{array}{llcccll} \text{minimize} & & & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j x_j + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle \overline{C}_j, \overline{X}_j \rangle & & & \\ \text{subject to} & \hat{l}_i^c & \leq & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{ij} x_j + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle \overline{A}_{ij}, \overline{X}_j \rangle & \leq & \hat{u}_i^c, & i = 0, \ldots, m-1 \\ & \hat{l}_j^x & \leq & x_j & \leq & \hat{u}_j^x, & j = 0, \ldots, n-1 \\ & & & x \in \mathcal{K}, & & & \\ & & & \overline{X}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, & & & j = 0, \ldots, p-1 \end{array} \tag{12.21}$$

where the modified bounds are as in (12.13) and (12.14) and the objective value is strictly negative.

12.4 Quadratic and Quadratically Constrained Optimization

A convex quadratic and quadratically constrained optimization problem has the form

$$\begin{array}{llcccll} \text{minimize} & & & \frac{1}{2} x^T Q^o x + c^T x + c^f & & & \\ \text{subject to} & l_k^c & \leq & \frac{1}{2} x^T Q^k x + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{kj} x_j & \leq & u_k^c, & k = 0, \ldots, m-1, \\ & l_j^x & \leq & x_j & \leq & u_j^x, & j = 0, \ldots, n-1, \end{array} \tag{12.22}$$

where all variables and bounds have the same meaning as for linear problems (see Sec. 12.1) and Q^o and all Q^k are symmetric matrices. Moreover, for convexity, Q^o must be a positive semidefinite matrix and Q^k must satisfy

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -\infty < l_k^c & \Rightarrow & Q^k \text{ is negative semidefinite,} \\ u_k^c < \infty & \Rightarrow & Q^k \text{ is positive semidefinite,} \\ -\infty < l_k^c \leq u_k^c < \infty & \Rightarrow & Q^k = 0. \end{array}$$

The convexity requirement is very important and **MOSEK** checks whether it is fulfilled.

12.4.1 A Recommendation

Any convex quadratic optimization problem can be reformulated as a conic quadratic optimization problem, see Modeling Cookbook and *[And13]*. In fact **MOSEK** does such conversion internally as a part of the solution process for the following reasons:

- the conic optimizer is numerically more robust than the one for quadratic problems.
- the conic optimizer is usually faster because quadratic cones are simpler than quadratic functions, even though the conic reformulation usually has more constraints and variables than the original quadratic formulation.
- it is easy to dualize the conic formulation if deemed worthwhile potentially leading to (huge) computational savings.

However, instead of relying on the automatic reformulation we recommend to formulate the problem as a conic problem from scratch because:

- it saves the computational overhead of the reformulation including the convexity check. A conic problem is convex by construction and hence no convexity check is needed for conic problems.
- usually the modeler can do a better reformulation than the automatic method because the modeler can exploit the knowledge of the problem at hand.

To summarize we recommend to formulate quadratic problems and in particular quadratically constrained problems directly in conic form.

12.4.2 Duality for Quadratic and Quadratically Constrained Optimization

The dual problem corresponding to the quadratic and quadratically constrained optimization problem (12.22) is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & (l^c)^T s_l^c - (u^c)^T s_u^c + (l^x)^T s_l^x - (u^x)^T s_u^x + \frac{1}{2} x^T \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} y_k Q^k - Q^o \right\} x + c^f \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x + \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} y_k Q^k - Q^o \right\} x = c, \\ & -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, \\ & s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \geq 0. \end{array} \tag{12.23}$$

The dual problem is related to the dual problem for linear optimization (see Sec. 12.1.1), but depends on the variable x which in general can not be eliminated. In the solutions reported by **MOSEK**, the value of x is the same for the primal problem (12.22) and the dual problem (12.23).

12.4.3 Infeasibility for Quadratic Optimization

In case **MOSEK** finds a problem to be infeasible it reports a certificate of infeasibility. We write them out explicitly for quadratic problems, that is when $Q^k = 0$ for all k and quadratic terms appear only in the objective Q^o. In this case the constraints both in the primal and dual problem are linear, and **MOSEK** produces for them the same infeasibility certificate as for linear problems.

The certificate of primal infeasibility is a solution to the problem (12.4) such that the objective value is strictly positive.

The certificate of dual infeasibility is a solution to the problem (12.5) together with an additional constraint

$$Q^o x = 0$$

such that the objective value is strictly negative.

12.5 Affine Conic Constraints

Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB allows conic problems to be specified in another format, namely with *affine conic constraints*. A conic problem can thus be specified as:

$$\begin{array}{lcccccl} \text{minimize} & & & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j + \sum_{j=1}^p \langle \overline{C}_j, \overline{X}_j \rangle + c^f & & & \\ \text{subject to} & l_i^c & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j + \sum_{j=1}^p \langle \overline{A}_{ij}, \overline{X}_j \rangle & \leq & u_i^c, & i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & l_j^x & \leq & x_j & \leq & u_j^x, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\ & & & Fx + g \in \mathcal{K}, & & & \\ & & & \overline{X}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, & & & j = 1, \ldots, p \end{array} \tag{12.24}$$

where all the data has the same meaning as in Sec. 12.2 and Sec. 12.3 and $F \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$, $g \in \mathbb{R}^k$ specifiy the affine conic constraint.

Duality

The dual of problem (12.24) is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & (l^c)^T s_l^c - (u^c)^T s_u^c + (l^x)^T s_l^x - (u^x)^T s_u^x - \dot{y}^T g + c^f \\ \text{subject to} & \\ & A^T y + s_l^x - s_u^x + F^T \dot{y} = c, \\ & -y + s_l^c - s_u^c = 0, \\ & \overline{C}_j - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y_i \overline{A}_{ij} = \overline{S}_j, \qquad j = 0, \ldots, p-1 \\ & s_l^c, s_u^c, s_l^x, s_u^x \geq 0, \\ & \dot{y} \in \mathcal{K}^*, \\ & \overline{S}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, \qquad j = 0, \ldots, p-1. \end{array} \tag{12.25}$$

Duality and infeasibility cerfificates behave analogously as in Sec. 12.2.

Remark

A problem of this form is internally converted into the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{llcccll}
\text{minimize} & & & \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left\langle \overline{C}_j, \overline{X}_j \right\rangle + c^f & & & \\
\text{subject to} & l_i^c & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left\langle \overline{A}_{ij}, \overline{X}_j \right\rangle & \leq & u_i^c, & i = 1, \ldots, m, \\
 & g_i & \leq & z_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{ij} x_j & \leq & g_i & i = 1, \ldots, k, \\
 & l_j^x & \leq & x_j & \leq & u_j^x, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\
 & & & z \in \mathcal{K}, & & & \\
 & & & \overline{X}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, & & & j = 1, \ldots, p
\end{array}
\tag{12.26}
$$

which conforms with the format in Sec. 12.2 and Sec. 12.3. The reformulated problem has $n+k$ variables, $m+k$ linear constraints and in total k variables in cones. The new columns and rows are appended at the end of the original ones. If a problem with affine conic constraints is saved to a file then this reformulation will be written.

Chapter 13

Optimizers

The most essential part of **MOSEK** are the optimizers:

- *primal simplex* (linear problems),
- *dual simplex* (linear problems),
- *interior-point* (linear, quadratic and conic problems),
- *mixed-integer* (problems with integer variables).

The structure of a successful optimization process is roughly:

- **Presolve**
  1. *Elimination*: Reduce the size of the problem.
  2. *Dualizer*: Choose whether to solve the primal or the dual form of the problem.
  3. *Scaling*: Scale the problem for better numerical stability.
- **Optimization**
  1. *Optimize*: Solve the problem using selected method.
  2. *Terminate*: Stop the optimization when specific termination criteria have been met.
  3. *Report*: Return the solution or an infeasibility certificate.

The preprocessing stage is transparent to the user, but useful to know about for tuning purposes. The purpose of the preprocessing steps is to make the actual optimization more efficient and robust. We discuss the details of the above steps in the following sections.

13.1 Presolve

Before an optimizer actually performs the optimization the problem is preprocessed using the so-called presolve. The purpose of the presolve is to

1. remove redundant constraints,
2. eliminate fixed variables,
3. remove linear dependencies,
4. substitute out (implied) free variables, and
5. reduce the size of the optimization problem in general.

After the presolved problem has been optimized the solution is automatically postsolved so that the returned solution is valid for the original problem. Hence, the presolve is completely transparent. For further details about the presolve phase, please see *[AA95]* and *[AGMX96]*.

It is possible to fine-tune the behavior of the presolve or to turn it off entirely. If presolve consumes too much time or memory compared to the reduction in problem size gained it may be disabled. This is done by setting the parameter `MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_USE` to `"MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_OFF"`. The two most time-consuming steps of the presolve are

- the eliminator, and
- the linear dependency check.

Therefore, in some cases it is worthwhile to disable one or both of these.

Numerical issues in the presolve

During the presolve the problem is reformulated so that it hopefully solves faster. However, in rare cases the presolved problem may be harder to solve then the original problem. The presolve may also be infeasible although the original problem is not. If it is suspected that presolved problem is much harder to solve than the original, we suggest to first turn the eliminator off by setting the parameter MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_NUM_TRIES to 0. If that does not help, then trying to turn entire presolve off may help.

Since all computations are done in finite precision, the presolve employs some tolerances when concluding a variable is fixed or a constraint is redundant. If it happens that MOSEK incorrectly concludes a problem is primal or dual infeasible, then it is worthwhile to try to reduce the parameters MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_X and MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_S. However, if reducing the parameters actually helps then this should be taken as an indication that the problem is badly formulated.

Eliminator

The purpose of the eliminator is to eliminate free and implied free variables from the problem using substitution. For instance, given the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \sum_j x_j; \\ y, x &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

y is an implied free variable that can be substituted out of the problem, if deemed worthwhile. If the eliminator consumes too much time or memory compared to the reduction in problem size gained it may be disabled. This can be done by setting the parameter MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_NUM_TRIES to 0. In rare cases the eliminator may cause that the problem becomes much hard to solve.

Linear dependency checker

The purpose of the linear dependency check is to remove linear dependencies among the linear equalities. For instance, the three linear equalities

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= 1; \\ x_1 + 0.5x_2 &= 0.5; \\ 0.5x_2 + x_3 &= 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

contain exactly one linear dependency. This implies that one of the constraints can be dropped without changing the set of feasible solutions. Removing linear dependencies is in general a good idea since it reduces the size of the problem. Moreover, the linear dependencies are likely to introduce numerical problems in the optimization phase. It is best practice to build models without linear dependencies, but that is not always easy for the user to control. If the linear dependencies are removed at the modeling stage, the linear dependency check can safely be disabled by setting the parameter MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_USE to MSK_OFF.

Dualizer

All linear, conic, and convex optimization problems have an equivalent dual problem associated with them. MOSEK has built-in heuristics to determine if it is more efficient to solve the primal or dual problem. The form (primal or dual) is displayed in the MOSEK log and available as an information item from the solver. Should the internal heuristics not choose the most efficient form of the problem it may be worthwhile to set the dualizer manually by setting the parameters:

- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SOLVE_FORM: In case of the interior-point optimizer.
- MSK_IPAR_SIM_SOLVE_FORM: In case of the simplex optimizer.

Note that currently only linear and conic (but not semidefinite) problems may be automatically dualized.

Scaling

Problems containing data with large and/or small coefficients, say $1.0e+9$ or $1.0e-7$, are often hard to solve. Significant digits may be truncated in calculations with finite precision, which can result in the optimizer relying on inaccurate data. Since computers work in finite precision, extreme coefficients should be avoided. In general, data around the same *order of magnitude* is preferred, and we will refer to a problem, satisfying this loose property, as being *well-scaled*. If the problem is not well scaled, **MOSEK** will try to scale (multiply) constraints and variables by suitable constants. **MOSEK** solves the scaled problem to improve the numerical properties.

The scaling process is transparent, i.e. the solution to the original problem is reported. It is important to be aware that the optimizer terminates when the termination criterion is met on the scaled problem, therefore significant primal or dual infeasibilities may occur after unscaling for badly scaled problems. The best solution of this issue is to reformulate the problem, making it better scaled.

By default **MOSEK** heuristically chooses a suitable scaling. The scaling for interior-point and simplex optimizers can be controlled with the parameters `MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SCALING` and `MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING` respectively.

13.2 Linear Optimization

13.2.1 Optimizer Selection

Two different types of optimizers are available for linear problems: The default is an interior-point method, and the alternative is the simplex method (primal or dual). The optimizer can be selected using the parameter `MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER`.

The Interior-point or the Simplex Optimizer?

Given a linear optimization problem, which optimizer is the best: the simplex or the interior-point optimizer? It is impossible to provide a general answer to this question. However, the interior-point optimizer behaves more predictably: it tends to use between 20 and 100 iterations, almost independently of problem size, but cannot perform warm-start. On the other hand the simplex method can take advantage of an initial solution, but is less predictable from cold-start. The interior-point optimizer is used by default.

The Primal or the Dual Simplex Variant?

MOSEK provides both a primal and a dual simplex optimizer. Predicting which simplex optimizer is faster is impossible, however, in recent years the dual optimizer has seen several algorithmic and computational improvements, which, in our experience, make it faster on average than the primal version. Still, it depends much on the problem structure and size. Setting the `MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER` parameter to `"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX"` instructs **MOSEK** to choose one of the simplex variants automatically.

To summarize, if you want to know which optimizer is faster for a given problem type, it is best to try all the options.

13.2.2 The Interior-point Optimizer

The purpose of this section is to provide information about the algorithm employed in the **MOSEK** interior-point optimizer for linear problems and about its termination criteria.

The homogeneous primal-dual problem

In order to keep the discussion simple it is assumed that **MOSEK** solves linear optimization problems of standard form

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{minimize} & c^T x & & \\ \text{subject to} & Ax & = & b, \\ & x \geq 0. & & \end{array} \tag{13.1}$$

This is in fact what happens inside **MOSEK**; for efficiency reasons **MOSEK** converts the problem to standard form before solving, then converts it back to the input form when reporting the solution.

Since it is not known beforehand whether problem (13.1) has an optimal solution, is primal infeasible or is dual infeasible, the optimization algorithm must deal with all three situations. This is the reason why **MOSEK** solves the so-called homogeneous model

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax - b\tau & = & 0, \\ A^T y + s - c\tau & = & 0, \\ -c^T x + b^T y - \kappa & = & 0, \\ x, s, \tau, \kappa & \geq & 0, \end{array} \tag{13.2}$$

where y and s correspond to the dual variables in (13.1), and τ and κ are two additional scalar variables. Note that the homogeneous model (13.2) always has solution since

$$(x, y, s, \tau, \kappa) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

is a solution, although not a very interesting one. Any solution

$$(x^*, y^*, s^*, \tau^*, \kappa^*)$$

to the homogeneous model (13.2) satisfies

$$x_j^* s_j^* = 0 \text{ and } \tau^* \kappa^* = 0.$$

Moreover, there is always a solution that has the property $\tau^* + \kappa^* > 0$.

First, assume that $\tau^* > 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A\frac{x^*}{\tau^*} & = & b, \\ A^T \frac{y^*}{\tau^*} + \frac{s^*}{\tau^*} & = & c, \\ -c^T \frac{x^*}{\tau^*} + b^T \frac{y^*}{\tau^*} & = & 0, \\ x^*, s^*, \tau^*, \kappa^* & \geq & 0. \end{array}$$

This shows that $\frac{x^*}{\tau^*}$ is a primal optimal solution and $(\frac{y^*}{\tau^*}, \frac{s^*}{\tau*})$ is a dual optimal solution; this is reported as the optimal interior-point solution since

$$(x, y, s) = \left\{ \frac{x^*}{\tau^*}, \frac{y^*}{\tau^*}, \frac{s^*}{\tau^*} \right\}$$

is a primal-dual optimal solution (see Sec. 12.1 for the mathematical background on duality and optimality).

On other hand, if $\kappa^* > 0$ then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax^* & = & 0, \\ A^T y^* + s^* & = & 0, \\ -c^T x^* + b^T y^* & = & \kappa^*, \\ x^*, s^*, \tau^*, \kappa^* & \geq & 0. \end{array}$$

This implies that at least one of

$$c^T x^* < 0 \tag{13.3}$$

or

$$b^T y^* > 0 \tag{13.4}$$

is satisfied. If (13.3) is satisfied then x^* is a certificate of dual infeasibility, whereas if (13.4) is satisfied then y^* is a certificate of primal infeasibility.

In summary, by computing an appropriate solution to the homogeneous model, all information required for a solution to the original problem is obtained. A solution to the homogeneous model can be computed using a primal-dual interior-point algorithm *[And09]*.

Interior-point Termination Criterion

For efficiency reasons it is not practical to solve the homogeneous model exactly. Hence, an exact optimal solution or an exact infeasibility certificate cannot be computed and a reasonable termination criterion has to be employed.

In the k-th iteration of the interior-point algorithm a trial solution

$$(x^k, y^k, s^k, \tau^k, \kappa^k)$$

to homogeneous model is generated, where

$$x^k, s^k, \tau^k, \kappa^k > 0.$$

Optimal case

Whenever the trial solution satisfies the criterion

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| A\frac{x^k}{\tau^k} - b \right\|_\infty &\leq \epsilon_p(1 + \|b\|_\infty), \\ \left\| A^T \frac{y^k}{\tau^k} + \frac{s^k}{\tau^k} - c \right\|_\infty &\leq \epsilon_d(1 + \|c\|_\infty), \text{ and} \\ \min\left(\frac{(x^k)^T s^k}{(\tau^k)^2}, \left| \frac{c^T x^k}{\tau^k} - \frac{b^T y^k}{\tau^k} \right| \right) &\leq \epsilon_g \max\left(1, \frac{\min\left(|c^T x^k|, |b^T y^k|\right)}{\tau^k}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{13.5}$$

the interior-point optimizer is terminated and

$$\frac{(x^k, y^k, s^k)}{\tau^k}$$

is reported as the primal-dual optimal solution. The interpretation of (13.5) is that the optimizer is terminated if

- $\frac{x^k}{\tau^k}$ is approximately primal feasible,
- $\left\{\frac{y^k}{\tau^k}, \frac{s^k}{\tau^k}\right\}$ is approximately dual feasible, and
- the duality gap is almost zero.

Dual infeasibility certificate

On the other hand, if the trial solution satisfies

$$-\epsilon_i c^T x^k > \frac{\|c\|_\infty}{\max(1, \|b\|_\infty)} \left\| Ax^k \right\|_\infty$$

then the problem is declared dual infeasible and x^k is reported as a certificate of dual infeasibility. The motivation for this stopping criterion is as follows: First assume that $\left\| Ax^k \right\|_\infty = 0$; then x^k is an exact certificate of dual infeasibility. Next assume that this is not the case, i.e.

$$\left\| Ax^k \right\|_\infty > 0,$$

and define

$$\bar{x} := \epsilon_i \frac{\max(1, \|b\|_\infty)}{\|Ax^k\|_\infty \|c\|_\infty} x^k.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\|A\bar{x}\|_\infty = \epsilon_i \frac{\max(1, \|b\|_\infty)}{\|c\|_\infty} \text{ and } -c^T \bar{x} > 1,$$

which shows $\bar{x}$ is an approximate certificate of dual infeasibility, where ε_i controls the quality of the approximation. A smaller value means a better approximation.

Primal infeasibility certificate

Finally, if

$$\epsilon_i b^T y^k > \frac{\|b\|_\infty}{\max(1, \|c\|_\infty)} \left\|A^T y^k + s^k\right\|_\infty$$

then y^k is reported as a certificate of primal infeasibility.

Adjusting optimality criteria

It is possible to adjust the tolerances ε_p, ε_d, ε_g and ε_i using parameters; see table for details.

Table 13.1: Parameters employed in termination criterion

ToleranceParameter	name
ε_p	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PFEAS*
ε_d	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DFEAS*
ε_g	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_GAP*
ε_i	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_INFEAS*

The default values of the termination tolerances are chosen such that for a majority of problems appearing in practice it is not possible to achieve much better accuracy. Therefore, tightening the tolerances usually is not worthwhile. However, an inspection of (13.5) reveals that the quality of the solution depends on $\|b\|_\infty$ and $\|c\|_\infty$; the smaller the norms are, the better the solution accuracy.

The interior-point method as implemented by **MOSEK** will converge toward optimality and primal and dual feasibility at the same rate *[And09]*. This means that if the optimizer is stopped prematurely then it is very unlikely that either the primal or dual solution is feasible. Another consequence is that in most cases all the tolerances, ε_p, ε_d, ε_g and ε_i, have to be relaxed together to achieve an effect.

If the optimizer terminates without locating a solution that satisfies the termination criteria, for example because of a stall or other numerical issues, then it will check if the solution found up to that point satisfies the same criteria with all tolerances multiplied by the value of *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL*. If this is the case, the solution is still declared as optimal.

The basis identification discussed in Sec. 13.2.2 requires an optimal solution to work well; hence basis identification should be turned off if the termination criterion is relaxed.

To conclude the discussion in this section, relaxing the termination criterion is usually not worthwhile.

Basis Identification

An interior-point optimizer does not return an optimal basic solution unless the problem has a unique primal and dual optimal solution. Therefore, the interior-point optimizer has an optional post-processing step that computes an optimal basic solution starting from the optimal interior-point solution. More information about the basis identification procedure may be found in *[AY96]*. In the following we provide an overall idea of the procedure.

There are some cases in which a basic solution could be more valuable:

- a basic solution is often more accurate than an interior-point solution,
- a basic solution can be used to warm-start the simplex algorithm in case of reoptimization,
- a basic solution is in general more sparse, i.e. more variables are fixed to zero. This is particularly appealing when solving continuous relaxations of mixed integer problems, as well as in all applications in which sparser solutions are preferred.

To illustrate how the basis identification routine works, we use the following trivial example:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{minimize} & x + y & \\ \text{subject to} & x + y & = \quad 1, \\ & x, y \geq 0. & \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that all feasible solutions are also optimal. In particular, there are two basic solutions, namely

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (x_1^*, y_1^*) & = & (1, 0), \\ (x_2^*, y_2^*) & = & (0, 1). \end{array}$$

The interior point algorithm will actually converge to the center of the optimal set, i.e. to $(x^*, y^*) = (1/2, 1/2)$ (to see this in **MOSEK** deactivate *Presolve*).

In practice, when the algorithm gets close to the optimal solution, it is possible to construct in polynomial time an initial basis for the simplex algorithm from the current interior point solution. This basis is used to warm-start the simplex algorithm that will provide the optimal basic solution. In most cases the constructed basis is optimal, or very few iterations are required by the simplex algorithm to make it optimal and hence the final *clean-up* phase be short. However, for some cases of ill-conditioned problems the additional simplex clean up phase may take of lot a time.

By default **MOSEK** performs a basis identification. However, if a basic solution is not needed, the basis identification procedure can be turned off. The parameters

- *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS*,
- *MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_MAX_ITER*, and
- *MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_NUM_ERROR*

control when basis identification is performed.

The type of simplex algorithm to be used (primal/dual) can be tuned with the parameter *MSK_IPAR_BI_CLEAN_OPTIMIZER*, and the maximum number of iterations can be set with *MSK_IPAR_BI_MAX_ITERATIONS*.

Finally, it should be mentioned that there is no guarantee on which basic solution will be returned.

The Interior-point Log

Below is a typical log output from the interior-point optimizer:

```
Optimizer  - threads                : 1
Optimizer  - solved problem         : the dual
Optimizer  - Constraints            : 2
Optimizer  - Cones                  : 0
Optimizer  - Scalar variables       : 6                 conic                  : 0
Optimizer  - Semi-definite variables: 0                 scalarized             : 0
Factor     - setup time             : 0.00              dense det. time        : 0.00
Factor     - ML order time          : 0.00              GP order time          : 0.00
Factor     - nonzeros before factor : 3                 after factor           : 3
Factor     - dense dim.             : 0                 flops                  : 7.00e+001
ITE PFEAS    DFEAS    GFEAS    PRSTATUS   POBJ              DOBJ              MU       TIME
0   1.0e+000 8.6e+000 6.1e+000 1.00e+000  0.000000000e+000  -2.208000000e+003 1.0e+000 0.00
1   1.1e+000 2.5e+000 1.6e-001 0.00e+000  -7.901380925e+003 -7.394611417e+003 2.5e+000 0.00
2   1.4e-001 3.4e-001 2.1e-002 8.36e-001  -8.113031650e+003 -8.055866001e+003 3.3e-001 0.00
3   2.4e-002 5.8e-002 3.6e-003 1.27e+000  -7.777530698e+003 -7.766471080e+003 5.7e-002 0.01
4   1.3e-004 3.2e-004 2.0e-005 1.08e+000  -7.668323435e+003 -7.668207177e+003 3.2e-004 0.01
5   1.3e-008 3.2e-008 2.0e-009 1.00e+000  -7.668000027e+003 -7.668000015e+003 3.2e-008 0.01
6   1.3e-012 3.2e-012 2.0e-013 1.00e+000  -7.667999994e+003 -7.667999994e+003 3.2e-012 0.01
```

The first line displays the number of threads used by the optimizer and the second line tells that the optimizer chose to solve the dual problem rather than the primal problem. The next line displays the problem dimensions as seen by the optimizer, and the Factor... lines show various statistics. This is followed by the iteration log.

Using the same notation as in Sec. 13.2.2 the columns of the iteration log have the following meaning:

- ITE: Iteration index k.
- PFEAS $\|Ax^k - b\tau^k\|_\infty$. The numbers in this column should converge monotonically towards zero but may stall at low level due to rounding errors.
- DFEAS $\|A^T y^k + s^k - c\tau^k\|_\infty$. The numbers in this column should converge monotonically towards zero but may stall at low level due to rounding errors.
- GFEAS $|-c^T x^k + b^T y^k - \kappa^k|$. The numbers in this column should converge monotonically towards zero but may stall at low level due to rounding errors.
- PRSTATUS This number converges to 1 if the problem has an optimal solution whereas it converges to -1 if that is not the case.

- POBJ $c^T x^k/\tau^k$. An estimate for the primal objective value.
- DOBJ $b^T y^k/\tau^k$. An estimate for the dual objective value.
- MU $\frac{(x^k)^T s^k + \tau^k \kappa^k}{n+1}$. The numbers in this column should always converge to zero.
- TIME Time spent since the optimization started.

13.2.3 The Simplex Optimizer

An alternative to the interior-point optimizer is the simplex optimizer. The simplex optimizer uses a different method that allows exploiting an initial guess for the optimal solution to reduce the solution time. Depending on the problem it may be faster or slower to use an initial guess; see Sec. 13.2.1 for a discussion. **MOSEK** provides both a primal and a dual variant of the simplex optimizer.

Simplex Termination Criterion

The simplex optimizer terminates when it finds an optimal basic solution or an infeasibility certificate. A basic solution is optimal when it is primal and dual feasible; see Sec. 12.1 for a definition of the primal and dual problem. Due to the fact that computations are performed in finite precision **MOSEK** allows violations of primal and dual feasibility within certain tolerances. The user can control the allowed primal and dual tolerances with the parameters *MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_X* and *MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_S*.

Setting the parameter *MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER* to *"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX"* instructs **MOSEK** to select automatically between the primal and the dual simplex optimizers. Hence, **MOSEK** tries to choose the best optimizer for the given problem and the available solution. The same parameter can also be used to force one of the variants.

Starting From an Existing Solution

When using the simplex optimizer it may be possible to reuse an existing solution and thereby reduce the solution time significantly. When a simplex optimizer starts from an existing solution it is said to perform a *warm-start*. If the user is solving a sequence of optimization problems by solving the problem, making modifications, and solving again, **MOSEK** will warm-start automatically.

By default **MOSEK** uses presolve when performing a warm-start. If the optimizer only needs very few iterations to find the optimal solution it may be better to turn off the presolve.

Numerical Di□culties in the Simplex Optimizers

Though **MOSEK** is designed to minimize numerical instability, completely avoiding it is impossible when working in finite precision. **MOSEK** treats a "numerically unexpected behavior" event inside the optimizer as a *set-back*. The user can define how many set-backs the optimizer accepts; if that number is exceeded, the optimization will be aborted. Set-backs are a way to escape long sequences where the optimizer tries to recover from an unstable situation.

Examples of set-backs are: repeated singularities when factorizing the basis matrix, repeated loss of feasibility, degeneracy problems (no progress in objective) and other events indicating numerical difficulties. If the simplex optimizer encounters a lot of set-backs the problem is usually badly scaled; in such a situation try to reformulate it into a better scaled problem. Then, if a lot of set-backs still occur, trying one or more of the following suggestions may be worthwhile:

- Raise tolerances for allowed primal or dual feasibility: increase the value of
  - *MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_X*, and
  - *MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_S*.
- Raise or lower pivot tolerance: Change the *MSK_DPAR_SIMPLEX_ABS_TOL_PIV* parameter.
- Switch optimizer: Try another optimizer.
- Switch off crash: Set both *MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_CRASH* and *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_CRASH* to 0.
- Experiment with other pricing strategies: Try different values for the parameters

- *MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_SELECTION* and
- *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_SELECTION*.

ˆ If you are using warm-starts, in rare cases switching off this feature may improve stability. This is controlled by the *MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART* parameter.

ˆ Increase maximum number of set-backs allowed controlled by *MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS*.

ˆ If the problem repeatedly becomes infeasible try switching off the special degeneracy handling. See the parameter *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DEGEN* for details.

The Simplex Log

Below is a typical log output from the simplex optimizer:

```
Optimizer  - solved problem         : the primal
Optimizer  - Constraints            : 667
Optimizer  - Scalar variables       : 1424              conic                  : 0
Optimizer  - hotstart               : no
ITER       DEGITER(%)  PFEAS       DFEAS       POBJ                  DOBJ                  TIME␣
↪     TOTTIME
0          0.00        1.43e+05    NA          6.5584140832e+03      NA                    0.00␣
↪     0.02
1000       1.10        0.00e+00    NA          1.4588289726e+04      NA                    0.13␣
↪     0.14
2000       0.75        0.00e+00    NA          7.3705564855e+03      NA                    0.21␣
↪     0.22
3000       0.67        0.00e+00    NA          6.0509727712e+03      NA                    0.29␣
↪     0.31
4000       0.52        0.00e+00    NA          5.5771203906e+03      NA                    0.38␣
↪     0.39
4533       0.49        0.00e+00    NA          5.5018458883e+03      NA                    0.42␣
↪     0.44
```

The first lines summarize the problem the optimizer is solving. This is followed by the iteration log, with the following meaning:

ˆ ITER: Number of iterations.

ˆ DEGITER(%): Ratio of degenerate iterations.

ˆ PFEAS: Primal feasibility measure reported by the simplex optimizer. The numbers should be 0 if the problem is primal feasible (when the primal variant is used).

ˆ DFEAS: Dual feasibility measure reported by the simplex optimizer. The number should be 0 if the problem is dual feasible (when the dual variant is used).

ˆ POBJ: An estimate for the primal objective value (when the primal variant is used).

ˆ DOBJ: An estimate for the dual objective value (when the dual variant is used).

ˆ TIME: Time spent since this instance of the simplex optimizer was invoked (in seconds).

ˆ TOTTIME: Time spent since optimization started (in seconds).

13.3 Conic Optimization - Interior-point optimizer

For conic optimization problems only an interior-point type optimizer is available.

13.3.1 The homogeneous primal-dual problem

The interior-point optimizer is an implementation of the so-called homogeneous and self-dual algorithm. For a detailed description of the algorithm, please see *[ART03]*. In order to keep our discussion simple we will assume that **MOSEK** solves a conic optimization problem of the form:

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{minimize} & c^T x & & \\ \text{subject to} & Ax & = & b, \\ & x \in \mathcal{K} & & \end{array} \tag{13.6}$$

where K is a convex cone. The corresponding dual problem is

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & b^T y & & \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y + s & = & c, \\ & s \in \mathcal{K}^* & & \end{array} \tag{13.7}$$

where $\mathcal{K}^*$ is the dual cone of $\mathcal{K}$. See Sec. 12.2 for definitions.

Since it is not known beforehand whether problem (13.6) has an optimal solution, is primal infeasible or is dual infeasible, the optimization algorithm must deal with all three situations. This is the reason that **MOSEK** solves the so-called homogeneous model

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax - b\tau & = & 0, \\ A^T y + s - c\tau & = & 0, \\ -c^T x + b^T y - \kappa & = & 0, \\ x & \in & \mathcal{K}, \\ s & \in & \mathcal{K}^*, \\ \tau, \kappa & \geq & 0, \end{array} \tag{13.8}$$

where y and s correspond to the dual variables in (13.6), and τ and κ are two additional scalar variables. Note that the homogeneous model (13.8) always has a solution since

$$(x, y, s, \tau, \kappa) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

is a solution, although not a very interesting one. Any solution

$$(x^*, y^*, s^*, \tau^*, \kappa^*)$$

to the homogeneous model (13.8) satisfies

$$(x^*)^T s^* + \tau^* \kappa^* = 0$$

i.e. complementarity. Observe that $x^* \in \mathcal{K}$ and $s^* \in \mathcal{K}^*$ implies

$$(x^*)^T s^* \geq 0$$

and therefore

$$\tau^* \kappa^* = 0.$$

since $\tau^*, \kappa^* \geq 0$. Hence, at least one of τ^* and κ^* is zero.

First, assume that $\tau^* > 0$ and hence $\kappa^* = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A\frac{x^*}{\tau^*} & = & b, \\ A^T \frac{y^*}{\tau^*} + \frac{s^*}{\tau^*} & = & c, \\ -c^T \frac{x^*}{\tau^*} + b^T \frac{y^*}{\tau^*} & = & 0, \\ x^*/\tau^* & \in & \mathcal{K}, \\ s^*/\tau^* & \in & \mathcal{K}^*. \end{array}$$

This shows that $\frac{x^*}{\tau^*}$ is a primal optimal solution and $(\frac{y^*}{\tau^*}, \frac{s^*}{\tau^*})$ is a dual optimal solution; this is reported as the optimal interior-point solution since

$$(x, y, s) = \left(\frac{x^*}{\tau^*}, \frac{y^*}{\tau^*}, \frac{s^*}{\tau^*}\right)$$

is a primal-dual optimal solution.

On other hand, if $\kappa^* > 0$ then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ax^* & = & 0, \\ A^T y^* + s^* & = & 0, \\ -c^T x^* + b^T y^* & = & \kappa^*, \\ x^* & \in & \mathcal{K}, \\ s^* & \in & \mathcal{K}^*. \end{array}$$

This implies that at least one of

$$c^T x^* < 0 \tag{13.9}$$

or

$$b^T y^* > 0 \tag{13.10}$$

holds. If (13.9) is satisfied, then x^* is a certificate of dual infeasibility, whereas if (13.10) holds then y^* is a certificate of primal infeasibility.

In summary, by computing an appropriate solution to the homogeneous model, all information required for a solution to the original problem is obtained. A solution to the homogeneous model can be computed using a primal-dual interior-point algorithm *[And09]*.

13.3.2 Interior-point Termination Criterion

Since computations are performed in finite precision, and for efficiency reasons, it is not possible to solve the homogeneous model exactly in general. Hence, an exact optimal solution or an exact infeasibility certificate cannot be computed and a reasonable termination criterion has to be employed.

In every iteration k of the interior-point algorithm a trial solution

$$(x^k, y^k, s^k, \tau^k, \kappa^k)$$

to the homogeneous model is generated, where

$$x^k \in \mathcal{K}, s^k \in \mathcal{K}^*, \tau^k, \kappa^k > 0.$$

Therefore, it is possible to compute the values:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho_p^k & = & \arg\min_\rho \left\{ \rho \mid \left\| A\frac{x^k}{\tau^k} - b \right\|_\infty \le \rho\varepsilon_p(1 + \|b\|_\infty) \right\}, \\ \rho_d^k & = & \arg\min_\rho \left\{ \rho \mid \left\| A^T\frac{y^k}{\tau^k} + \frac{s^k}{\tau^k} - c \right\|_\infty \le \rho\varepsilon_d(1 + \|c\|_\infty) \right\}, \\ \rho_g^k & = & \arg\min_\rho \left\{ \rho \mid \left(\frac{(x^k)^T s^k}{(\tau^k)^2}, \left|\frac{c^T x^k}{\tau^k} - \frac{b^T y^k}{\tau^k}\right| \right) \le \rho\varepsilon_g \max\left(1, \frac{\min\left(\left|c^T x^k\right|, \left|b^T y^k\right|\right)}{\tau^k}\right) \right\}, \\ \rho_{pi}^k & = & \arg\min_\rho \left\{ \rho \mid \left\| A^T y^k + s^k \right\|_\infty \le \rho\varepsilon_i b^T y^k,\ b^T y^k > 0 \right\} \text{ and} \\ \rho_{di}^k & = & \arg\min_\rho \left\{ \rho \mid \left\| A x^k \right\|_\infty \le -\rho\varepsilon_i c^T x^k,\ c^T x^k < 0 \right\}. \end{array}$$

Note $\varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_d, \varepsilon_g$ and ε_i are nonnegative user specified tolerances.

Optimal Case

Observe ρ_p^k measures how far x^k/τ^k is from being a good approximate primal feasible solution. Indeed if $\rho_p^k \le 1$, then

$$\left\| A\frac{x^k}{\tau^k} - b \right\|_\infty \le \varepsilon_p(1 + \|b\|_\infty). \tag{13.11}$$

This shows the violations in the primal equality constraints for the solution x^k/τ^k is small compared to the size of b given ε_p is small.

Similarly, if $\rho_d^k \le 1$, then $(y^k, s^k)/\tau^k$ is an approximate dual feasible solution. If in addition $\rho_g \le 1$, then the solution $(x^k, y^k, s^k)/\tau^k$ is approximate optimal because the associated primal and dual objective values are almost identical.

In other words if $\max(\rho_p^k, \rho_d^k, \rho_g^k) \leq 1$, then

$$\frac{(x^k, y^k, s^k)}{\tau^k}$$

is an approximate optimal solution.

Dual Infeasibility Certificate

Next assume that $\rho_{di}^k \leq 1$ and hence

$$\left\|Ax^k\right\|_\infty \leq -\varepsilon_i c^T x^k \text{ and } -c^T x^k > 0$$

holds. Now in this case the problem is declared dual infeasible and x^k is reported as a certificate of dual infeasibility. The motivation for this stopping criterion is as follows. Let

$$\bar{x} := \frac{x^k}{-c^T x^k}$$

and it is easy to verify that

$$\|A\bar{x}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon_i \text{ and } c^T \bar{x} = -1$$

which shows $\bar{x}$ is an approximate certificate of dual infeasibility, where ε_i controls the quality of the approximation.

Primal Infeasiblity Certificate

Next assume that $\rho_{pi}^k \leq 1$ and hence

$$\left\|A^T y^k + s^k\right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon_i b^T y^k \text{ and } b^T y^k > 0$$

holds. Now in this case the problem is declared primal infeasible and (y^k, s^k) is reported as a certificate of primal infeasibility. The motivation for this stopping criterion is as follows. Let

$$\bar{y} := \frac{y^k}{b^T y^k} \text{ and } \bar{s} := \frac{s^k}{b^T y^k}$$

and it is easy to verify that

$$\left\|A^T \bar{y} + \bar{s}\right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon_i \text{ and } b^T \bar{y} = 1$$

which shows (y^k, s^k) is an approximate certificate of dual infeasibility, where ε_i controls the quality of the approximation.

13.3.3 Adjusting optimality criteria

It is possible to adjust the tolerances ε_p, ε_d, ε_g and ε_i using parameters; see table for details.

Table 13.2: Parameters employed in termination criterion

ToleranceParameter	name
ε_p	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_PFEAS*
ε_d	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS*
ε_g	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP*
ε_i	*MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_INFEAS*

The default values of the termination tolerances are chosen such that for a majority of problems appearing in practice it is not possible to achieve much better accuracy. Therefore, tightening the tolerances usually is not worthwhile. However, an inspection of (13.11) reveals that the quality of the solution depends on $\|b\|_\infty$ and $\|c\|_\infty$; the smaller the norms are, the better the solution accuracy.

13.4.1 The Mixed-integer Optimizer Overview

MOSEK can solve mixed-integer

- linear,
- quadratic and quadratically constrained, and
- conic

problems, except for mixed-integer semidefinite problems. The mixed-integer optimizer is specialized for solving linear and conic optimization problems. Pure quadratic and quadratically constrained problems are automatically converted to conic form.

By default the mixed-integer optimizer is run-to-run deterministic. This means that if a problem is solved twice on the same computer with identical parameter settings and no time limit then the obtained solutions will be identical. If a time limit is set then this may not be case since the time taken to solve a problem is not deterministic. The mixed-integer optimizer is parallelized i.e. it can exploit multiple cores during the optimization.

The solution process can be split into these phases:

1. **Presolve:** See Sec. 13.1.
2. **Cut generation:** Valid inequalities (cuts) are added to improve the lower bound.
3. **Heuristic:** Using heuristics the optimizer tries to guess a good feasible solution. Heuristics can be controlled by the parameter `MSK_IPAR_MIO_HEURISTIC_LEVEL`.
4. **Search:** The optimal solution is located by branching on integer variables.

13.4.2 Relaxations and bounds

It is important to understand that, in a worst-case scenario, the time required to solve integer optimization problems grows exponentially with the size of the problem (solving mixed-integer problems is NP-hard). For instance, a problem with n binary variables, may require time proportional to 2^n . The value of 2^n is huge even for moderate values of n.

In practice this implies that the focus should be on computing a near-optimal solution quickly rather than on locating an optimal solution. Even if the problem is only solved approximately, it is important to know how far the approximate solution is from an optimal one. In order to say something about the quality of an approximate solution the concept of *relaxation* is important.

Consider for example a mixed-integer optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{rcllll} z^* & = & \text{minimize} & c^T x & & \\ & & \text{subject to} & Ax & = & b, \\ & & & x \geq 0 & & \\ & & & x_j \in \mathbb{Z}, & & \forall j \in \mathcal{J}. \end{array} \tag{13.12}$$

It has the continuous relaxation

$$\begin{array}{rcllll} \underline{z} & = & \text{minimize} & c^T x & & \\ & & \text{subject to} & Ax & = & b, \\ & & & x \geq 0 & & \end{array} \tag{13.13}$$

obtained simply by ignoring the integrality restrictions. The relaxation is a continuous problem, and therefore much faster to solve to optimality with a linear (or, in the general case, conic) optimizer. We call the optimal value $\underline{z}$ the *objective bound*. The objective bound $\underline{z}$ normally increases during the solution search process when the continuous relaxation is gradually refined.

Moreover, if $\hat{x}$ is any feasible solution to (13.12) and

$$\bar{z} := c^T \hat{x}$$

then

$$\underline{z} \leq z^* \leq \bar{z}.$$

These two inequalities allow us to estimate the quality of the integer solution: it is no further away from the optimum than $\bar{z} - \underline{z}$ in terms of the objective value. Whenever a mixed-integer problem is solved **MOSEK** reports this lower bound so that the quality of the reported solution can be evaluated.

13.4.3 Outer approximation for mixed-integer conic problems

The relaxations of mixed integer conic problems can be solved either as a nonlinear problem with the interior point algorithm (default) or with a linear outer approximation algorithm. The type of relaxation used can be set with *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CONIC_OUTER_APPROXIMATION*. The best value for this option is highly problem dependent.

13.4.4 Randomization

A number of internal algorithms of the mixed-integer solver are dependend on random tie-breaking. The random tie-breaking can have a significant impact on the path taken by the algorithm and the optimal solution returned. The random seed can be set with the parameter *MSK_IPAR_MIO_SEED*.

13.4.5 Termination Criterion

In general, it is time consuming to find an exact feasible and optimal solution to an integer optimization problem, though in many practical cases it may be possible to find a sufficiently good solution. The issue of terminating the mixed-integer optimizer is rather delicate and the user has numerous possibilities of influencing it with various parameters. The mixed-integer optimizer employs a relaxed feasibility and optimality criterion to determine when a satisfactory solution is located.

A candidate solution that is feasible for the continuous relaxation is said to be an *integer feasible solution* if the criterion

$$\min(x_j - \lfloor x_j \rfloor, \lceil x_j \rceil - x_j) \leq \delta_1 \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}$$

is satisfied, meaning that x_j is at most δ_1 from the nearest integer.

Whenever the integer optimizer locates an integer feasible solution it will check if the criterion

$$\bar{z} - \underline{z} \leq \max(\delta_2, \delta_3 \max(\delta_4, |\bar{z}|))$$

is satisfied. If this is the case, the integer optimizer terminates and reports the integer feasible solution as an optimal solution.

All the δ tolerances discussed above can be adjusted using suitable parameters — see Table 13.3.

Table 13.3: Tolerances for the mixed-integer optimizer.

Tolerance	Parameter name
δ_1	*MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_RELAX_INT*
δ_2	*MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_GAP*
δ_3	*MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_GAP*
δ_4	*MSK_DPAR_MIO_REL_GAP_CONST*

In Table 13.4 some other common parameters affecting the integer optimizer termination criterion are shown.

Table 13.4: Other parameters affecting the integer optimizer termination criterion.

Parameter name	Explanation
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_BRANCHES	Maximum number of branches allowed.
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_RELAXS	Maximum number of relaxations allowed.
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_SOLUTIONS	Maximum number of feasible integer solutions allowed.

13.4.6 Speeding Up the Solution Process

As mentioned previously, in many cases it is not possible to find an optimal solution to an integer optimization problem in a reasonable amount of time. Some suggestions to reduce the solution time are:

- Relax the termination criterion: In case the run time is not acceptable, the first thing to do is to relax the termination criterion — see Sec. 13.4.5 for details.

- Specify a good initial solution: In many cases a good feasible solution is either known or easily computed using problem-specific knowledge. If a good feasible solution is known, it is usually worthwhile to use this as a starting point for the integer optimizer. See Sec. 6.9.2.
- Improve the formulation: A mixed-integer optimization problem may be impossible to solve in one form and quite easy in another form. However, it is beyond the scope of this manual to discuss good formulations for mixed-integer problems. For discussions on this topic see for example *[Wol98]*.

13.4.7 Understanding Solution Quality

To determine the quality of the solution one should check the following:

- The problem status and solution status returned by **MOSEK**, as well as constraint violations in case of suboptimal solutions.
- The *optimality gap* defined as

$$\epsilon = |(\text{objective value of feasible solution}) - (\text{objective bound})| = |\bar{z} - \underline{z}|.$$

  which measures how much the located solution can deviate from the optimal solution to the problem. The optimality gap can be retrieved through the information item *"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_ABS_GAP"*. Often it is more meaningful to look at the relative optimality gap normalized against the magnitude of the solution.

$$\epsilon_{\text{rel}} = \frac{|\bar{z} - \underline{z}|}{\max(\delta_4, |\bar{z}|)}.$$

  The relative optimality gap is available in the information item *"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_REL_GAP"*.

13.4.8 The Mixed-integer Log

Below is a typical log output from the mixed-integer optimizer:

```
Presolved problem: 6573 variables, 35728 constraints, 101258 non-zeros
Presolved problem: 0 general integer, 4294 binary, 2279 continuous
Clique table size: 1636
BRANCHES RELAXS   ACT_NDS  DEPTH    BEST_INT_OBJ         BEST_RELAX_OBJ       REL_GAP(%)  TIME
0        1        0        0        NA                   1.8218819866e+07     NA          1.6
0        1        0        0        1.8331557950e+07     1.8218819866e+07     0.61        3.5
0        1        0        0        1.8300507546e+07     1.8218819866e+07     0.45        4.3
Cut generation started.
0        2        0        0        1.8300507546e+07     1.8218819866e+07     0.45        5.3
Cut generation terminated. Time = 1.43
0        3        0        0        1.8286893047e+07     1.8231580587e+07     0.30        7.5
15       18       1        0        1.8286893047e+07     1.8231580587e+07     0.30        10.5
31       34       1        0        1.8286893047e+07     1.8231580587e+07     0.30        11.1
51       54       1        0        1.8286893047e+07     1.8231580587e+07     0.30        11.6
91       94       1        0        1.8286893047e+07     1.8231580587e+07     0.30        12.4
171      174      1        0        1.8286893047e+07     1.8231580587e+07     0.30        14.3
331      334      1        0        1.8286893047e+07     1.8231580587e+07     0.30        17.9

[ ... ]

Objective of best integer solution : 1.825846762609e+07
Best objective bound               : 1.823311032986e+07
Construct solution objective       : Not employed
Construct solution # roundings     : 0
User objective cut value           : 0
Number of cuts generated           : 117
  Number of Gomory cuts            : 108
  Number of CMIR cuts              : 9
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Number of branches                 : 4425
Number of relaxations solved       : 4410
Number of interior point iterations: 25
Number of simplex iterations       : 221131
```

The first lines contain a summary of the problem as seen by the optimizer. This is followed by the iteration log. The columns have the following meaning:

- BRANCHES: Number of branches generated.
- RELAXS: Number of relaxations solved.
- ACT_NDS: Number of active branch bound nodes.
- DEPTH: Depth of the recently solved node.
- BEST_INT_OBJ: The best integer objective value, $\bar{z}$.
- BEST_RELAX_OBJ: The best objective bound, $\underline{z}$.
- REL_GAP(%): Relative optimality gap, $100\% \cdot \epsilon_{\text{rel}}$
- TIME: Time (in seconds) from the start of optimization.

Following that a summary of the optimization process is printed.

Chapter 14

Additional features

In this section we describe additional features and tools which enable more detailed analysis of optimization problems with **MOSEK**.

14.1 Problem Analyzer

The problem analyzer prints a survey of the structure of the problem, with information about linear constraints and objective, quadratic constraints, conic constraints and variables.

In the initial stages of model formulation the problem analyzer may be used as a quick way of verifying that the model has been built or imported correctly. In later stages it can help revealing special structures within the model that may be used to tune the optimizer's performance or to identify the causes of numerical difficulties.

The problem analyzer is run using the `mosekopt('anapro')` command and produces output similar to the following (this is the problem analyzer's survey of the aflow30a problem from the MIPLIB 2003 collection).

```
                    Analyzing the problem

*** Structural report
               Dimensions
               Constraints   Variables     Matrix var.   Cones
               479           842           0             0

               Constraint and bound types
               Free          Lower         Upper         Ranged        Fixed
 Constraints:  0             0             421           0             58
   Variables:  0             0             0             842           0

               Integer constraint types
               Binary        General
               421           0

*** Data report
               Nonzeros      Min           Max
        |cj|:  421           1.1e+01       5.0e+02
       |Aij|:  2091          1.0e+00       1.0e+02

               # finite      Min           Max
      |blci|:  58            1.0e+00       1.0e+01
      |buci|:  479           0.0e+00       1.0e+01
      |blxj|:  842           0.0e+00       0.0e+00
      |buxj|:  842           1.0e+00       1.0e+02

*** Done analyzing the problem
```

The survey is divided into a structural and numerical report. The content should be self-explanatory.

14.2 Automatic Repair of Infeasible Problems

MOSEK provides an automatic repair tool for infeasible linear problems which we cover in this section. Note that most infeasible models are so due to bugs which can (and should) be more reliably fixed manually, using the knowledge of the model structure. We discuss this approach in Sec. 8.3.

14.2.1 Automatic repair

The main idea can be described as follows. Consider the linear optimization problem with m constraints and n variables

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{minimize} & & c^T x + c^f \\ \text{subject to} & l^c & Ax \quad u^c; \\ & l^x & x \quad u^x; \end{array}$$

which is assumed to be infeasible.

One way of making the problem feasible is to reduce the lower bounds and increase the upper bounds. If the change is sufficiently large the problem becomes feasible. Now an obvious idea is to compute the optimal relaxation by solving an optimization problem. The problem

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{minimize} & & p(v_l^c; v_u^c; v_l^x; v_u^x) \\ \text{subject to} & l^c & Ax + v_l^c \quad v_u^c \quad u^c; \\ & l^x & x + v_l^x \quad v_u^x \quad u^x; \\ & & v_l^c; v_u^c; v_l^x; v_u^x \quad 0 \end{array} \tag{14.1}$$

does exactly that. The additional variables $(v_l^c)_i$, $(v_u^c)_i$, $(v_l^x)_j$ and $(v_u^c)_j$ are elasticity variables because they allow a constraint to be violated and hence add some elasticity to the problem. For instance, the elasticity variable $(v_l^c)_i$ controls how much the lower bound $(l^c)_i$ should be relaxed to make the problem feasible. Finally, the so-called penalty function

$$p(v_l^c; v_u^c; v_l^x; v_u^x)$$

is chosen so it penalizes changes to bounds. Given the weights

- $w_l^c \in R^m$ (associated with l^c),
- $w_u^c \in R^m$ (associated with u^c),
- $w_l^x \in R^n$ (associated with l^x),
- $w_u^x \in R^n$ (associated with u^x),

a natural choice is

$$p(v_l^c; v_u^c; v_l^x; v_u^x) = (w_l^c)^T v_l^c + (w_u^c)^T v_u^c + (w_l^x)^T v_l^x + (w_u^x)^T v_u^x.$$

Hence, the penalty function $p()$ is a weighted sum of the elasticity variables and therefore the problem (14.1) keeps the amount of relaxation at a minimum. Please observe that

- the problem (14.1) is always feasible.
- a negative weight implies problem (14.1) is unbounded. For this reason if the value of a weight is negative MOSEK fixes the associated elasticity variable to zero. Clearly, if one or more of the weights are negative, it may imply that it is not possible to repair the problem.

A simple choice of weights is to set them all to 1, but of course that does not take into account that constraints may have different importance.

Caveats

Observe if the infeasible problem

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{minimize} & x+z & & \\ \text{subject to} & x & = & -1, \\ & x & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

is repaired then it will become unbounded. Hence, a repaired problem may not have an optimal solution.

Another and more important caveat is that only a minimal repair is performed i.e. the repair that barely makes the problem feasible. Hence, the repaired problem is barely feasible and that sometimes makes the repaired problem hard to solve.

Using the automatic repair tool

In this subsection we consider an infeasible linear optimization example:

$$\begin{array}{lrcrcl} \text{minimize} & -10x_1 & & -9x_2, & & \\ \text{subject to} & 7/10x_1 & + & 1x_2 & \leq & 630, \\ & 1/2x_1 & + & 5/6x_2 & \leq & 600, \\ & 1x_1 & + & 2/3x_2 & \leq & 708, \\ & 1/10x_1 & + & 1/4x_2 & \leq & 135, \\ & x_1, & & x_2 & \geq & 0, \\ & & & x_2 & \geq & 650. \end{array} \tag{14.2}$$

The code following code will form the repaired problem and solve it.

Listing 14.1: An example of feasibility repair applied to problem (14.2).

```
function feasrepairex1(inputfile)

cmd = sprintf('read(%s)', inputfile);
[r,res]=mosekopt(cmd);

res.prob.primalrepair = [];
res.prob.primalrepair.wux = [1,1];
res.prob.primalrepair.wlx = [1,1];
res.prob.primalrepair.wuc = [1,1,1,1];
res.prob.primalrepair.wlc = [1,1,1,1];

param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_FEAS_REPAIR = 3;
[r,res]=mosekopt('minimize primalrepair',res.prob,param);
fprintf('Return code: %d\n',r);

end
```

The parameter *MSK_IPAR_LOG_FEAS_REPAIR* controls the amount of log output from the repair. A value of 2 causes the optimal repair to printed out. If the fields wlx, wux, wlc or wuc are not specified, they are all assumed to be 1-vectors of appropriate dimensions.

The above code will produce the following log report:

```
MOSEK Version 9.0.0.25(ALPHA) (Build date: 2017-11-7 16:11:50)
Copyright (c) MOSEK ApS, Denmark. WWW: mosek.com
Platform: Linux/64-X86

Open file 'feasrepair.lp'
Reading started.
Reading terminated. Time: 0.00

Read summary
  Type             : LO (linear optimization problem)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
  Objective sense  : min
  Scalar variables : 2
  Matrix variables : 0
  Constraints      : 4
  Cones            : 0
  Time             : 0.0

Problem
  Name                   :
  Objective sense        : min
  Type                   : LO (linear optimization problem)
  Constraints            : 4
  Cones                  : 0
  Scalar variables       : 2
  Matrix variables       : 0
  Integer variables      : 0

Primal feasibility repair started.
Optimizer started.
Presolve started.
Linear dependency checker started.
Linear dependency checker terminated.
Eliminator started.
Freed constraints in eliminator : 2
Eliminator terminated.
Eliminator - tries                  : 1                 time                   : 0.00
Lin. dep.  - tries                  : 1                 time                   : 0.00
Lin. dep.  - number                 : 0
Presolve terminated. Time: 0.00
Problem
  Name                   :
  Objective sense        : min
  Type                   : LO (linear optimization problem)
  Constraints            : 8
  Cones                  : 0
  Scalar variables       : 14
  Matrix variables       : 0
  Integer variables      : 0

Optimizer  - threads                : 20
Optimizer  - solved problem         : the primal
Optimizer  - Constraints            : 2
Optimizer  - Cones                  : 0
Optimizer  - Scalar variables       : 5                 conic                  : 0
Optimizer  - Semi-definite variables: 0                 scalarized             : 0
Factor     - setup time             : 0.00              dense det. time        : 0.00
Factor     - ML order time          : 0.00              GP order time          : 0.00
Factor     - nonzeros before factor : 3                 after factor           : 3
Factor     - dense dim.             : 0                 flops                  : 5.00e+01
ITE PFEAS    DFEAS    GFEAS    PRSTATUS   POBJ              DOBJ              MU       TIME
0   2.7e+01  1.0e+00  4.0e+00  1.00e+00   3.000000000e+00   0.000000000e+00   1.0e+00  0.00
1   2.5e+01  9.1e-01  1.4e+00  0.00e+00   8.711262850e+00   1.115287830e+01   2.4e+00  0.00
2   2.4e+00  8.8e-02  1.4e-01  -7.33e-01  4.062505701e+01   4.422203730e+01   2.3e-01  0.00
3   9.4e-02  3.4e-03  5.5e-03  1.33e+00   4.250700434e+01   4.258548510e+01   9.1e-03  0.00
4   2.0e-05  7.2e-07  1.1e-06  1.02e+00   4.249996599e+01   4.249998669e+01   1.9e-06  0.00
5   2.0e-09  7.2e-11  1.1e-10  1.00e+00   4.250000000e+01   4.250000000e+01   1.9e-10  0.00
Basis identification started.
Basis identification terminated. Time: 0.00
Optimizer terminated. Time: 0.01

Basic solution summary
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
  Problem status  : PRIMAL_AND_DUAL_FEASIBLE
  Solution status : OPTIMAL
  Primal.  obj: 4.2500000000e+01    nrm: 6e+02    Viol.  con: 1e-13    var: 0e+00
  Dual.    obj: 4.2499999999e+01    nrm: 2e+00    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 9e-11
Optimal objective value of the penalty problem: 4.250000000000e+01

Repairing bounds.
Increasing the upper bound 1.35e+02 on constraint 'c4' (3) with 2.25e+01.
Decreasing the lower bound 6.50e+02 on variable 'x2' (4) with 2.00e+01.
Primal feasibility repair terminated.
Optimizer started.
Optimizer terminated. Time: 0.00


Interior-point solution summary
  Problem status  : PRIMAL_AND_DUAL_FEASIBLE
  Solution status : OPTIMAL
  Primal.  obj: -5.6700000000e+03   nrm: 6e+02    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 0e+00
  Dual.    obj: -5.6700000000e+03   nrm: 1e+01    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 0e+00

Basic solution summary
  Problem status  : PRIMAL_AND_DUAL_FEASIBLE
  Solution status : OPTIMAL
  Primal.  obj: -5.6700000000e+03   nrm: 6e+02    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 0e+00
  Dual.    obj: -5.6700000000e+03   nrm: 1e+01    Viol.  con: 0e+00    var: 0e+00

Optimizer summary
  Optimizer                 -                        time: 0.00
    Interior-point          - iterations : 0         time: 0.00
      Basis identification  -                        time: 0.00
        Primal              - iterations : 0         time: 0.00
        Dual                - iterations : 0         time: 0.00
        Clean primal        - iterations : 0         time: 0.00
        Clean dual          - iterations : 0         time: 0.00
    Simplex                 -                        time: 0.00
      Primal simplex        - iterations : 0         time: 0.00
      Dual simplex          - iterations : 0         time: 0.00
    Mixed integer           - relaxations: 0         time: 0.00
```

It will also modify the task according to the optimal elasticity variables found. In this case the optimal repair it is to increase the upper bound on constraint c4 by 22.5 and decrease the lower bound on variable x2 by 20.

14.3 Sensitivity Analysis

Given an optimization problem it is often useful to obtain information about how the optimal objective value changes when the problem parameters are perturbed. E.g, assume that a bound represents the capacity of a machine. Now, it may be possible to expand the capacity for a certain cost and hence it is worthwhile knowing what the value of additional capacity is. This is precisely the type of questions the sensitivity analysis deals with.

Analyzing how the optimal objective value changes when the problem data is changed is called *sensitivity analysis*.

References

The book *[Chv83]* discusses the classical sensitivity analysis in Chapter 10 whereas the book *[RTV97]* presents a modern introduction to sensitivity analysis. Finally, it is recommended to read the short paper *[Wal00]* to avoid some of the pitfalls associated with sensitivity analysis.

Warning: Currently, sensitivity analysis is only available for continuous linear optimization problems. Moreover, **MOSEK** can only deal with perturbations of bounds and objective function coefficients.

14.3.1 Sensitivity Analysis for Linear Problems

The Optimal Objective Value Function

Assume that we are given the problem

$$\begin{array}{rcllllll} z(l^c, u^c, l^x, u^x, c) & = & \text{minimize} & & & c^T x & & \\ & & \text{subject to} & l^c & \leq & Ax & \leq & u^c, \\ & & & l^x & \leq & x & \leq & u^x, \end{array} \tag{14.3}$$

and we want to know how the optimal objective value changes as l_i^c is perturbed. To answer this question we define the perturbed problem for l_i^c as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcllll} f_{l_i^c}(\beta) & = & \text{minimize} & & c^T x & \\ & & \text{subject to} & l^c + \beta e_i & \leq & Ax \quad \leq u^c, \\ & & & l^x & \leq & x \leq \quad u^x, \end{array}$$

where e_i is the i-th column of the identity matrix. The function

$$f_{l_i^c}(\beta) \tag{14.4}$$

shows the optimal objective value as a function of β. Please note that a change in β corresponds to a perturbation in l_i^c and hence (14.4) shows the optimal objective value as a function of varying l_i^c with the other bounds fixed.

It is possible to prove that the function (14.4) is a piecewise linear and convex function, i.e. its graph may look like in Fig. 14.1 and Fig. 14.2.



Fig. 14.1: $\beta = 0$ is in the interior of linearity interval.

Clearly, if the function $f_{l_i^c}(\beta)$ does not change much when β is changed, then we can conclude that the optimal objective value is insensitive to changes in l_i^c. Therefore, we are interested in the rate of change in $f_{l_i^c}(\beta)$ for small changes in β — specifically the gradient

$$f'_{l_i^c}(0),$$

Fig. 14.2: $= 0$ is a breakpoint.

which is called the shadow price related to l_i^c. The shadow price specifies how the objective value changes for small changes of around zero. Moreover, we are interested in the linearity interval

$$2 [{}_1; {}_2]$$

for which

$$f_{l_i^c}^0( ) = f_{l_i^c}^0(0):$$

Since $f_{l_i^c}$ is not a smooth function $f_{l_i^c}^0$ may not be defined at 0, as illustrated in Fig. 14.2. In this case we can define a left and a right shadow price and a left and a right linearity interval.

The function $f_{l_i^c}$ considered only changes in l_i^c. We can define similar functions for the remaining parameters of the z defined in (14.3) as well:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{l_i^c}( ) &= z(l^c + e_i; u^c; l^x; u^x; c); \quad i = 1; \ldots; m; \\
f_{u_i^c}( ) &= z(l^c; u^c + e_i; l^x; u^x; c); \quad i = 1; \ldots; m; \\
f_{l_j^x}( ) &= z(l^c; u^c; l^x + e_j; u^x; c); \quad j = 1; \ldots; n; \\
f_{u_j^x}( ) &= z(l^c; u^c; l^x; u^x + e_j; c); \quad j = 1; \ldots; n; \\
f_{c_j}( ) &= z(l^c; u^c; l^x; u^x; c + e_j); \quad j = 1; \ldots; n:
\end{aligned}$$

Given these definitions it should be clear how linearity intervals and shadow prices are defined for the parameters u_i^c etc.

Equality Constraints

In MOSEK a constraint can be specified as either an equality constraint or a ranged constraint. If some constraint e_i^c is an equality constraint, we define the optimal value function for this constraint as

$$f_{e_i^c}( ) = z(l^c + e_i; u^c + e_i; l^x; u^x; c)$$

Thus for an equality constraint the upper and the lower bounds (which are equal) are perturbed simultaneously. Therefore, MOSEK will handle sensitivity analysis differently for a ranged constraint with $l_i^c = u_i^c$ and for an equality constraint.

The Basis Type Sensitivity Analysis

The classical sensitivity analysis discussed in most textbooks about linear optimization, e.g. *[Chv83]*, is based on an optimal basis. This method may produce misleading results *[RTV97]* but is computationally cheap. This is the type of sensitivity analysis implemented in **MOSEK**.

We will now briefly discuss the basis type sensitivity analysis. Given an optimal basic solution which provides a partition of variables into basic and non-basic variables, the basis type sensitivity analysis computes the linearity interval $[\beta_1, \beta_2]$ so that the basis remains optimal for the perturbed problem. A shadow price associated with the linearity interval is also computed. However, it is well-known that an optimal basic solution may not be unique and therefore the result depends on the optimal basic solution employed in the sensitivity analysis. If the optimal objective value function has a breakpoint for $\beta = 0$ then the basis type sensitivity method will only provide a subset of either the left or the right linearity interval.

In summary, the basis type sensitivity analysis is computationally cheap but does not provide complete information. Hence, the results of the basis type sensitivity analysis should be used with care.

Example: Sensitivity Analysis

As an example we will use the following transportation problem. Consider the problem of minimizing the transportation cost between a number of production plants and stores. Each plant supplies a number of goods and each store has a given demand that must be met. Supply, demand and cost of transportation per unit are shown in Fig. 14.3.



Fig. 14.3: Supply, demand and cost of transportation.

If we denote the number of transported goods from location i to location j by x_{ij}, problem can be formulated as the linear optimization problem of minimizing

$$1x_{11} + 2x_{12} + 5x_{23} + 2x_{24} + 1x_{31} + 2x_{33} + 1x_{34}$$

subject to

$$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
x_{11} & + & x_{12} & & & & & & & & & \leq & 400, \\
 & & & x_{23} & + & x_{24} & & & & & & \leq & 1200, \\
 & & & & & & & x_{31} & + & x_{33} & + \; x_{34} & \leq & 1000, \\
x_{11} & & & & & & + & x_{31} & & & & = & 800, \\
 & & x_{12} & & & & & & & & & = & 100, \\
 & & & x_{23} & + & & & & & x_{33} & & = & 500, \\
 & & & & & x_{24} & + & & & & x_{34} & = & 500, \\
x_{11}, & & x_{12}, & x_{23}, & & x_{24}, & & x_{31}, & & x_{33}, & x_{34} & \geq & 0.
\end{array} \tag{14.5}$$

The sensitivity parameters are shown in Table 14.1 and Table 14.2.

Table 14.1: Ranges and shadow prices related to bounds on constraints and variables.

Con.	β_1	β_2	σ_1	σ_2
1	-300.00	0.00	3.00	3.00
2	-700.00	$+\infty$	0.00	0.00
3	-500.00	0.00	3.00	3.00
4	-0.00	500.00	4.00	4.00
5	-0.00	300.00	5.00	5.00
6	-0.00	700.00	5.00	5.00
7	-500.00	700.00	2.00	2.00
Var.	β_1	β_2	σ_1	σ_2
x_{11}	$-\infty$	300.00	0.00	0.00
x_{12}	$-\infty$	100.00	0.00	0.00
x_{23}	$-\infty$	0.00	0.00	0.00
x_{24}	$-\infty$	500.00	0.00	0.00
x_{31}	$-\infty$	500.00	0.00	0.00
x_{33}	$-\infty$	500.00	0.00	0.00
x_{34}	-0.000000	500.00	2.00	2.00

Table 14.2: Ranges and shadow prices related to the objective coefficients.

Var.	β_1	β_2	σ_1	σ_2
c_1	$-\infty$	3.00	300.00	300.00
c_2	$-\infty$	∞	100.00	100.00
c_3	-2.00	∞	0.00	0.00
c_4	$-\infty$	2.00	500.00	500.00
c_5	-3.00	∞	500.00	500.00
c_6	$-\infty$	2.00	500.00	500.00
c_7	-2.00	∞	0.00	0.00

Examining the results from the sensitivity analysis we see that for constraint number 1 we have $\sigma_1 = 3$ and $\beta_1 = -300,\ \beta_2 = 0$.

If the upper bound on constraint 1 is decreased by

$$\beta \in [0, 300]$$

then the optimal objective value will increase by the value

$$\sigma_1 \beta = 3\beta.$$

14.3.2 Sensitivity Analysis with MOSEK

The following describe sensitivity analysis from the MATLAB toolbox.

On bounds

The index of bounds/variables to analyzed for sensitivity are specified in the following subfields of the MATLAB structure prob:

- ˆ .prisen.cons.subu Indexes of constraints, where upper bounds are analyzed for sensitivity.
- ˆ .prisen.cons.subl Indexes of constraints, where lower bounds are analyzed for sensitivity.

- .prisen.vars.subu Indexes of variables, where upper bounds are analyzed for sensitivity.
- .prisen.vars.subl Indexes of variables, where lower bounds are analyzed for sensitivity.
- .duasen.sub Index of variables where coefficients are analyzed for sensitivity.

For an equality constraint, the index can be specified in either subu or subl . After calling *mosekopt* the results are returned in the subfields prisen and duasen of res .

prisen

The field prisen is structured as follows:

- .cons : a MATLAB structure with subfields:
  - .lr_bl Left value β_1 in the linearity interval for a lower bound.
  - .rr_bl Right value β_2 in the linearity interval for a lower bound.
  - .ls_bl Left shadow price s_l for a lower bound.
  - .rs_bl Right shadow price s_r for a lower bound.
  - .lr_bu Left value β_1 in the linearity interval for an upper bound.
  - .rr_bu Right value β_2 in the linearity interval for an upper bound.
  - .ls_bu Left shadow price s_l for an upper bound.
  - .rs_bu Right shadow price s_r for an upper bound.
- .var : MATLAB structure with subfields:
  - .lr_bl Left value β_1 in the linearity interval for a lower bound on a varable.
  - .rr_bl Right value β_2 in the linearity interval for a lower bound on a varable.
  - .ls_bl Left shadow price s_l for a lower bound on a varable.
  - .rs_bl Right shadow price s_r for lower bound on a varable.
  - .lr_bu Left value β_1 in the linearity interval for an upper bound on a varable.
  - .rr_bu Right value β_2 in the linearity interval for an upper bound on a varable.
  - .ls_bu Left shadow price s_l for an upper bound on a varables.
  - .rs_bu Right shadow price s_r for an upper bound on a varables.

duasen

The field duasen is structured as follows:

- .lr_c Left value β_1 of linearity interval for an objective coefficient.
- .rr_c Right value β_2 of linearity interval for an objective coefficient.
- .ls_c Left shadow price s_l for an objective coefficients .
- .rs_c Right shadow price s_r for an objective coefficients.

Example

Consider the problem defined in (14.5). Suppose we wish to perform sensitivity analysis on all bounds and coefficients. The following example demonstrates this as well as the method for changing between basic and full sensitivity analysis.

Listing 14.2: A script to perform sensitivity analysis on problem (14.5).

```
function sensitivity()

clear prob;

% Obtain all symbolic constants
% defined by MOSEK.
[r,res]  = mosekopt('symbcon');
sc       = res.symbcon;

prob.blc = [-Inf, -Inf, -Inf, 800,100,500,500];
prob.buc = [ 400, 1200, 1000, 800,100,500,500];
prob.c   = [1.0,2.0,5.0,2.0,1.0,2.0,1.0]';
prob.blx = [0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0];
prob.bux = [Inf,Inf,Inf,Inf, Inf,Inf,Inf];

subi     = [  1,  1,  2,  2,  3,  3,  3,  4,  4,  5,  6,  6,  7,  7];
subj     = [  1,  2,  3,  4,  5,  6,  7,  1,  5,  6,  3,  6,  4,  7];
val      = [1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0,1.0];

prob.a = sparse(subi,subj,val);

% analyse upper bound 1:7
prob.prisen.cons.subl = [];
prob.prisen.cons.subu = [1:7];
% analyse lower bound on variables 1:7
prob.prisen.vars.subl = [1:7];
prob.prisen.vars.subu = [];
% analyse coeficient 1:7
prob.duasen.sub = [1:7];
[r,res] = mosekopt('minimize echo(0)',prob);

%Print results

fprintf('\nBasis sensitivity results:\n')
fprintf('\nSensitivity for bounds on constraints:\n')
for i = 1:length(prob.prisen.cons.subl)
  fprintf (...
  'con = %d, beta_1 = %.1f, beta_2 = %.1f, delta_1 = %.1f,delta_2 = %.1f\n', ...
  prob.prisen.cons.subl(i),res.prisen.cons.lr_bl(i), ...
  res.prisen.cons.rr_bl(i),...
  res.prisen.cons.ls_bl(i),...
  res.prisen.cons.rs_bl(i));
end

for i = 1:length(prob.prisen.cons.subu)
  fprintf (...
  'con = %d, beta_1 = %.1f, beta_2 = %.1f, delta_1 = %.1f,delta_2 = %.1f\n', ...
  prob.prisen.cons.subu(i),res.prisen.cons.lr_bu(i), ...
  res.prisen.cons.rr_bu(i),...
  res.prisen.cons.ls_bu(i),...
  res.prisen.cons.rs_bu(i));
end
fprintf('Sensitivity for bounds on variables:\n')
for i = 1:length(prob.prisen.vars.subl)
fprintf (...
'var = %d, beta_1 = %.1f, beta_2 = %.1f, delta_1 = %.1f,delta_2 = %.1f\n', ...
 prob.prisen.vars.subl(i),res.prisen.vars.lr_bl(i), ...
 res.prisen.vars.rr_bl(i),...
 res.prisen.vars.ls_bl(i),...
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
 res.prisen.vars.rs_bl(i));
end

for i = 1:length(prob.prisen.vars.subu)
  fprintf (...
  'var = %d, beta_1 = %.1f, beta_2 = %.1f, delta_1 = %.1f,delta_2 = %.1f\n', ...
  prob.prisen.vars.subu(i),res.prisen.vars.lr_bu(i), ...
  res.prisen.vars.rr_bu(i),...
  res.prisen.vars.ls_bu(i),...
  res.prisen.vars.rs_bu(i));
end

fprintf('Sensitivity for coefficients in objective:\n')
for i = 1:length(prob.duasen.sub)
  fprintf (...
  'var = %d, beta_1 = %.1f, beta_2 = %.1f, delta_1 = %.1f,delta_2 = %.1f\n', ...
  prob.duasen.sub(i),res.duasen.lr_c(i), ...
  res.duasen.rr_c(i),...
  res.duasen.ls_c(i),...
  res.duasen.rs_c(i));
end
```

The output from running the example in Listing 14.2 is shown below.

```
Sensitivity for bounds on constraints:
con = 1, beta_1 = -300.0, beta_2 = 0.0, delta_1 = 3.0,delta_2 = 3.0
con = 2, beta_1 = -700.0, beta_2 = Inf, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
con = 3, beta_1 = -500.0, beta_2 = 0.0, delta_1 = 3.0,delta_2 = 3.0
con = 4, beta_1 = -0.0, beta_2 = 500.0, delta_1 = 4.0,delta_2 = 4.0
con = 5, beta_1 = -0.0, beta_2 = 300.0, delta_1 = 5.0,delta_2 = 5.0
con = 6, beta_1 = -0.0, beta_2 = 700.0, delta_1 = 5.0,delta_2 = 5.0
con = 7, beta_1 = -500.0, beta_2 = 700.0, delta_1 = 2.0,delta_2 = 2.0
Sensitivity for bounds on variables:
var = 1, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 300.0, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
var = 2, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 100.0, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
var = 3, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 0.0, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
var = 4, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 500.0, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
var = 5, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 500.0, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
var = 6, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 500.0, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
var = 7, beta_1 = -0.0, beta_2 = 500.0, delta_1 = 2.0,delta_2 = 2.0
Sensitivity for coefficients in objective:
var = 1, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 3.0, delta_1 = 300.0,delta_2 = 300.0
var = 2, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = Inf, delta_1 = 100.0,delta_2 = 100.0
var = 3, beta_1 = -2.0, beta_2 = Inf, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
var = 4, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 2.0, delta_1 = 500.0,delta_2 = 500.0
var = 5, beta_1 = -3.0, beta_2 = Inf, delta_1 = 500.0,delta_2 = 500.0
var = 6, beta_1 = Inf, beta_2 = 2.0, delta_1 = 500.0,delta_2 = 500.0
var = 7, beta_1 = -2.0, beta_2 = Inf, delta_1 = 0.0,delta_2 = 0.0
```

Chapter 15

Toolbox API Reference

- *General API conventions.*
- **Command reference:**
  - *Complete list of functions*
  - `mosekopt` - the main interface
  - *Data structures*
- **Optimizer parameters:**
  - *Double*, *Integer*, *String*
  - *Full list*
  - *Browse by topic*
- **Optimizer information items:**
  - `Double`, `Integer`, `Long`
- *Optimizer response codes*
- *Constants*
- *Functions compatible with the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox*
- *Nonlinear API (mskenopt, mskscopt, mskgpopt)*

15.1 API conventions

Problem setup

An optimization problem in Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB is specified using the `prob` structure. The specification of numerical part of the data can be found in Sec. 15.3.1.

Constants

Constants mentioned in Sec. 15.7 and Sec. 15.5 can be used as strings or as symbolic constants. To get the structure with all symbolic constants available execute:

```
[r, res] = mosekopt('symbcon');
```

They can later be used simply as, for example:

```
res.symbcon.MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER
```

15.2 Command Reference

The **MOSEK** toolbox provides a set of functions to interface to the **MOSEK** solver.

Main interface

mosekopt is the main interface to **MOSEK**.

Helper functions

These functions provide an easy-to-use but less flexible interface than the *mosekopt* function. They are just wrappers around the *mosekopt* interface written in MATLAB.

- *msklpopt* : Solves linear optimization problems.
- *mskqpopt* : Solves quadratic optimization problems.

Options

Functions for manipulating parameter values.

- *mskoptimget* : Get the solver parameters.
- *mskoptimset* : Set the solver parameters.

MATLAB Optimization Toolbox compatible functions.

Functions that override standard functions from the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox (the user may choose not to install those).

- *linprog* : Solves linear optimization problems.
- *quadprog* : Solves quadratic optimization problems.
- *intlinprog* : Solves linear optimization problems with integer variables.
- *lsqlin* : Solves least-squares with linear constraints.
- *lsqnonneg* : Solves least-squares with non-negativity constraints.

15.2.1 Main Interface

rcode, res = mosekopt(cmd, prob, param, callback)

Solves an optimization problem. Data specifying the optimization problem can either be read from a file or be inputted directly from MATLAB. It also makes it possible to write a file and provides other functionalities.

The behavior is specified by the cmdparameter which recognizes the following commands:

- anapro: Runs the problem analyzer.
- echo(n) : Controls how much log information is printed to the screen. n must be a nonnegative integer, where 0 means silent. See Sec. 7.3.1.
- info : Return the complete task information database in res.info . See Sec. 7.5.
- param: Return the complete parameter database in res.param . See Sec. 7.4.
- primalrepair : Performs a primal feasibility repair. See Sec. 14.2.
- maximize: Maximize the objective.
- max: Sets the objective sense (similar to maximize), without performing an optimization.
- minimize : Minimize the objective.
- min: Sets the objective sense (similar to minimize), without performing an optimization.
- nokeepenv: Delete the **MOSEK** environment after running the optimizer. This can increase the license checkout overhead significantly and is therefore only intended as a debug feature. Can also be used to delete the environment and release all licenses. See Sec. 10.4.
- read(name): Request that data is read from a file name See Sec. 7.3.4.

- statuskeys(n) : Controls the format of status keys (problem status, solution status etc.) in the returned problem:
  - statuskeys(0) – all the status keys are returned as strings,
  - statuskeys(1) – all the status keys are returned as numeric codes.
- symbcon: Return the list of symbolic constants in res.symbcon.
- write(name) : Write problem to the file name See Sec. 7.3.3.
- log(name) : Write solver log output to the file name See Sec. 7.3.1.
- version : Return the **MOSEK** version numbers in res.version .
- debug(n) : Prints debug information including license paths. n must be a nonnegative integer which determines how much to print.
- toconic prob : Convert a quadratic problem to conic form. See Sec. 9.1.

Parameters

- cmd (string) – The commands to be executed. By default it takes the value minimize .
- prob (*prob*) – A structure containing the problem data. (optional)
- param (struct) – A structure specifying **MOSEK** parameters. See Sec. 7.4. (optional)
- callback (*callback*) – A MATLAB structure defining call-back data and functions. See Sec. 7.6. (optional)

Return

- rcode (*rescode*) – A response code. See also Sec. 7.1.
- res (*res*) – A structure containing solutions and other results from the call. See Sec. 7.1.

15.2.2 Helper Functions

res = msklpopt(c , a, blc , buc, blx , bux, param, cmd)

Solves a linear optimization problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & l^c \leq Ax \leq u^c, \\ & l^x \leq x \leq u^x. \end{array}$$

blc=[] and buc=[] mean that the lower and upper bounds are $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, respectively. The same interpretation is used for blx and bux. The value -inf is allowed in blc and blx . Similarly, inf is allowed in *buc* and *bux*.

Parameters

- c [in] (double[]) – The objective function vector.
- a [in] (double[][]) – A (preferably sparse) matrix.
- blc [in] (double[]) – Constraints lower bounds.
- buc [in] (double[]) – Constraints upper bounds.
- blx [in] (double[]) – Variables lower bounds.
- bux [in] (double[]) – Variables upper bounds.
- param [in] (struct) – **MOSEK** parameters. (optional)
- cmd [in] (string) – The command list. See *mosekopt* for a list of available commands. (optional)

Return res (*res*) – Solution information.

res = mskqpopt(q, c, a, blc , buc, blx , bux, param, cmd)

Solves the optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & l^c \leq Ax \leq u^c, \\ & l^x \leq x \leq u^x. \end{array}$$

blc=[] and buc=[] mean that the lower and upper bounds are $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, respectively. The same interpretation is used for blx and bux. The value -inf is allowed in blc and blx . Similarly, inf is allowed in *buc* and *bux*.

Parameters

- q (double[]) – The matrix Q, which must be symmetric positive semidefinite.
- c [in] (double[]) – The objective function vector.
- a (double[][]) – A (preferably) sparse matrix.
- blc [in] (double[]) – Constraints lower bounds.
- buc [in] (double[]) – Constraints upper bounds
- blx [in] (double[]) – Variables lower bounds
- bux [in] (double[]) – Variables upper bounds
- param [in] (struct) – **MOSEK** parameters. (optional)
- cmd [in] (string) – The command list. See *mosekopt* for a list of available commands. (optional)

Return res (*res*) – Solution information.

15.2.3 Options

val = mskoptimget(options , param, default)

Obtains the value of an optimization parameter. See the *mskoptimset* function for which parameters that can be set.

Parameters

- options [in] (struct) – The optimization options structure.
- param [in] (string) – Name of the optimization parameter for which the value should be obtained.
- default [in] (string) – If paramis not defined, the value of default is returned instead. (optional)

Return val (list) – Value of the required option. If the option does not exist, then [] is returned unless the value default is defined in which case the default value is returned.

options = mskoptimset(arg1 , arg2, param1, value1 , param2, value2 , ...)

Obtains and modifies the optimization options structure. Only a subset of the fields in the optimization structure recognized by the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox is recognized by **MOSEK**. In addition the optimization options structure can be used to modify all the **MOSEK** specific parameters defined in Sec. 15.5.

- .Diagnostics Used to control how much diagnostic information is printed. Following values are accepted:

off	No diagnostic information is printed.
on	Diagnostic information is printed.

- .Display Defines what information is displayed. The following values are accepted:

off	No output is displayed.
iter	Some output is displayed for each iteration.
final	Only the final output is displayed.

- .MaxIter Maximum number of iterations allowed.
- .Write A file name to write the problem to. If equal to the empty string no file is written. E.g the option Write(myfile.opf) writes the file myfile.opf in the opf format.

Parameters

- arg1 [in] (None) – Is allowed to be any of the following two things (optional):
  - Any string — The same as using no argument.

– A structure — The argument is assumed to be a structure containing options, which are copied to the return options.

ˆ param1 [in] (string) – A string containing the name of a parameter that should be modified. (optional)

ˆ value1 [in] (None) – The new value assigned to the parameter with the name param1 (optional)

ˆ param2 [in] (None) – See param1 (optional)

ˆ value2 [in] (None) – See value1 . (optional)

Return options (struct) – The updated optimization options structure.

15.2.4 MATLAB Optimization Toolbox Compatible Functions.

x, fval , exitflag , output = intlinprog(f , intcon , A, b, B, c, l , u, options)
x, fval , exitflag , output = intlinprog(problem)

Solves the mixed-integer linear optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \leq b, \\ & Bx = c, \\ & l \leq x \leq u, \\ & x(\text{intcon}) \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

Parameters

ˆ f [in] (double[]) – The objective function.

ˆ intcon [in] (int[]) – The list of variables constrained to the set $\mathbb{Z}$.

ˆ A [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the inequalities. Use A=[] if there are no inequalities.

ˆ b [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the inequalities. Use b=[] if there are no inequalities.

ˆ B [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the equalities. (optional)

ˆ c [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the equalities. (optional)

ˆ l [in] (double[]) – Lower bounds for variables. Use -inf to represent infinite lower bounds. (optional)

ˆ u [in] (double[]) – Upper bounds for variables. Use inf to represent infinite upper bounds. (optional)

ˆ options [in] (struct) – An optimization options structure. See the *mskoptimset* function for the definition of the optimization options structure (optional). This function uses the options

– .Diagnostics

– .Display

– .MaxTime Time limit in seconds.

– .MaxNodes The maximum number of branch-and-bounds allowed.

– .Write Name of file to save the problem.

ˆ problem [in] (struct) – A structure containing the fields f, intcon, A, b, B, c, l, u and options .

Return

ˆ x (double[]) – The solution x.

ˆ fval (double) – The objective $f^T x$.

ˆ exitflag (int) – A number which has the interpretation:

– 1 The function returned an integer feasible solution.

– -2 The problem is infeasible.

– -4 MaxNodes reached without converging.

– -5 MaxTime reached without converging.

x, fval , exitflag , output , lambda = linprog(f , A, b, B, c, l , u, options)

x, fval , exitflag , output , lambda = linprog(problem)

Solves the linear optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \leq b, \\ & Bx = c, \\ & l \leq x \leq u. \end{array}$$

Parameters

- f [in] (double[]) – The objective function.
- A [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the inequalities. Use $A = []$ if there are no inequalities.
- b [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the inequalities. Use $b = []$ if there are no inequalities.
- B [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the equalities. (optional)
- c [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the equalities. (optional)
- l [in] (double[]) – Lower bounds on the variables. Use -inf to represent infinite lower bounds. (optional)
- u [in] (double[]) – Upper bounds on the variables. Use inf to represent infinite upper bounds. (optional)
- options [in] (struct) – An optimization options structure (optional). See the *mskoptimset* function for the definition of the optimization options structure. This function uses the options
  - .Diagnostics
  - .Display
  - .MaxIter
  - .Simplex Choose the simplex algorithm: 'on' — the optimizer chooses wither primal or dual simplex (as in *"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX"*), 'primal ' — use primal simplex, 'dual ' — use dual simplex. The 'primal ' and 'dual ' values are specific for the **MOSEK** interface, and not present in the standard MATLAB version.
  - .Write Name of file to save the problem.
- problem [in] (struct) – structure containing the fields f , A, b, B, c, l , u and options .
- output [in] (struct) – A structure with the following fields
  - .iterations Number of interior-point iterations spent to reach the optimum.
  - .algorithm Always defined as 'MOSEK
- lambda [in] (struct) – A struct with the following fields
  - .lower Lagrange multipliers for lower bounds l.
  - .upper Lagrange multipliers for upper bounds u.
  - .ineqlin Lagrange multipliers for the inequalities.
  - .eqlin Lagrange multipliers for the equalities.

Return

- x (double[]) – The optimal x solution.
- fval (double) – The optimal objective value, i.e. $f^T x$.
- exitflag (int) – A number which has the interpretation [in]:
  - < 0 The problem is likely to be either primal or dual infeasible.
  - $= 0$ The maximum number of iterations was reached.
  - > 0 x is an optimal solution.

x, resnorm, residual , exitflag , output , lambda = lsqlin(C , d, A, b, B, c, l , u, x0, options)

Solves the linear least squares problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2} \|Cx - d\|_2^2 \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \leq b, \\ & Bx = c, \\ & l \leq x \leq u. \end{array}$$

Parameters

- C [in] (double[][]) – The matrix in the objective.
- d [in] (double[]) – The vector in the objective.
- A [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the inequalities. Use $A = []$ if there are no inequalities.
- b [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the inequalities. Use $b = []$ if there are no inequalities.
- B [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the equalities. (optional)
- c [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the equalities. (optional)
- l [in] (double[]) – Lower bounds on the variables. Use -inf to represent infinite lower bounds. (optional)
- u [in] (double[]) – Upper bounds on the variables. Use inf to represent infinite upper bounds. (optional)
- x0 [in] (double[]) – Ignored by **MOSEK**. (optional)
- options [in] (struct) – An optimization options structure (optional). See the function *mskoptimset* function for the definition of the optimization options structure. This function uses the options
  - .Diagnostics
  - .Display
  - .MaxIter
  - .Write

Return

- x (double[]) – The optimal x solution.
- resnorm (double) – The squared norm of the optimal residuals, i.e. $\|Cx - d\|_2^2$ evaluated at the optimal solution.
- residual (double) – The residual $Cx - d$.
- exitflag (int) – A scalar which has the interpretation:
  - < 0 The problem is likely to be either primal or dual infeasible.
  - $= 0$ The maximum number of iterations was reached.
  - > 0 x is the optimal solution.
- output (struct) –
  - .iterations Number of iterations spent to reach the optimum.
  - .algorithm Always defined as 'MOSEK'
- lambda (struct) –
  - .lower Lagrange multipliers for lower bounds l.
  - .upper Lagrange multipliers for upper bounds u.
  - .ineqlin Lagrange multipliers for inequalities.
  - .eqlin Lagrange multipliers for equalities.

x, resnorm, residual , exitflag , output , lambda = lsqnonneg(C, d, x0, options)

Solves the linear least squares problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}\|Cx - d\|_2^2 \\ \text{subject to} & x \geq 0. \end{array}$$

Parameters

- C [in] (double[][]) – The matrix in the objective.
- d [in] (double[]) – The vector in the objective.
- x0 [in] (double[]) – Ignored by **MOSEK**. (optional)
- options [in] (struct) – An optimization options structure (optional). See the *mskoptimset* function for the definition of the optimization options structure. This function uses the options
  - .Diagnostics

- .Display
- .MaxIter
- .Write

Return

- x (double[]) – The x solution.
- resnorm (double) – The squared norm of the optimal residuals, i.e. $\|Cx - d\|_2^2$ evaluated at the optimal solution.
- exitflag (int) – A number which has the interpretation:
  - < 0 The problem is likely to be either primal or dual infeasible.
  - $= 0$ The maximum number of iterations was reached.
  - > 0 x is optimal solution.
- output (struct) –
  - .iterations Number of iterations spend to reach the optimum.
  - .algorithm Always defined to be 'MOSEK'
- lambda (struct) –
  - .lower Lagrange multipliers for lower bounds l.
  - .upper Lagrange multipliers for upper bounds u.
  - .ineqlin Lagrange multipliers for inequalities.
  - .eqlin Lagrange multipliers for equalities.

x, fval , exitflag , output , lambda = quadprog(H, f , A, b, B, c, l , u, x0, options)

Solves the quadratic optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Hx + f^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \leq b, \\ & Bx = c, \\ & l \leq x \leq u. \end{array}$$

Parameters

- H [in] (double[][]) – Hessian of the objective function. The matrix H must be symmetric positive semidefinite. Contrary to the MATLAB optimization toolbox, **MOSEK** handles only the cases where H is positive semidefinite. On the other hand **MOSEK** always computes a global optimum.
- f [in] (double[]) – The linear term of the objective.
- A [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the inequalities. Use $A = []$ if there are no inequalities.
- b [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the inequalities. Use $b = []$ if there are no inequalities.
- B [in] (double[][]) – Constraint matrix for the equalities. (optional)
- c [in] (double[]) – Right-hand side for the equalities. (optional)
- l [in] (double[]) – Lower bounds on the variables. Use -inf to represent infinite lower bounds. (optional)
- u [in] (double[]) – Upper bounds on the variables. Use inf to represent infinite upper bounds. (optional)
- x0 [in] (double[]) – Ignored by **MOSEK**. (optional)
- options [in] (struct) – An optimization options structure (optional). See the *mskoptimset* function for the definition of the optimizations options structure. This function uses the options
  - .Diagnostics
  - .Display
  - .MaxIter
  - .Write

Return

- x (double[]) – The x solution.

Conic problem with a□ne conic constraints

A conic problem with affine conic constraints is an extension of a linear problem and has the form:

$$\begin{array}{lrcccll} \text{minimize} & & & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j + \sum_{j=1}^p \langle \overline{C}_j, \overline{X}_j \rangle + c^f & & & \\ \text{subject to} & l_i^c & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j + \sum_{j=1}^p \langle \overline{A}_{ij}, \overline{X}_j \rangle & \leq & u_i^c, & i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & l_j^x & \leq & x_j & \leq & u_j^x, & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\ & & & Fx + g \in \mathcal{K}, & & & \\ & & & \overline{X}_j \in \mathcal{S}_+^{r_j}, & & & j = 1, \ldots, p \end{array} \tag{15.3}$$

It has n variables, m linear constraints, a cone $\mathcal{K}$ (see below) and $p \geq 0$ semidefinite variables. See also Sec. 12.5.

The conic constraint

$$Fx + g \in \mathcal{K}$$

where $F \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ and $g \in \mathbb{R}^k$ means that an affine combination of x belongs to a product of cones $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{K}_s$ of total length (dimension) k. The available cone types are the same as above.

Quadratic and quadratically constrained problems

A problem with quadratic objective or constraints has the form:

$$\begin{array}{lrcccll} \text{minimize} & & & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n q_{ij}^o x_i x_j + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j + c^f & & & \\ \text{subject to} & l_i^c & \leq & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n q_{jk}^i x_j x_k + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j & \leq & u_i^c, & i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ & l_j^x & \leq & x & \leq & u_j^x, & j = 1, \ldots, n. \end{array} \tag{15.4}$$

It has n variables, and m constraints. The matrix $Q^o = (q_{ij}^o)_{i=1,\ldots,n, j=1,\ldots,n}$ must be symmetric positive semidefinite. See also Sec. 12.4. Each of the matrices $Q^i = (q_{jk}^i)_{j=1,\ldots,n, k=1,\ldots,n}$ for $j = 1 \ldots, m$ must be

- negative semidefinite if $-\infty < l_i^c$, $u_i^c = +\infty$,
- positive semidefinite if $-\infty = l_i^c$, $u_i^c < +\infty$,
- zero otherwise.

Mixed-integer problems

All problems without semidefinite variables may be integer-constrained, i.e., for some set $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we require

$$x_j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{J} \tag{15.5}$$

Minimization vs. Maximization

The objective of every problem can be maximized rather than minimized without any change. In case of quadratic problems the matrix Q^o must be negative semidefinite.

Data specification in MATLAB

- The linear constraint matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i=1\ldots,m, j=1,\ldots,n}$ must be a sparse matrix. The dimensions of A are used to determine the number of constraints m and the number of variables n in the problem.
- The symmetric matrices Q^o, Q^i, $\overline{C}_j$ and $\overline{A}_{ij}$ are specified in sparse triplet format discarding zero elements, and since they are symmetric, only the lower triangular parts should be specified. A generic matrix M specified in sparse triplet format is given by three arrays subi , subj and val of the same length such that

$$M_{\texttt{subi[t]},\texttt{subj[t]}} = \texttt{val[t]}, \qquad t = 1, \ldots, \texttt{len(val)}$$

- For a specification of the cones $\mathcal{K}$ see *cones*.

ˆ For a specification of the semidefinite part see *bara* and *barc*.

The parameters of the optimization problem are stored using one or more subfields of the *prob* structure using the naming convention in Table 15.1. Only a is obligatory. All other fields are optional depending on what problem type is defined.

Table 15.1: The relation between fields and problem parameters

Field name	Type	Dimension	Problem parameters
a	sparse matrix	$m \times n$	a_{ij}
c	double[]	n	c_j
cfix	double	1	c^f
blc	double[]	m	l_i^c
buc	double[]	m	u_i^c
blx	double[]	n	l_j^x
bux	double[]	n	u_j^x
ints.subs	int[]	$\lvert\mathcal{J}\rvert$	$\mathcal{J}$
cones	*cones*		$\mathcal{K}$
f	sparse matrix	$k \times n$	F
g	double[]	k	g
bardim	int[]	p	r_j
barc	*barc*		$\overline{C}_j$
bara	*bara*		$\overline{A}_{ij}$
qosubi	int[]	len(qoval)	q_{ij}^o, sparse rep.
qosubj	int[]	len(qoval)	q_{ij}^o, sparse rep.
qoval	double[]	len(qoval)	q_{ij}^o, sparse rep.
qcsubk	int[]	len(qcval)	q_{ij}^k, sparse rep.
qcsubi	int[]	len(qcval)	q_{ij}^k, sparse rep.
qcsubj	int[]	len(qcval)	q_{ij}^k, sparse rep.
qcval	double[]	len(qcval)	q_{ij}^k, sparse rep.

The int type indicates that the field must contain an integer value, double indicates any real number. This distinction is only a convenience for the reader — all actual data structures in MATLAB are ordinary matrices/arrays of floating-point numbers.

The sparse representation of quadratic terms is:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{o}_{\texttt{qosubi(t)},\texttt{qoval(t)}} &= \texttt{qoval(t)}, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, \texttt{length(qoval)}, \\ q^{\texttt{qcsubk(t)}}_{\texttt{qcsubi(t)},\texttt{qcsubj(t)}} &= \texttt{qcval(t)}, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, \texttt{length(qcval)}. \end{aligned} \tag{15.6}$$

Since Q^o, Q^i are by assumption symmetric, all elements are assumed to belong to the lower triangular part. If an element is specified multiple times, the different elements are added together.

15.3.2 Data Types and Structures

prob

The prob data structure is used to communicate an optimization problem to **MOSEK** or for **MOSEK** to return an optimization problem to the user. It defines an optimization problem using a number of subfields. Most of the fields are optional, depending on what problem type is being solved.

Fields

- names(*names*) – A structure which contains the names of the problem, variables, constraints and so on.
- a (double[][]) – The linear constraint matrix. It is obligatory, and its dimensions define the number of variables and constraints. It must be a **sparse matrix**. This field should always be defined, even if the problem does not have any constraints. In that case a sparse matrix having zero rows and the correct number of columns is the appropriate definition of the field.
- c (double[]) – Linear term in the objective.

- ˆ cfix (double) – Fixed term in the objective.
- ˆ blc (double[]) – Lower bounds of the constraints. $-\infty$ denotes an infinite lower bound. If the field is not defined or blc==[] , then all the lower bounds are assumed to be equal to $-\infty$.
- ˆ buc (double[]) – Upper bounds of the constraints. ∞ denotes an infinite upper bound. If the field is not defined or buc==[] , then all the upper bounds are assumed to be equal to ∞.
- ˆ blx (double[]) – Lower bounds on the variables. $-\infty$ denotes an infinite lower bound. If the field is not defined or blx==[] , then all the lower bounds are assumed to be equal to $-\infty$.
- ˆ bux (double[]) – Upper bounds on the variables. ∞ denotes an infinite upper bound. If the field is not defined or bux==[] , then all the upper bounds are assumed to be equal to ∞.
- ˆ bardim (int[]) – A list with the dimensions of the semidefinite variables.
- ˆ barc (*barc*) – A structure for specifying $\overline{C}_j$.
- ˆ bara (*bara*) – A structure for specifying $\overline{A}_{ij}$.
- ˆ qosubi (int[]) – i subscripts in the sparse specification of q^o_{ij} in Q^o. See (15.6).
- ˆ qosubj (int[]) – j subscripts in the sparse specification of q^o_{ij} in Q^o. See (15.6).
- ˆ qoval (double[]) – Numerical values in the sparse specification of q^o_{ij} in Q^o. See (15.6).
- ˆ qcsubk (int[]) – k subscripts in the sparse specification of q^k_{ij} in Q^k. See (15.6)
- ˆ qcsubi (int[]) – i subscripts in the sparse specification of q^k_{ij} in Q^k. See (15.6)
- ˆ qcsubj (double[]) – j subscripts in the sparse specification of q^k_{ij} in Q^k. See (15.6)
- ˆ qcval (double[]) – Numerical values in the sparse specification of q^k_{ij} in Q^k. See (15.6)
- ˆ f (double[][]) – The matrix of affine conic constraints. It must be a sparse matrix.
- ˆ g (double[]) – The constant term of affine conic constraints. If not present or g==[] it is assumed $g = 0$.
- ˆ ints.sub (int[]) – A list of indexes of integer-constrained variables. ints.sub is identical to the set $|$ in (15.5).
- ˆ cones (*cones*) – A structure defining either the conic constraints in (15.2) or the affine conic constraints in (15.3).
- ˆ sol (*solver_solutions*) – A structure containing a guess on the optimal solution which some of the optimizers in **MOSEK** may exploit.
- ˆ primlarepair (*primal_repair*) – Specification of primal feasibility repair. See Sec. 14.2.1.
- ˆ prisen (*prisen*) – Request sensitivity analysis. See Sec. 14.3.
- ˆ duasen (*duasen*) – Request sensitivity analysis. See Sec. 14.3.

res

Contains a response from *mosekopt*.

Fields

- ˆ sol (*solver_solutions*) – A structure containing solutions (if any).
- ˆ rcode (int) – The numerical response code from the solver. See Sec. 7.2.
- ˆ rcodestr (string) – The response code from the solver as a symbolic string. See Sec. 7.2.
- ˆ rmsg (string) – A message explaining the error (if any). See Sec. 7.2.
- ˆ info (struct) – A structure containing information items (if requested by the command info in *mosekopt*). See Sec. 7.5.
- ˆ prob (*prob*) – Contains the problem data, if the command read was used to read a problem from a file. See Sec. 7.3.4.
- ˆ param (struct) – A structure which contains the complete **MOSEK** parameter database (if requested by the command param in *mosekopt*). See Sec. 7.4.

- symbcon (struct) – A structure which contains symbolic constants and their numerical values (if requested by the command symbconin *mosekopt*). See Sec. 15.7 and Sec. 15.6.
- version (struct) – A structure which contains the **MOSEK** version numbers (if requested by the command version in *mosekopt*).
- prisen (*prisen*) – A structure with results of sensitivity analysis (if requested by passing prisen data in prob). See Sec. 14.3.
- duasen (*duasen*) – A structure with results of sensitivity analysis (if requested by passing duasen data in prob). See Sec. 14.3.

solver_solutions

It contains informations about initial/final solutions. Availability of solutions depends on the problem/algorithm type, see Sec. 7.1.2.

Fields

- itr (*solution*) – Interior solution.
- bas (*solution*) – Basic solution.
- int (*solution*) – Integer solution.

solution

Stores information about one solution. See Sec. 7.1.2.

Fields

- prosta (string) – Problem status (*prosta*).
- solsta (string) – Solution status (*solsta*).
- skc (string[]) – Linear constraint status keys (*stakey*).
- skx (string[]) – Variable status keys (*stakey*).
- skn (string[]) – Conic constraint status keys (*stakey*, not in basic solution).
- xc (double[]) – Constraint activities, i.e., $x_c = Ax$ where x is the optimal solution.
- xx (double[]) – The optimal x solution.
- barx (list) – Semidefinite variable solution (not in basic solution).
- y (double[]) – Identical to sol.slc-sol.suc (not in integer solution).
- slc (double[]) – Dual variable for constraint lower bounds (not in integer solution).
- suc (double[]) – Dual variable for constraint upper bounds (not in integer solution).
- slx (double[]) – Dual variable for variable lower bounds (not in integer solution).
- sux (double[]) – Dual variable for variable upper bounds (not in integer solution).
- snx (double[]) – Dual variable of conic constraints (not in basic or integer solution).
- doty (double[]) – Dual variables of affine conic constraints (not in basic or integer solution).
- bars (list) – Dual variable of semidefinite domains (not in basic or integer solution).
- pobjval (double) – The primal objective value.
- dobjval (double) – The dual objective value (not in integer solution).

names

This structure is used to store all the names of individual items in the optimization problem such as the constraints and the variables.

Fields

- name(string) – contains the problem name.
- obj (string) – contains the name of the objective.
- con (cell) – a cell array where names.con{i} contains the name of the i-th constraint.

- var (cell) – a cell array where names.var{j} contains the name of the j-th variable.
- cone (cell) – a cell array where names.cone{t} contains the name of the t-th conic constraint.
- barvar (cell) – a cell array where names.barvar{j} contains the name of the j-th semidefinite variable.

cones

Represents either conic constraints or affine conic constraints.

Conic constraints. For conic constraints $x \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_s$, cones is a structure containing three or four fields:

- type (list) — An array with the cone type for each cone; see *conetype*.
- sub (int[]) — A concatenation of index lists of all the cones.
- subptr (int[]) — An array of pointers indicating the beginning of consecutive cones in sub.
- conepar (double[]) — An array of cone parameters; for a power cone this is the exponent α, for other cone types the value is irrelevant. If the problem contains no power cones this field is not required.

The arrays type and subptr (and conepar if present) must have the same length s. For every $j = 1, \ldots, s$ the vector $x_{\texttt{sub[subptr[j]:(subptr[j+1]-1)]}}$ belongs to a cone of type `type[j]` with parameter `conepar[j]`. For example for the two cones

$$x_5 \geq \sqrt{x_3^2 + x_1^2}, \quad x_6^{0.3} x_4^{0.7} \geq \sqrt{x_2^2 + x_7^2}$$

the description could be:

```
cones.type   = [res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD, res.symbcon.MSK_CT_PPOW];
cones.sub    = [5, 3, 1, 6, 4, 2, 7];
cones.subptr = [1, 4];
cones.conepar= [0.0, 0.3];
```

Affine conic constraints. For affine conic constraints $Fx + g \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_s$, cones is a list consisting of s concatenated cone descriptions. If a cone requires no additional parameters (quadratic, rotated quadratic, exponential, zero) then its description is

$$[\text{type}, \text{len}]$$

where type is the type (*conetype*) and len is the length (dimension). The length must be present. If a cone requires additional parameters (power cones) then its description has the form

$$[\text{type}, \text{len}, k, a_1, \ldots, a_k]$$

where $k \geq 1$ is an integer and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ are the additional parameters. For the power cone it is required that $k = 2$ and then a power cone with parameter $\alpha = a_1/(a_1 + a_2)$ is constructed. For example $\mathcal{Q}^5 \times \mathcal{P}_4^{0.4}$ could be defined as

```
cones = [res.symbcon.MSK_CT_QUAD 5 res.symbcon.MSK_CT_PPOW, 4, 2, 40.0, 60.0 ];
```

barc

Together with field bardim this structure specifies the symmetric matrices $\overline{C}_j$ in the objective for semidefinite problems.

The symmetric matrices are specified in block-triplet format as

$$[\overline{C}_{\texttt{barc.subj(t)}}]_{\texttt{barc.subk(t)},\texttt{barc.subl(t)}} = \texttt{barc.val(t)}, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, \texttt{length(barc.subj)}.$$

Only the lower triangular parts of $\overline{C}_j$ are specified, i.e., it is required that

$$\texttt{barc.subk(t)} \geq \texttt{barc.subl(t)}, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, \texttt{length(barc.subk)},$$

- lr_bl (double[]) – Left value β_1 in the linearity interval for a lower bound.
- rr_bl (double[]) – Right value β_2 in the linearity interval for a lower bound.
- ls_bl (double[]) – Left shadow price s_l for a lower bound.
- rs_bl (double[]) – Right shadow price s_r for a lower bound.
- lr_bu (double[]) – Left value β_1 in the linearity interval for an upper bound.
- rr_bu (double[]) – Right value β_2 in the linearity interval for an upper bound.
- ls_bu (double[]) – Left shadow price s_l for an upper bound.
- rs_bu (double[]) – Right shadow price s_r for an upper bound.

duasen

A structure holding information about dual sensitivity analysis. See Sec. 14.3.

Fields

- sub (int[]) – Indices of variables to be analyzed.
- lr_c (double) – Left value β_1 in linearity interval for an objective coefficient
- rr_c (double) – Right value β_2 in linearity interval for an objective coefficient
- ls_c (double) – Left shadow price s_l for an objective coefficient
- rs_c (double) – Right shadow price s_r for an objective coefficient

callback

A structure containing callback information (all subfields are optional).

Fields

- loghandle (struct) – A data structure or just [] .
- log (string) – Log handler. The name of a user-defined function which must accept two input arguments, e.g.,

```
function myprint(handle,str)
```

  where handle will be identical to callback.handle when myfunc is called, and str is a string of text from the log.
- iterhandle (struct) – A data structure or just [] .
- iter (string) – Progress callback handler. The name of a user-defined function which must accept three input arguments,

```
function [r] = callback_handler(handle,where,info)
```

  where handle will be identical to callback.iterhandle when the handler is called, where indicates the current progress of the solver (*callback*) and info is the current information items list. See Sec. 7.6 for further details.

15.4 Parameters grouped by topic

Analysis

- *MSK_DPAR_ANA_SOL_INFEAS_TOL*
- *MSK_IPAR_ANA_SOL_BASIS*
- *MSK_IPAR_ANA_SOL_PRINT_VIOLATED*
- *MSK_IPAR_LOG_ANA_PRO*

Basis identification

- *MSK_DPAR_SIM_LU_TOL_REL_PIV*
- *MSK_IPAR_BI_CLEAN_OPTIMIZER*
- *MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_MAX_ITER*
- *MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_NUM_ERROR*
- *MSK_IPAR_BI_MAX_ITERATIONS*
- *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS*
- *MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI*
- *MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI_FREQ*

Conic interior-point method

- *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS*
- *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_INFEAS*
- *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_MU_RED*
- *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
- *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_PFEAS*
- *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP*

Data check

- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL_HUGE*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL_LARGE*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_HUGE*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_LARGE*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_BOUND_INF*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_BOUND_WRN*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_C_HUGE*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_CJ_LARGE*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_QIJ*
- *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_X*
- *MSK_DPAR_SEMIDEFINITE_TOL_APPROX*
- *MSK_IPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY*
- *MSK_IPAR_LOG_CHECK_CONVEXITY*

Data input/output

- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_AUTO*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_FILE*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_HEADER*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_HINTS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_LINE_LENGTH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_PARAMETERS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_PROBLEM*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_BAS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITG*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITR*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_CASE_NAME*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_IGN_ERROR*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PTF_WRITE_TRANSFORM*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_READ_DEBUG*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_READ_KEEP_FREE_CON*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_DROP_NEW_VARS_IN_BOU*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_QUOTED_NAMES*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_FORMAT*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_WIDTH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_READ_TASK_IGNORE_PARAM*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_NAME_WIDTH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_WIDTH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_CONSTRAINTS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_HEAD*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_VARIABLES*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_COMPRESSION*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_DATA_PARAM*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_FREE_CON*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES_IO*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_IGNORE_INCOMPATIBLE_ITEMS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_CONSTRAINTS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_HEAD*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_VARIABLES*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_FULL_OBJ*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_LINE_WIDTH*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_QUOTED_NAMES*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_STRICT_FORMAT*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_TERMS_PER_LINE*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_FORMAT*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_INT*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_PRECISION*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_BARVARIABLES*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_CONSTRAINTS*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_HEAD*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_IGNORE_INVALID_NAMES*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_VARIABLES*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_TASK_INC_SOL*

^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_XML_MODE*

^ *MSK_SPAR_BAS_SOL_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_DATA_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_DEBUG_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_INT_SOL_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_ITR_SOL_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_MIO_DEBUG_STRING*

^ *MSK_SPAR_PARAM_COMMENT_SIGN*

^ *MSK_SPAR_PARAM_READ_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_PARAM_WRITE_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_BOU_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_OBJ_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RAN_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RHS_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_RES_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_LOW*

^ *MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_UPR*

^ *MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_LOW*

^ *MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_UPR*

^ *MSK_SPAR_STAT_FILE_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_STAT_KEY*

^ *MSK_SPAR_STAT_NAME*

^ *MSK_SPAR_WRITE_LP_GEN_VAR_NAME*

Debugging

- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_SORT_A_BEFORE_OPT*

Dual simplex

- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_CRASH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_SELECTION*

Infeasibility report

- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_GENERIC_NAMES*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_LEVEL*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_INFEAS_ANA*

Interior-point method

- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY_REL_TOL*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_INFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_MU_RED*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_PFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_DFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_INFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_MU_RED*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_PFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_REL_GAP*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DSAFE*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_INFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_MU_RED*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PATH*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PFEAS*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PSAFE*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_GAP*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_STEP*

- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_STEP_SIZE
- MSK_DPAR_QCQO_REFORMULATE_REL_DROP_TOL
- MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_MAX_ITER
- MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_NUM_ERROR
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_DIFF_STEP
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_HOTSTART
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_ITERATIONS
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_NUM_COR
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_NUM_REFINEMENT_STEPS
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_OFF_COL_TRH
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_ORDER_GP_NUM_SEEDS
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_ORDER_METHOD
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_PURIFY
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_REGULARIZATION_USE
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SCALING
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SOLVE_FORM
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_STARTING_POINT
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT

License manager

- MSK_IPAR_CACHE_LICENSE
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_DEBUG
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_PAUSE_TIME
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_SUPPRESS_EXPIRE_WRNS
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_TRH_EXPIRY_WRN
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT

Logging

- MSK_IPAR_LOG
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_ANA_PRO
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI_FREQ
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_EXPAND
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_FEAS_REPAIR

- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_FILE*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_INCLUDE_SUMMARY*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_INFEAS_ANA*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_LOCAL_INFO*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO_FREQ*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_ORDER*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_PRESOLVE*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_RESPONSE*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY_OPT*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_STORAGE*

Mixed-integer optimization

- ^ *MSK_DPAR_MIO_MAX_TIME*
- ^ *MSK_DPAR_MIO_REL_GAP_CONST*
- ^ *MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_GAP*
- ^ *MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_RELAX_INT*
- ^ *MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_FEAS*
- ^ *MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_DUAL_BOUND_IMPROVEMENT*
- ^ *MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_GAP*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO_FREQ*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_BRANCH_DIR*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CONIC_OUTER_APPROXIMATION*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CLIQUE*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CMIR*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_GMI*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_IMPLIED_BOUND*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_KNAPSACK_COVER*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_SELECTION_LEVEL*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_FEASPUMP_LEVEL*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_HEURISTIC_LEVEL*

- MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_BRANCHES
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_RELAXS
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_ROOT_CUT_ROUNDS
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_SOLUTIONS
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_OPTIMIZER
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_SELECTION
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_PERSPECTIVE_REFORMULATE
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROBING_LEVEL
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROPAGATE_OBJECTIVE_CONSTRAINT
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_RINS_MAX_NODES
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_OPTIMIZER
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_REPEAT_PRESOLVE_LEVEL
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_SEED
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_VB_DETECTION_LEVEL

Output information

- MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_LEVEL
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_SUPPRESS_EXPIRE_WRNS
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_TRH_EXPIRY_WRN
- MSK_IPAR_LOG
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI_FREQ
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_EXPAND
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_FEAS_REPAIR
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_FILE
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_INCLUDE_SUMMARY
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_INFEAS_ANA
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_LOCAL_INFO
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO_FREQ
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_ORDER
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_RESPONSE
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY_OPT

- MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_MINOR
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_STORAGE
- MSK_IPAR_MAX_NUM_WARNINGS

Overall solver

- MSK_IPAR_BI_CLEAN_OPTIMIZER
- MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_PREFER_PRIMAL
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT
- MSK_IPAR_MIO_MODE
- MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER
- MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LEVEL
- MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_REDUCTIONS
- MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_USE
- MSK_IPAR_PRIMAL_REPAIR_OPTIMIZER
- MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_ALL
- MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_OPTIMIZER
- MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_TYPE
- MSK_IPAR_SOLUTION_CALLBACK

Overall system

- MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO
- MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MULTI_THREAD
- MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT
- MSK_IPAR_LOG_STORAGE
- MSK_IPAR_MT_SPINCOUNT
- MSK_IPAR_NUM_THREADS
- MSK_IPAR_REMOVE_UNUSED_SOLUTIONS
- MSK_IPAR_TIMING_LEVEL
- MSK_SPAR_REMOTE_ACCESS_TOKEN

Presolve

- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_ABS_LINDEP*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_AIJ*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_REL_LINDEP*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_S*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_X*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_FILL*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_NUM_TRIES*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LEVEL*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_ABS_WORK_TRH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_REL_WORK_TRH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_USE*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_PASS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_REDUCTIONS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_USE*

Primal simplex

- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_CRASH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_SELECTION*

Progress callback

- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SOLUTION_CALLBACK*

Simplex optimizer

- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_BASIS_REL_TOL_S*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_S*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_X*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_SIM_LU_TOL_REL_PIV*
- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_SIMPLEX_ABS_TOL_PIV*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_BASIS_SOLVE_USE_PLUS_ONE*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_MINOR*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_OPTIMIZER*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_BASIS_FACTOR_USE*

- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DEGEN*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_PHASEONE_METHOD*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART_LU*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_ITERATIONS*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_NON_SINGULAR*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_PHASEONE_METHOD*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFACTOR_FREQ*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFORMULATION*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_SAVE_LU*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING_METHOD*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_SEED*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_SOLVE_FORM*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_STABILITY_PRIORITY*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_SWITCH_OPTIMIZER*

Solution input/output

- ^ *MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_AUTO*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_BASIC*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_RANGED*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_NAME_WIDTH*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_WIDTH*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_CONSTRAINTS*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_HEAD*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_VARIABLES*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_CONSTRAINTS*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_HEAD*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_VARIABLES*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_BARVARIABLES*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_CONSTRAINTS*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_HEAD*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_IGNORE_INVALID_NAMES*
- ^ *MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_VARIABLES*

- MSK_SPAR_BAS_SOL_FILE_NAME
- MSK_SPAR_INT_SOL_FILE_NAME
- MSK_SPAR_ITR_SOL_FILE_NAME
- MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_LOW
- MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_UPR
- MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_LOW
- MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_UPR

Termination criteria

- MSK_DPAR_BASIS_REL_TOL_S
- MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_S
- MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_X
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_INFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_MU_RED
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_PFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_DFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_INFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_MU_RED
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_PFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_REL_GAP
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_INFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_MU_RED
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PFEAS
- MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_GAP
- MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT
- MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH
- MSK_DPAR_MIO_MAX_TIME
- MSK_DPAR_MIO_REL_GAP_CONST
- MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_GAP
- MSK_DPAR_OPTIMIZER_MAX_TIME
- MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT

- ˆ *MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_BI_MAX_ITERATIONS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_ITERATIONS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_BRANCHES*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_ROOT_CUT_ROUNDS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_SOLUTIONS*
- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_ITERATIONS*

Other

- ˆ *MSK_IPAR_COMPRESS_STATFILE*

15.5 Parameters (alphabetical list sorted by type)

- ˆ *Double parameters*
- ˆ *Integer parameters*
- ˆ *String parameters*

15.5.1 Double parameters

dparam

The enumeration type containing all double parameters.

MSK_DPAR_ANA_SOL_INFEAS_TOL

If a constraint violates its bound with an amount larger than this value, the constraint name, index and violation will be printed by the solution analyzer.

Default 1e-6
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_ANA_SOL_INFEAS_TOL = 1e-6
Groups *Analysis*

MSK_DPAR_BASIS_REL_TOL_S

Maximum relative dual bound violation allowed in an optimal basic solution.

Default 1.0e-12
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_BASIS_REL_TOL_S = 1.0e-12
Groups *Simplex optimizer*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_S

Maximum absolute dual bound violation in an optimal basic solution.

Default 1.0e-6
Accepted [1.0e-9; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_S = 1.0e-6
Groups *Simplex optimizer*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_X

Maximum absolute primal bound violation allowed in an optimal basic solution.

Default 1.0e-6
Accepted [1.0e-9; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_BASIS_TOL_X = 1.0e-6

Groups *Simplex optimizer*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY_REL_TOL

This parameter controls when the full convexity check declares a problem to be non-convex. Increasing this tolerance relaxes the criteria for declaring the problem non-convex.

A problem is declared non-convex if negative (positive) pivot elements are detected in the Cholesky factor of a matrix which is required to be PSD (NSD). This parameter controls how much this non-negativity requirement may be violated.

If d_i is the pivot element for column i, then the matrix Q is considered to not be PSD if:

$$d_i \leq -|Q_{ii}|\texttt{check_convexity_rel_tol}$$

Default 1e-10
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY_REL_TOL = 1e-10
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL

Absolute zero tolerance for elements in in symmetric matrices. If any value in a symmetric matrix is smaller than this parameter in absolute terms **MOSEK** will treat the values as zero and generate a warning.

Default 1.0e-12
Accepted [1.0e-16; 1.0e-6]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL = 1.0e-12
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL_HUGE

An element in a symmetric matrix which is larger than this value in absolute size causes an error.

Default 1.0e20
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL_HUGE = 1.0e20
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL_LARGE

An element in a symmetric matrix which is larger than this value in absolute size causes a warning message to be printed.

Default 1.0e10
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL_LARGE = 1.0e10
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_HUGE

An element in A which is larger than this value in absolute size causes an error.

Default 1.0e20
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_HUGE = 1.0e20
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_LARGE

An element in A which is larger than this value in absolute size causes a warning message to be printed.

Default 1.0e10
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_LARGE = 1.0e10

Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_BOUND_INF

Any bound which in absolute value is greater than this parameter is considered infinite.

Default 1.0e16
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_BOUND_INF = 1.0e16
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_BOUND_WRN

If a bound value is larger than this value in absolute size, then a warning message is issued.

Default 1.0e8
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_BOUND_WRN = 1.0e8
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_C_HUGE

An element in c which is larger than the value of this parameter in absolute terms is considered to be huge and generates an error.

Default 1.0e16
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_C_HUGE = 1.0e16
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_CJ_LARGE

An element in c which is larger than this value in absolute terms causes a warning message to be printed.

Default 1.0e8
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_CJ_LARGE = 1.0e8
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_QIJ

Absolute zero tolerance for elements in Q matrices.

Default 1.0e-16
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_QIJ = 1.0e-16
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_X

Zero tolerance for constraints and variables i.e. if the distance between the lower and upper bound is less than this value, then the lower and upper bound is considered identical.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_X = 1.0e-8
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS

Dual feasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for conic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS = 1.0e-8
See also *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL*

Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*, *Conic interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_INFEAS

Infeasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for conic problems. Controls when the interior-point optimizer declares the model primal or dual infeasible. A small number means the optimizer gets more conservative about declaring the model infeasible.

Default 1.0e-12
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_INFEAS = 1.0e-12
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*, *Conic interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_MU_RED

Relative complementarity gap tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for conic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_MU_RED = 1.0e-8
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*, *Conic interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL

Optimality tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for conic problems. If **MOSEK** cannot compute a solution that has the prescribed accuracy then it will check if the solution found satisfies the termination criteria with all tolerances multiplied by the value of this parameter. If yes, then the solution is also declared optimal.

Default 1000
Accepted [1.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL = 1000
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*, *Conic interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_PFEAS

Primal feasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for conic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_PFEAS = 1.0e-8
See also *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*, *Conic interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP

Relative gap termination tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for conic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP = 1.0e-8
See also *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*, *Conic interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_DFEAS

Dual feasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for quadratic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_DFEAS = 1.0e-8
See also *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_INFEAS

Infeasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for quadratic problems. Controls when the interior-point optimizer declares the model primal or dual infeasible. A small number means the optimizer gets more conservative about declaring the model infeasible.

Default 1.0e-12
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_INFEAS = 1.0e-12
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_MU_RED

Relative complementarity gap tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for quadratic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_MU_RED = 1.0e-8
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL

Optimality tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for quadratic problems. If **MOSEK** cannot compute a solution that has the prescribed accuracy then it will check if the solution found satisfies the termination criteria with all tolerances multiplied by the value of this parameter. If yes, then the solution is also declared optimal.

Default 1000
Accepted [1.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL = 1000
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_PFEAS

Primal feasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for quadratic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_PFEAS = 1.0e-8
See also *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_REL_GAP

Relative gap termination tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for quadratic problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_REL_GAP = 1.0e-8
See also *MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL*
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DFEAS

Dual feasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for linear problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DFEAS = 1.0e-8
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DSAFE

Controls the initial dual starting point used by the interior-point optimizer. If the interior-point optimizer converges slowly and/or the constraint or variable bounds are very large, then it might be worthwhile to increase this value.

Default 1.0
Accepted [1.0e-4; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_DSAFE = 1.0
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_INFEAS
Infeasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for linear problems. Controls when the interior-point optimizer declares the model primal or dual infeasible. A small number means the optimizer gets more conservative about declaring the model infeasible.

Default 1.0e-10
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_INFEAS = 1.0e-10
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_MU_RED
Relative complementarity gap tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for linear problems.

Default 1.0e-16
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_MU_RED = 1.0e-16
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PATH
Controls how close the interior-point optimizer follows the central path. A large value of this parameter means the central path is followed very closely. On numerically unstable problems it may be worthwhile to increase this parameter.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 0.9999]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PATH = 1.0e-8
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PFEAS
Primal feasibility tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for linear problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PFEAS = 1.0e-8
Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PSAFE
Controls the initial primal starting point used by the interior-point optimizer. If the interior-point optimizer converges slowly and/or the constraint or variable bounds are very large, then it may be worthwhile to increase this value.

Default 1.0
Accepted [1.0e-4; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_PSAFE = 1.0
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_GAP
Relative gap termination tolerance used by the interior-point optimizer for linear problems.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [1.0e-14; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_GAP = 1.0e-8
Groups *Termination criteria*, *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_STEP

Relative step size to the boundary for linear and quadratic optimization problems.

Default 0.9999
Accepted [1.0e-4; 0.999999]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_REL_STEP = 0.9999
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_STEP_SIZE

Minimal step size tolerance. If the step size falls below the value of this parameter, then the interior-point optimizer assumes that it is stalled. In other words the interior-point optimizer does not make any progress and therefore it is better to stop.

Default 1.0e-6
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_INTPNT_TOL_STEP_SIZE = 1.0e-6
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT

If either a primal or dual feasible solution is found proving that the optimal objective value is outside the interval [*MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT*, *MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT*], then **MOSEK** is terminated.

Default -1.0e30
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT = -1.0e30
See also *MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH*
Groups *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH

If the lower objective cut is less than the value of this parameter value, then the lower objective cut i.e. *MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT* is treated as $-\infty$.

Default -0.5e30
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH = -0.5e30
Groups *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_MIO_MAX_TIME

This parameter limits the maximum time spent by the mixed-integer optimizer. A negative number means infinity.

Default -1.0
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_MIO_MAX_TIME = -1.0
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_MIO_REL_GAP_CONST

This value is used to compute the relative gap for the solution to an integer optimization problem.

Default 1.0e-10
Accepted [1.0e-15; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_MIO_REL_GAP_CONST = 1.0e-10
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_GAP

Absolute optimality tolerance employed by the mixed-integer optimizer.

Default 0.0
Accepted [0.0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_GAP = 0.0
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_RELAX_INT
Absolute integer feasibility tolerance. If the distance to the nearest integer is less than this tolerance then an integer constraint is assumed to be satisfied.

Default 1.0e-5
Accepted [1e-9; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_ABS_RELAX_INT = 1.0e-5
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_FEAS
Feasibility tolerance for mixed integer solver.

Default 1.0e-6
Accepted [1e-9; 1e-3]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_FEAS = 1.0e-6
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_DUAL_BOUND_IMPROVEMENT
If the relative improvement of the dual bound is smaller than this value, the solver will terminate the root cut generation. A value of 0.0 means that the value is selected automatically.

Default 0.0
Accepted [0.0; 1.0]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_DUAL_BOUND_IMPROVEMENT = 0.0
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_GAP
Relative optimality tolerance employed by the mixed-integer optimizer.

Default 1.0e-4
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_MIO_TOL_REL_GAP = 1.0e-4
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_OPTIMIZER_MAX_TIME
Maximum amount of time the optimizer is allowed to spent on the optimization. A negative number means infinity.

Default -1.0
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_OPTIMIZER_MAX_TIME = -1.0
Groups *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_ABS_LINDEP
Absolute tolerance employed by the linear dependency checker.

Default 1.0e-6
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_ABS_LINDEP = 1.0e-6
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_AIJ
Absolute zero tolerance employed for a_{ij} in the presolve.

Default 1.0e-12
Accepted [1.0e-15; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_AIJ = 1.0e-12

Groups *Presolve*

MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_REL_LINDEP

Relative tolerance employed by the linear dependency checker.

Default 1.0e-10
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_REL_LINDEP = 1.0e-10
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_S

Absolute zero tolerance employed for s_i in the presolve.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_S = 1.0e-8
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_X

Absolute zero tolerance employed for x_j in the presolve.

Default 1.0e-8
Accepted [0.0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_PRESOLVE_TOL_X = 1.0e-8
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_DPAR_QCQO_REFORMULATE_REL_DROP_TOL

This parameter determines when columns are dropped in incomplete Cholesky factorization during reformulation of quadratic problems.

Default 1e-15
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_QCQO_REFORMULATE_REL_DROP_TOL = 1e-15
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_DPAR_SEMIDEFINITE_TOL_APPROX

Tolerance to define a matrix to be positive semidefinite.

Default 1.0e-10
Accepted [1.0e-15; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_SEMIDEFINITE_TOL_APPROX = 1.0e-10
Groups *Data check*

MSK_DPAR_SIM_LU_TOL_REL_PIV

Relative pivot tolerance employed when computing the LU factorization of the basis in the simplex optimizers and in the basis identification procedure. A value closer to 1.0 generally improves numerical stability but typically also implies an increase in the computational work.

Default 0.01
Accepted [1.0e-6; 0.999999]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_SIM_LU_TOL_REL_PIV = 0.01
Groups *Basis identification*, *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_DPAR_SIMPLEX_ABS_TOL_PIV

Absolute pivot tolerance employed by the simplex optimizers.

Default 1.0e-7
Accepted [1.0e-12; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_SIMPLEX_ABS_TOL_PIV = 1.0e-7
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT

If either a primal or dual feasible solution is found proving that the optimal objective value is outside the interval [*MSK_DPAR_LOWER_OBJ_CUT*, *MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT*], then **MOSEK** is terminated.

Default 1.0e30
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT = 1.0e30
See also *MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH*
Groups *Termination criteria*

MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH

If the upper objective cut is greater than the value of this parameter, then the upper objective cut *MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT* is treated as ∞.

Default 0.5e30
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_DPAR_UPPER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH = 0.5e30
Groups *Termination criteria*

15.5.2 Integer parameters

iparam

The enumeration type containing all integer parameters.

MSK_IPAR_ANA_SOL_BASIS

Controls whether the basis matrix is analyzed in solution analyzer.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_ANA_SOL_BASIS = MSK_ON
Groups *Analysis*

MSK_IPAR_ANA_SOL_PRINT_VIOLATED

A parameter of the problem analyzer. Controls whether a list of violated constraints is printed. All constraints violated by more than the value set by the parameter *MSK_DPAR_ANA_SOL_INFEAS_TOL* will be printed.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_ANA_SOL_PRINT_VIOLATED = MSK_OFF
Groups *Analysis*

MSK_IPAR_AUTO_SORT_A_BEFORE_OPT

Controls whether the elements in each column of A are sorted before an optimization is performed. This is not required but makes the optimization more deterministic.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_AUTO_SORT_A_BEFORE_OPT = MSK_OFF
Groups *Debugging*

MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO

Controls whether the solution information items are automatically updated after an optimization is performed.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO = MSK_OFF
Groups *Overall system*

MSK_IPAR_BASIS_SOLVE_USE_PLUS_ONE

If a slack variable is in the basis, then the corresponding column in the basis is a unit vector with -1 in the right position. However, if this parameter is set to *"MSK_ON"*, -1 is replaced by 1.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_BASIS_SOLVE_USE_PLUS_ONE = "MSK_OFF"

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_BI_CLEAN_OPTIMIZER

Controls which simplex optimizer is used in the clean-up phase. Anything else than *"MSK_OPTIMIZER_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"* or *"MSK_OPTIMIZER_DUAL_SIMPLEX"* is equivalent to *"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX"*.

Default *"FREE"*

Accepted *"FREE"*, *"INTPNT"*, *"CONIC"*, *"PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"DUAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"FREE_SIMPLEX"*, *"MIXED_INT"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_BI_CLEAN_OPTIMIZER = "MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE"

Groups *Basis identification*, *Overall solver*

MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_MAX_ITER

If the parameter *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS* has the value *"MSK_BI_NO_ERROR"* and the interior-point optimizer has terminated due to maximum number of iterations, then basis identification is performed if this parameter has the value *"MSK_ON"*.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_MAX_ITER = "MSK_OFF"

Groups *Interior-point method*, *Basis identification*

MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_NUM_ERROR

If the parameter *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS* has the value *"MSK_BI_NO_ERROR"* and the interior-point optimizer has terminated due to a numerical problem, then basis identification is performed if this parameter has the value *"MSK_ON"*.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_NUM_ERROR = "MSK_OFF"

Groups *Interior-point method*, *Basis identification*

MSK_IPAR_BI_MAX_ITERATIONS

Controls the maximum number of simplex iterations allowed to optimize a basis after the basis identification.

Default 1000000

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_BI_MAX_ITERATIONS = 1000000

Groups *Basis identification*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_IPAR_CACHE_LICENSE

Specifies if the license is kept checked out for the lifetime of the **MOSEK** environment/model/process (*"MSK_ON"*) or returned to the server immediately after the optimization (*"MSK_OFF"*).

By default the license is checked out for the lifetime of the session at the start of first optimization.

Check-in and check-out of licenses have an overhead. Frequent communication with the license server should be avoided.

Default *"ON"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_CACHE_LICENSE = MSK_ON
Groups License manager

MSK_IPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY
Specify the level of convexity check on quadratic problems.

Default "FULL"
Accepted "NONE", "SIMPLE", "FULL"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY = MSK_CHECK_CONVEXITY_FULL
Groups Data check

MSK_IPAR_COMPRESS_STATFILE
Control compression of stat les.

Default "ON"
Accepted "ON", "OFF"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_COMPRESS_STATFILE = MSK_ON

MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_GENERIC_NAMES
Controls whether generic names are used when an infeasible subproblem is created.

Default "OFF"
Accepted "ON", "OFF"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_GENERIC_NAMES = MSK_OFF
Groups Infeasibility report

MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_PREFER_PRIMAL
If both certi cates of primal and dual infeasibility are supplied then only the primal is used when this option is turned on.

Default "ON"
Accepted "ON", "OFF"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_PREFER_PRIMAL = MSK_ON
Groups Overall solver

MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_AUTO
Controls whether an infeasibility report is automatically produced after the optimization if the problem is primal or dual infeasible.

Default "OFF"
Accepted "ON", "OFF"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_AUTO = MSK_OFF
Groups Data input/output , Solution input/output

MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_LEVEL
Controls the amount of information presented in an infeasibility report. Higher values imply more information.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_INFEAS_REPORT_LEVEL = 1
Groups Infeasibility report , Output information

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS
Controls whether the interior-point optimizer also computes an optimal basis.

Default "ALWAYS"
Accepted "NEVER", "ALWAYS", "NO_ERROR", "IF_FEASIBLE", "RESERVED"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_BASIS = MSK_BI_ALWAYS

See also *MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_MAX_ITER*, *MSK_IPAR_BI_IGNORE_NUM_ERROR*, *MSK_IPAR_BI_MAX_ITERATIONS*, *MSK_IPAR_BI_CLEAN_OPTIMIZER*

Groups *Interior-point method*, *Basis identification*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_DIFF_STEP

Controls whether different step sizes are allowed in the primal and dual space.

Default *"ON"*

Accepted

- *"ON"*: Different step sizes are allowed.
- *"OFF"*: Different step sizes are not allowed.

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_DIFF_STEP = MSK_ON

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_HOTSTART

Currently not in use.

Default *"NONE"*

Accepted *"NONE"*, *"PRIMAL"*, *"DUAL"*, *"PRIMAL_DUAL"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_HOTSTART = MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_NONE

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_ITERATIONS

Controls the maximum number of iterations allowed in the interior-point optimizer.

Default 400

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_ITERATIONS = 400

Groups *Interior-point method*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_NUM_COR

Controls the maximum number of correctors allowed by the multiple corrector procedure. A negative value means that **MOSEK** is making the choice.

Default -1

Accepted [-1; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_NUM_COR = -1

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_NUM_REFINEMENT_STEPS

Maximum number of steps to be used by the iterative refinement of the search direction. A negative value implies that the optimizer chooses the maximum number of iterative refinement steps.

Default -1

Accepted [-inf; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MAX_NUM_REFINEMENT_STEPS = -1

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MULTI_THREAD

Controls whether the interior-point optimizers are allowed to employ multiple threads if more threads is available.

Default *"ON"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_MULTI_THREAD = MSK_ON

Groups *Overall system*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_OFF_COL_TRH

Controls how many offending columns are detected in the Jacobian of the constraint matrix.

0	no detection
1	aggressive detection
> 1	higher values mean less aggressive detection

Default 40

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_OFF_COL_TRH = 40

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_ORDER_GP_NUM_SEEDS

The GP ordering is dependent on a random seed. Therefore, trying several random seeds may lead to a better ordering. This parameter controls the number of random seeds tried.

A value of 0 means that MOSEK makes the choice.

Default 0

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_ORDER_GP_NUM_SEEDS = 0

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_ORDER_METHOD

Controls the ordering strategy used by the interior-point optimizer when factorizing the Newton equation system.

Default *"FREE"*

Accepted *"FREE"*, *"APPMINLOC"*, *"EXPERIMENTAL"*, *"TRY_GRAPHPAR"*, *"FORCE_GRAPHPAR"*, *"NONE"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_ORDER_METHOD = MSK_ORDER_METHOD_FREE

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_PURIFY

Currently not in use.

Default *"NONE"*

Accepted *"NONE"*, *"PRIMAL"*, *"DUAL"*, *"PRIMAL_DUAL"*, *"AUTO"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_PURIFY = MSK_PURIFY_NONE

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_REGULARIZATION_USE

Controls whether regularization is allowed.

Default *"ON"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_REGULARIZATION_USE = MSK_ON

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SCALING

Controls how the problem is scaled before the interior-point optimizer is used.

Default *"FREE"*

Accepted *"FREE"*, *"NONE"*, *"MODERATE"*, *"AGGRESSIVE"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SCALING = MSK_SCALING_FREE

Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SOLVE_FORM

Controls whether the primal or the dual problem is solved.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"PRIMAL"*, *"DUAL"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SOLVE_FORM = MSK_SOLVE_FREE
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_STARTING_POINT
Starting point used by the interior-point optimizer.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"GUESS"*, *"CONSTANT"*, *"SATISFY_BOUNDS"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_STARTING_POINT = MSK_STARTING_POINT_FREE
Groups *Interior-point method*

MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_DEBUG
This option is used to turn on debugging of the license manager.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_DEBUG = MSK_OFF
Groups *License manager*

MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_PAUSE_TIME
If *MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT* is *"MSK_ON"* and no license is available, then **MOSEK** sleeps a number of milliseconds between each check of whether a license has become free.

Default 100
Accepted [0; 1000000]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_PAUSE_TIME = 100
Groups *License manager*

MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_SUPPRESS_EXPIRE_WRNS
Controls whether license features expire warnings are suppressed.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_SUPPRESS_EXPIRE_WRNS = MSK_OFF
Groups *License manager*, *Output information*

MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_TRH_EXPIRY_WRN
If a license feature expires in a numbers of days less than the value of this parameter then a warning will be issued.

Default 7
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_TRH_EXPIRY_WRN = 7
Groups *License manager*, *Output information*

MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT
If all licenses are in use **MOSEK** returns with an error code. However, by turning on this parameter **MOSEK** will wait for an available license.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT = MSK_OFF
Groups *Overall solver*, *Overall system*, *License manager*

MSK_IPAR_LOG

Controls the amount of log information. The value 0 implies that all log information is suppressed. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Please note that if a task is employed to solve a sequence of optimization problems the value of this parameter is reduced by the value of *MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT* for the second and any subsequent optimizations.

Default 10
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG = 10
See also *MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT*
Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_ANA_PRO

Controls amount of output from the problem analyzer.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_ANA_PRO = 1
Groups *Analysis*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI

Controls the amount of output printed by the basis identification procedure. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI = 1
Groups *Basis identification*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI_FREQ

Controls how frequently the optimizer outputs information about the basis identification and how frequent the user-defined callback function is called.

Default 2500
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI_FREQ = 2500
Groups *Basis identification*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_CHECK_CONVEXITY

Controls logging in convexity check on quadratic problems. Set to a positive value to turn logging on. If a quadratic coefficient matrix is found to violate the requirement of PSD (NSD) then a list of negative (positive) pivot elements is printed. The absolute value of the pivot elements is also shown.

Default 0
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_CHECK_CONVEXITY = 0
Groups *Data check*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT

If a task is employed to solve a sequence of optimization problems, then the value of the log levels is reduced by the value of this parameter. E.g *MSK_IPAR_LOG* and *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM* are reduced by the value of this parameter for the second and any subsequent optimizations.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT = 1

See also *MSK_IPAR_LOG*, *MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT*, *MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO*, *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM*

Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_EXPAND

Controls the amount of logging when a data item such as the maximum number constrains is expanded.

Default 0

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_EXPAND = 0

Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_FEAS_REPAIR

Controls the amount of output printed when performing feasibility repair. A value higher than one means extensive logging.

Default 1

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_FEAS_REPAIR = 1

Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_FILE

If turned on, then some log info is printed when a file is written or read.

Default 1

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_FILE = 1

Groups *Data input/output*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_INCLUDE_SUMMARY

Not relevant for this API.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_INCLUDE_SUMMARY = "OFF"

Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_INFEAS_ANA

Controls amount of output printed by the infeasibility analyzer procedures. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Default 1

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_INFEAS_ANA = 1

Groups *Infeasibility report*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT

Controls amount of output printed by the interior-point optimizer. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Default 1

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT = 1

Groups *Interior-point method*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_LOCAL_INFO

Controls whether local identifying information like environment variables, filenames, IP addresses etc. are printed to the log.

Note that this will only affect some functions. Some functions that specifically emit system information will not be affected.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_LOCAL_INFO = MSK_ON
Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO
Controls the log level for the mixed-integer optimizer. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Default 4
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO = 4
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO_FREQ
Controls how frequent the mixed-integer optimizer prints the log line. It will print line every time *MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO_FREQ* relaxations have been solved.

Default 10
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO_FREQ = 10
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_ORDER
If turned on, then factor lines are added to the log.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_ORDER = 1
Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_PRESOLVE
Controls amount of output printed by the presolve procedure. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_PRESOLVE = 1
Groups *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_RESPONSE
Controls amount of output printed when response codes are reported. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Default 0
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_RESPONSE = 0
Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY
Controls the amount of logging during the sensitivity analysis.

- 0. Means no logging information is produced.
- 1. Timing information is printed.
- 2. Sensitivity results are printed.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY = 1

Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY_OPT

Controls the amount of logging from the optimizers employed during the sensitivity analysis. 0 means no logging information is produced.

Default 0
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY_OPT = 0
Groups *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM

Controls amount of output printed by the simplex optimizer. A higher level implies that more information is logged.

Default 4
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM = 4
Groups *Simplex optimizer*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ

Controls how frequent the simplex optimizer outputs information about the optimization and how frequent the user-defined callback function is called.

Default 1000
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ = 1000
Groups *Simplex optimizer*, *Output information*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_MINOR

Currently not in use.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_MINOR = 1
Groups *Simplex optimizer*, *Output information*

MSK_IPAR_LOG_STORAGE

When turned on, **MOSEK** prints messages regarding the storage usage and allocation.

Default 0
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_LOG_STORAGE = 0
Groups *Output information*, *Overall system*, *Logging*

MSK_IPAR_MAX_NUM_WARNINGS

Each warning is shown a limited number of times controlled by this parameter. A negative value is identical to infinite number of times.

Default 10
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MAX_NUM_WARNINGS = 10
Groups *Output information*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_BRANCH_DIR

Controls whether the mixed-integer optimizer is branching up or down by default.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"UP"*, *"DOWN"*, *"NEAR"*, *"FAR"*, *"ROOT_LP"*, *"GUIDED"*, *"PSEUDOCOST"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_BRANCH_DIR = MSK_BRANCH_DIR_FREE
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_CONIC_OUTER_APPROXIMATION
If this option is turned on outer approximation is used when solving relaxations of conic problems; otherwise interior point is used.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_CONIC_OUTER_APPROXIMATION = MSK_OFF
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CLIQUE
Controls whether clique cuts should be generated.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CLIQUE = MSK_ON
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CMIR
Controls whether mixed integer rounding cuts should be generated.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CMIR = MSK_ON
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_GMI
Controls whether GMI cuts should be generated.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_GMI = MSK_ON
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_IMPLIED_BOUND
Controls whether implied bound cuts should be generated.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_IMPLIED_BOUND = MSK_OFF
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_KNAPSACK_COVER
Controls whether knapsack cover cuts should be generated.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_KNAPSACK_COVER = MSK_OFF
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_SELECTION_LEVEL
Controls how aggressively generated cuts are selected to be included in the relaxation.

- −1. The optimizer chooses the level of cut selection
- 0. Generated cuts less likely to be added to the relaxation
- 1. Cuts are more aggressively selected to be included in the relaxation

Default -1

Default -1
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_SOLUTIONS = -1
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_MODE
Controls whether the optimizer includes the integer restrictions when solving a (mixed) integer optimization problem.

Default *"SATISFIED"*
Accepted *"IGNORED"*, *"SATISFIED"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_MODE = MSK_MIO_MODE_SATISFIED
Groups *Overall solver*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_OPTIMIZER
Controls which optimizer is employed at the non-root nodes in the mixed-integer optimizer.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"INTPNT"*, *"CONIC"*, *"PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"DUAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"FREE_SIMPLEX"*, *"MIXED_INT"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_OPTIMIZER = MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_SELECTION
Controls the node selection strategy employed by the mixed-integer optimizer.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"FIRST"*, *"BEST"*, *"PSEUDO"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_SELECTION = MSK_MIO_NODE_SELECTION_FREE
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_PERSPECTIVE_REFORMULATE
Enables or disables perspective reformulation in presolve.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_PERSPECTIVE_REFORMULATE = MSK_ON
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROBING_LEVEL
Controls the amount of probing employed by the mixed-integer optimizer in presolve.

- −1. The optimizer chooses the level of probing employed
- 0. Probing is disabled
- 1. A low amount of probing is employed
- 2. A medium amount of probing is employed
- 3. A high amount of probing is employed

Default -1
Accepted [-1; 3]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROBING_LEVEL = -1
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROPAGATE_OBJECTIVE_CONSTRAINT
Use objective domain propagation.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROPAGATE_OBJECTIVE_CONSTRAINT = MSK_OFF
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_RINS_MAX_NODES
Controls the maximum number of nodes allowed in each call to the RINS heuristic. The default value of -1 means that the value is determined automatically. A value of zero turns off the heuristic.

Default -1
Accepted [-1; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_RINS_MAX_NODES = -1
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_OPTIMIZER
Controls which optimizer is employed at the root node in the mixed-integer optimizer.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"INTPNT"*, *"CONIC"*, *"PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"DUAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"FREE_SIMPLEX"*, *"MIXED_INT"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_OPTIMIZER = MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_REPEAT_PRESOLVE_LEVEL
Controls whether presolve can be repeated at root node.

- -1. The optimizer chooses whether presolve is repeated
- 0. Never repeat presolve
- 1. Always repeat presolve

Default -1
Accepted [-1; 1]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_REPEAT_PRESOLVE_LEVEL = -1
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_SEED
Sets the random seed used for randomization in the mixed integer optimizer. Selecting a different seed can change the path the optimizer takes to the optimal solution.

Default 42
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_SEED = 42
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MIO_VB_DETECTION_LEVEL
Controls how much effort is put into detecting variable bounds.

- -1. The optimizer chooses
- 0. No variable bounds are detected
- 1. Only detect variable bounds that are directly represented in the problem
- 2. Detect variable bounds in probing

Default -1
Accepted [-1; +2]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_MIO_VB_DETECTION_LEVEL = -1
Groups *Mixed-integer optimization*

MSK_IPAR_MT_SPINCOUNT
Set the number of iterations to spin before sleeping.

Default 0

MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_BAS

If *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS* is *"MSK_ON"* and a basic solution is defined, include the basic solution in OPF files.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_BAS = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITG

If *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS* is *"MSK_ON"* and an integer solution is defined, write the integer solution in OPF files.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITG = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITR

If *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS* is *"MSK_ON"* and an interior solution is defined, write the interior solution in OPF files.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITR = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS

Enable inclusion of solutions in the OPF files.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS = MSK_OFF
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER

The parameter controls which optimizer is used to optimize the task.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"INTPNT"*, *"CONIC"*, *"PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"DUAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"FREE_SIMPLEX"*, *"MIXED_INT"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER = MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE
Groups *Overall solver*

MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_CASE_NAME

If turned on, then names in the parameter file are case sensitive.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_CASE_NAME = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_IGN_ERROR

If turned on, then errors in parameter settings is ignored.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_IGN_ERROR = MSK_OFF
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_FILL

Controls the maximum amount of fill-in that can be created by one pivot in the elimination phase of the presolve. A negative value means the parameter value is selected automatically.

Default -1
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_FILL = -1
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_NUM_TRIES

Control the maximum number of times the eliminator is tried. A negative value implies **MOSEK** decides.

Default -1
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_NUM_TRIES = -1
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LEVEL

Currently not used.

Default -1
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LEVEL = -1
Groups *Overall solver*, *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_ABS_WORK_TRH

Controls linear dependency check in presolve. The linear dependency check is potentially computationally expensive.

Default 100
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_ABS_WORK_TRH = 100
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_REL_WORK_TRH

Controls linear dependency check in presolve. The linear dependency check is potentially computationally expensive.

Default 100
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_REL_WORK_TRH = 100
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_USE

Controls whether the linear constraints are checked for linear dependencies.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_USE = MSK_ON
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_PASS

Control the maximum number of times presolve passes over the problem. A negative value implies **MOSEK** decides.

Default -1
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_PASS = -1
Groups *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_REDUCTIONS

Controls the maximum number of reductions performed by the presolve. The value of the parameter is normally only changed in connection with debugging. A negative value implies that an infinite number of reductions are allowed.

Default -1
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_REDUCTIONS = -1
Groups *Overall solver*, *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_USE

Controls whether the presolve is applied to a problem before it is optimized.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"OFF"*, *"ON"*, *"FREE"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_USE = 'MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_FREE'
Groups *Overall solver*, *Presolve*

MSK_IPAR_PRIMAL_REPAIR_OPTIMIZER

Controls which optimizer that is used to find the optimal repair.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"INTPNT"*, *"CONIC"*, *"PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"DUAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"FREE_SIMPLEX"*, *"MIXED_INT"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PRIMAL_REPAIR_OPTIMIZER = 'MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE'
Groups *Overall solver*

MSK_IPAR_PTF_WRITE_TRANSFORM

If *MSK_IPAR_PTF_WRITE_TRANSFORM* is *"MSK_ON"*, constraint blocks with identifiable conic slacks are transformed into conic constraints and the slacks are eliminated.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_PTF_WRITE_TRANSFORM = 'MSK_ON'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_READ_DEBUG

Turns on additional debugging information when reading files.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_READ_DEBUG = 'MSK_OFF'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_READ_KEEP_FREE_CON

Controls whether the free constraints are included in the problem.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted
- *"ON"*: The free constraints are kept.
- *"OFF"*: The free constraints are discarded.

Example param.MSK_IPAR_READ_KEEP_FREE_CON = 'MSK_OFF'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_DROP_NEW_VARS_IN_BOU

If this option is turned on, **MOSEK** will drop variables that are defined for the first time in the bounds section.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_DROP_NEW_VARS_IN_BOUNDS = 'MSK_OFF'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_QUOTED_NAMES
If a name is in quotes when reading an LP file, the quotes will be removed.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_QUOTED_NAMES = 'MSK_ON'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_FORMAT
Controls how strictly the MPS file reader interprets the MPS format.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"STRICT"*, *"RELAXED"*, *"FREE"*, *"CPLEX"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_FORMAT = 'MSK_MPS_FORMAT_FREE'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_WIDTH
Controls the maximal number of characters allowed in one line of the MPS file.

Default 1024
Accepted [80; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_WIDTH = 1024
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_READ_TASK_IGNORE_PARAM
Controls whether **MOSEK** should ignore the parameter setting defined in the task file and use the default parameter setting instead.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_READ_TASK_IGNORE_PARAM = 'MSK_OFF'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_REMOVE_UNUSED_SOLUTIONS
Removes unused solutions before the optimization is performed.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_REMOVE_UNUSED_SOLUTIONS = 'MSK_OFF'
Groups *Overall system*

MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_ALL
Not applicable.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_ALL = 'MSK_OFF'
Groups *Overall solver*

MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_OPTIMIZER
Controls which optimizer is used for optimal partition sensitivity analysis.

Default *"FREE_SIMPLEX"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"INTPNT"*, *"CONIC"*, *"PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"DUAL_SIMPLEX"*, *"FREE_SIMPLEX"*, *"MIXED_INT"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_OPTIMIZER = 'MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX'
Groups *Overall solver*, *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_TYPE

Controls which type of sensitivity analysis is to be performed.

Default *"BASIS"*
Accepted *"BASIS"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_TYPE = MSK_SENSITIVITY_TYPE_BASIS
Groups *Overall solver*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_BASIS_FACTOR_USE

Controls whether an LU factorization of the basis is used in a hot-start. Forcing a refactorization sometimes improves the stability of the simplex optimizers, but in most cases there is a performance penalty.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_BASIS_FACTOR_USE = MSK_ON
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_DEGEN

Controls how aggressively degeneration is handled.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"NONE"*, *"FREE"*, *"AGGRESSIVE"*, *"MODERATE"*, *"MINIMUM"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_DEGEN = MSK_SIM_DEGEN_FREE
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_CRASH

Controls whether crashing is performed in the dual simplex optimizer. If this parameter is set to x, then a crash will be performed if a basis consists of more than $(100 - x) \mod f_v$ entries, where f_v is the number of fixed variables.

Default 90
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_CRASH = 90
Groups *Dual simplex*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_PHASEONE_METHOD

An experimental feature.

Default 0
Accepted [0; 10]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_PHASEONE_METHOD = 0
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION

The dual simplex optimizer can use a so-called restricted selection/pricing strategy to choose the outgoing variable. Hence, if restricted selection is applied, then the dual simplex optimizer first choose a subset of all the potential outgoing variables. Next, for some time it will choose the outgoing variable only among the subset. From time to time the subset is redefined. A larger value of this parameter implies that the optimizer will be more aggressive in its restriction strategy, i.e. a value of 0 implies that the restriction strategy is not applied at all.

Default 50
Accepted [0; 100]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION = 50
Groups *Dual simplex*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_SELECTION

Controls the choice of the incoming variable, known as the selection strategy, in the dual simplex optimizer.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"FREE"*, *"FULL"*, *"ASE"*, *"DEVEX"*, *"SE"*, *"PARTIAL"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_SELECTION = MSK_SIM_SELECTION_FREE
Groups *Dual simplex*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC
Controls if the simplex optimizers are allowed to exploit duplicated columns.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*, *"FREE"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC = MSK_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_OFF
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART
Controls the type of hot-start that the simplex optimizer perform.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"NONE"*, *"FREE"*, *"STATUS_KEYS"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART = MSK_SIM_HOTSTART_FREE
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART_LU
Determines if the simplex optimizer should exploit the initial factorization.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted
- *"ON"*: Factorization is reused if possible.
- *"OFF"*: Factorization is recomputed.

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART_LU = MSK_ON
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_ITERATIONS
Maximum number of iterations that can be used by a simplex optimizer.

Default 10000000
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_ITERATIONS = 10000000
Groups *Simplex optimizer*, *Termination criteria*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS
Controls how many set-backs are allowed within a simplex optimizer. A set-back is an event where the optimizer moves in the wrong direction. This is impossible in theory but may happen due to numerical problems.

Default 250
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS = 250
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_NON_SINGULAR
Controls if the simplex optimizer ensures a non-singular basis, if possible.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_NON_SINGULAR = MSK_ON
Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_CRASH
Controls whether crashing is performed in the primal simplex optimizer. In general, if a basis consists of more than (100-this parameter value)% fixed variables, then a crash will be performed.

Default 90
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_CRASH = 90
Groups Primal simplex

MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_PHASEONE_METHOD
An experimental feature.

Default 0
Accepted [0; 10]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_PHASEONE_METHOD = 0
Groups Simplex optimizer

MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION
The primal simplex optimizer can use a so-called restricted selection/pricing strategy to choose the outgoing variable. Hence, if restricted selection is applied, then the primal simplex optimizer rst choose a subset of all the potential incoming variables. Next, for some time it will choose the incoming variable only among the subset. From time to time the subset is rede ned. A larger value of this parameter implies that the optimizer will be more aggressive in its restriction strategy, i.e. a value of 0 implies that the restriction strategy is not applied at all.

Default 50
Accepted [0; 100]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION = 50
Groups Primal simplex

MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_SELECTION
Controls the choice of the incoming variable, known as the selection strategy, in the primal simplex optimizer.

Default "FREE"
Accepted "FREE", "FULL", "ASE", "DEVEX", "SE", "PARTIAL"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_SELECTION = MSK_SIM_SELECTION_FREE
Groups Primal simplex

MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFACTOR_FREQ
Controls how frequent the basis is refactorized. The value 0 means that the optimizer determines the best point of refactorization. It is strongly recommended NOT to change this parameter.

Default 0
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFACTOR_FREQ = 0
Groups Simplex optimizer

MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFORMULATION
Controls if the simplex optimizers are allowed to reformulate the problem.

Default "OFF"
Accepted "ON", "OFF", "FREE", "AGGRESSIVE"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFORMULATION = MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_OFF
Groups Simplex optimizer

MSK_IPAR_SIM_SAVE_LU
Controls if the LU factorization stored should be replaced with the LU factorization corresponding to the initial basis.

Default "OFF"
Accepted "ON", "OFF"
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_SAVE_LU = MSK_OFF

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING

Controls how much effort is used in scaling the problem before a simplex optimizer is used.

Default *"FREE"*

Accepted *"FREE"*, *"NONE"*, *"MODERATE"*, *"AGGRESSIVE"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING = MSK_SCALING_FREE

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING_METHOD

Controls how the problem is scaled before a simplex optimizer is used.

Default *"POW2"*

Accepted *"POW2"*, *"FREE"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING_METHOD = MSK_SCALING_METHOD_POW2

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_SEED

Sets the random seed used for randomization in the simplex optimizers.

Default 23456

Accepted [0; 32749]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_SEED = 23456

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_SOLVE_FORM

Controls whether the primal or the dual problem is solved by the primal-/dual-simplex optimizer.

Default *"FREE"*

Accepted *"FREE"*, *"PRIMAL"*, *"DUAL"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_SOLVE_FORM = MSK_SOLVE_FREE

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_STABILITY_PRIORITY

Controls how high priority the numerical stability should be given.

Default 50

Accepted [0; 100]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_STABILITY_PRIORITY = 50

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SIM_SWITCH_OPTIMIZER

The simplex optimizer sometimes chooses to solve the dual problem instead of the primal problem. This implies that if you have chosen to use the dual simplex optimizer and the problem is dualized, then it actually makes sense to use the primal simplex optimizer instead. If this parameter is on and the problem is dualized and furthermore the simplex optimizer is chosen to be the primal (dual) one, then it is switched to the dual (primal).

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SIM_SWITCH_OPTIMIZER = MSK_OFF

Groups *Simplex optimizer*

MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_BASIC

If turned on, then basic and super basic constraints and variables are written to the solution file independent of the filter setting.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_BASIC = MSK_OFF
Groups *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_RANGED
If turned on, then ranged constraints and variables are written to the solution file independent of the filter setting.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_RANGED = MSK_OFF
Groups *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_NAME_WIDTH
When a solution is read by **MOSEK** and some constraint, variable or cone names contain blanks, then a maximum name width much be specified. A negative value implies that no name contain blanks.

Default -1
Accepted [-inf; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_NAME_WIDTH = -1
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_WIDTH
Controls the maximal acceptable width of line in the solutions when read by **MOSEK**.

Default 1024
Accepted [80; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_WIDTH = 1024
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_SOLUTION_CALLBACK
Indicates whether solution callbacks will be performed during the optimization.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_SOLUTION_CALLBACK = MSK_OFF
Groups *Progress callback*, *Overall solver*

MSK_IPAR_TIMING_LEVEL
Controls the amount of timing performed inside **MOSEK**.

Default 1
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_TIMING_LEVEL = 1
Groups *Overall system*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_CONSTRAINTS
Controls whether the constraint section is written to the basic solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_CONSTRAINTS = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_HEAD
Controls whether the header section is written to the basic solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_HEAD = MSK_ON

Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_VARIABLES

Controls whether the variables section is written to the basic solution file.

Default *"ON"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_VARIABLES = MSK_ON

Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_COMPRESSION

Controls whether the data file is compressed while it is written. 0 means no compression while higher values mean more compression.

Default 9

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_COMPRESSION = 9

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_DATA_PARAM

If this option is turned on the parameter settings are written to the data file as parameters.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_DATA_PARAM = MSK_OFF

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_FREE_CON

Controls whether the free constraints are written to the data file.

Default *"ON"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_FREE_CON = MSK_ON

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES

Controls whether generic names should be used instead of user-defined names when writing to the data file.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*

Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES = MSK_OFF

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES_IO

Index origin used in generic names.

Default 1

Accepted [0; +inf]

Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES_IO = 1

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_IGNORE_INCOMPATIBLE_ITEMS

Controls if the writer ignores incompatible problem items when writing files.

Default *"OFF"*

Accepted

- *"ON"*: Ignore items that cannot be written to the current output file format.
- *"OFF"*: Produce an error if the problem contains items that cannot the written to the current output file format.

Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_IGNORE_INCOMPATIBLE_ITEMS = MSK_OFF
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_CONSTRAINTS
Controls whether the constraint section is written to the integer solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_CONSTRAINTS = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_HEAD
Controls whether the header section is written to the integer solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_HEAD = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_VARIABLES
Controls whether the variables section is written to the integer solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_VARIABLES = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_FULL_OBJ
Write all variables, including the ones with 0-coefficients, in the objective.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_FULL_OBJ = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_LINE_WIDTH
Maximum width of line in an LP file written by **MOSEK**.

Default 80
Accepted [40; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_LINE_WIDTH = 80
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_QUOTED_NAMES
If this option is turned on, then **MOSEK** will quote invalid LP names when writing an LP file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_QUOTED_NAMES = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_STRICT_FORMAT
Controls whether LP output files satisfy the LP format strictly.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_STRICT_FORMAT = MSK_OFF
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_TERMS_PER_LINE

Maximum number of terms on a single line in an LP file written by **MOSEK**. 0 means unlimited.

Default 10
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_TERMS_PER_LINE = 10
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_FORMAT

Controls in which format the MPS is written.

Default *"FREE"*
Accepted *"STRICT"*, *"RELAXED"*, *"FREE"*, *"CPLEX"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_FORMAT = MSK_MPS_FORMAT_FREE
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_INT

Controls if marker records are written to the MPS file to indicate whether variables are integer restricted.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_INT = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_PRECISION

Controls the precision with which double numbers are printed in the MPS data file. In general it is not worthwhile to use a value higher than 15.

Default 15
Accepted [0; +inf]
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_PRECISION = 15
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_BARVARIABLES

Controls whether the symmetric matrix variables section is written to the solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_BARVARIABLES = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_CONSTRAINTS

Controls whether the constraint section is written to the solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_CONSTRAINTS = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_HEAD

Controls whether the header section is written to the solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_HEAD = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_IGNORE_INVALID_NAMES

Even if the names are invalid MPS names, then they are employed when writing the solution file.

Default *"OFF"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_IGNORE_INVALID_NAMES = MSK_OFF
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_VARIABLES
Controls whether the variables section is written to the solution file.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_VARIABLES = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_TASK_INC_SOL
Controls whether the solutions are stored in the task file too.

Default *"ON"*
Accepted *"ON"*, *"OFF"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_TASK_INC_SOL = MSK_ON
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_IPAR_WRITE_XML_MODE
Controls if linear coefficients should be written by row or column when writing in the XML file format.

Default *"ROW"*
Accepted *"ROW"*, *"COL"*
Example param.MSK_IPAR_WRITE_XML_MODE = MSK_WRITE_XML_MODE_ROW
Groups *Data input/output*

15.5.3 String parameters

sparam
The enumeration type containing all string parameters.

MSK_SPAR_BAS_SOL_FILE_NAME
Name of the bas solution file.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_BAS_SOL_FILE_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_SPAR_DATA_FILE_NAME
Data are read and written to this file.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_DATA_FILE_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_DEBUG_FILE_NAME
MOSEK debug file.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_DEBUG_FILE_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_INT_SOL_FILE_NAME
Name of the int solution file.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_INT_SOL_FILE_NAME = 'somevalue'

Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_SPAR_ITR_SOL_FILE_NAME
Name of the itr solution file.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_ITR_SOL_FILE_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_SPAR_MIO_DEBUG_STRING
For internal debugging purposes.

Accepted Any valid string.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_MIO_DEBUG_STRING = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_PARAM_COMMENT_SIGN
Only the first character in this string is used. It is considered as a start of comment sign in the **MOSEK** parameter file. Spaces are ignored in the string.

Default
%%
Accepted Any valid string.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_PARAM_COMMENT_SIGN = '%%'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_PARAM_READ_FILE_NAME
Modifications to the parameter database is read from this file.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_PARAM_READ_FILE_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_PARAM_WRITE_FILE_NAME
The parameter database is written to this file.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_PARAM_WRITE_FILE_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_BOU_NAME
Name of the BOUNDS vector used. An empty name means that the first BOUNDS vector is used.

Accepted Any valid MPS name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_BOU_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_OBJ_NAME
Name of the free constraint used as objective function. An empty name means that the first constraint is used as objective function.

Accepted Any valid MPS name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_OBJ_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RAN_NAME
Name of the RANGE vector used. An empty name means that the first RANGE vector is used.

Accepted Any valid MPS name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RAN_NAME = 'somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RHS_NAME

Name of the RHS used. An empty name means that the first RHS vector is used.

Accepted Any valid MPS name.

Example param.MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RHS_NAME 'MyRHS' # = 'somevalue'

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_REMOTE_ACCESS_TOKEN

An access token used to submit tasks to a remote **MOSEK** server. An access token is a random 32-byte string encoded in base64, i.e. it is a 44 character ASCII string.

Accepted Any valid string.

Example param.MSK_SPAR_REMOTE_ACCESS_TOKEN 'MyKEY' # = 'somevalue'

Groups *Overall system*

MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_FILE_NAME

If defined, **MOSEK** reads this file as a sensitivity analysis data file specifying the type of analysis to be done.

Accepted Any valid string.

Example param.MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_FILE_NAME 'File' # = 'somevalue'

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_RES_FILE_NAME

Accepted Any valid string.

Example param.MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_RES_FILE_NAME 'MyFile' # = 'somevalue'

Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_LOW

A filter used to determine which constraints should be listed in the solution file. A value of 0.5 means that all constraints having xc[i]>0.5 should be listed, whereas +0.5 means that all constraints having xc[i]>=blc[i]+0.5 should be listed. An empty filter means that no filter is applied.

Accepted Any valid filter.

Example param.MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_LOW 'MyW' # = 'somevalue'

Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_UPR

A filter used to determine which constraints should be listed in the solution file. A value of 0.5 means that all constraints having xc[i]<0.5 should be listed, whereas -0.5 means all constraints having xc[i]<=buc[i]-0.5 should be listed. An empty filter means that no filter is applied.

Accepted Any valid filter.

Example param.MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_UPR 'Pi' # = 'somevalue'

Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_LOW

A filter used to determine which variables should be listed in the solution file. A value of "0.5" means that all constraints having xx[j]>=0.5 should be listed, whereas "+0.5" means that all constraints having xx[j]>=blx[j]+0.5 should be listed. An empty filter means no filter is applied.

Accepted Any valid filter.

Example param.MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_LOW 'MyW' # = 'somevalue'

Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_UPR

A filter used to determine which variables should be listed in the solution file. A value of "0.5" means that all constraints having xx[j]<0.5 should be printed, whereas "-0.5" means all constraints having xx[j]<=bux[j]-0.5 should be listed. An empty filter means no filter is applied.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_UPR='somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*, *Solution input/output*

MSK_SPAR_STAT_FILE_NAME
Statistics file name.

Accepted Any valid file name.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_STAT_FILE_NAME='somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_STAT_KEY
Key used when writing the summary file.

Accepted Any valid string.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_STAT_KEY='somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_STAT_NAME
Name used when writing the statistics file.

Accepted Any valid XML string.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_STAT_NAME='somevalue'
Groups *Data input/output*

MSK_SPAR_WRITE_LP_GEN_VAR_NAME
Sometimes when an LP file is written additional variables must be inserted. They will have the prefix denoted by this parameter.

Default xmskgen
Accepted Any valid string.
Example param.MSK_SPAR_WRITE_LP_GEN_VAR_NAME='xmskgen'
Groups *Data input/output*

15.6 Response codes

Response codes include:

- *Termination codes*
- *Warnings*
- *Errors*

The numerical code (in brackets) identifies the response in error messages and in the log output.
rescode
The enumeration type containing all response codes.

15.6.1 Termination

"MSK_RES_OK" (0)
No error occurred.
"MSK_RES_TRM_MAX_ITERATIONS" (10000)
The optimizer terminated at the maximum number of iterations.
"MSK_RES_TRM_MAX_TIME" (10001)
The optimizer terminated at the maximum amount of time.
"MSK_RES_TRM_OBJECTIVE_RANGE" (10002)
The optimizer terminated with an objective value outside the objective range.
"MSK_RES_TRM_MIO_NUM_RELAXS" (10008)
The mixed-integer optimizer terminated as the maximum number of relaxations was reached.

"MSK_RES_TRM_MIO_NUM_BRANCHES" (10009)
The mixed-integer optimizer terminated as the maximum number of branches was reached.

"MSK_RES_TRM_NUM_MAX_NUM_INT_SOLUTIONS" (10015)
The mixed-integer optimizer terminated as the maximum number of feasible solutions was reached.

"MSK_RES_TRM_STALL" (10006)
The optimizer is terminated due to slow progress.

Stalling means that numerical problems prevent the optimizer from making reasonable progress and that it makes no sense to continue. In many cases this happens if the problem is badly scaled or otherwise ill-conditioned. There is no guarantee that the solution will be feasible or optimal. However, often stalling happens near the optimum, and the returned solution may be of good quality. Therefore, it is recommended to check the status of the solution. If the solution status is optimal the solution is most likely good enough for most practical purposes.

Please note that if a linear optimization problem is solved using the interior-point optimizer with basis identification turned on, the returned basic solution likely to have high accuracy, even though the optimizer stalled.

Some common causes of stalling are a) badly scaled models, b) near feasible or near infeasible problems.

"MSK_RES_TRM_USER_CALLBACK" (10007)
The optimizer terminated due to the return of the user-defined callback function.

"MSK_RES_TRM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS" (10020)
The optimizer terminated as the maximum number of set-backs was reached. This indicates serious numerical problems and a possibly badly formulated problem.

"MSK_RES_TRM_NUMERICAL_PROBLEM" (10025)
The optimizer terminated due to numerical problems.

"MSK_RES_TRM_INTERNAL" (10030)
The optimizer terminated due to some internal reason. Please contact **MOSEK** support.

"MSK_RES_TRM_INTERNAL_STOP" (10031)
The optimizer terminated for internal reasons. Please contact **MOSEK** support.

15.6.2 Warnings

"MSK_RES_WRN_OPEN_PARAM_FILE" (50)
The parameter file could not be opened.

"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_BOUND" (51)
A numerically large bound value is specified.

"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_LO_BOUND" (52)
A numerically large lower bound value is specified.

"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_UP_BOUND" (53)
A numerically large upper bound value is specified.

"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_CON_FX" (54)
An equality constraint is fixed to a numerically large value. This can cause numerical problems.

"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_CJ" (57)
A numerically large value is specified for one c_j.

"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_AIJ" (62)
A numerically large value is specified for an $a_{i,j}$ element in A. The parameter *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_LARGE* controls when an $a_{i,j}$ is considered large.

"MSK_RES_WRN_ZERO_AIJ" (63)
One or more zero elements are specified in A.

"MSK_RES_WRN_NAME_MAX_LEN" (65)
A name is longer than the buffer that is supposed to hold it.

"MSK_RES_WRN_SPAR_MAX_LEN" (66)
A value for a string parameter is longer than the buffer that is supposed to hold it.

"MSK_RES_WRN_MPS_SPLIT_RHS_VECTOR" (70)
An RHS vector is split into several nonadjacent parts in an MPS file.

"MSK_RES_WRN_MPS_SPLIT_RAN_VECTOR" (71)
A RANGE vector is split into several nonadjacent parts in an MPS file.

"MSK_RES_WRN_INCOMPLETE_LINEAR_DEPENDENCY_CHECK" (800)
The linear dependency check(s) is incomplete. Normally this is not an important warning unless the optimization problem has been formulated with linear dependencies. Linear dependencies may prevent MOSEK from solving the problem.

"MSK_RES_WRN_ELIMINATOR_SPACE" (801)
The eliminator is skipped at least once due to lack of space.

"MSK_RES_WRN_PRESOLVE_OUTOFSPACE" (802)
The presolve is incomplete due to lack of space.

"MSK_RES_WRN_WRITE_CHANGED_NAMES" (803)
Some names were changed because they were invalid for the output le format.

"MSK_RES_WRN_WRITE_DISCARDED_CFIX" (804)
The xed objective term could not be converted to a variable and was discarded in the output le.

"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_CONSTRAINT_NAMES" (850)
Two constraint names are identical.

"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_VARIABLE_NAMES" (851)
Two variable names are identical.

"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_BARVARIABLE_NAMES" (852)
Two barvariable names are identical.

"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_CONE_NAMES" (853)
Two cone names are identical.

"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_LARGE_BOUNDS" (900)
This warning is issued by the problem analyzer, if one or more constraint or variable bounds are very large. One should consider omitting these bounds entirely by setting them to +inf or -inf.

"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_C_ZERO" (901)
This warning is issued by the problem analyzer, if the coe cients in the linear part of the objective are all zero.

"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_EMPTY_COLS" (902)
This warning is issued by the problem analyzer, if columns, in which all coe cients are zero, are found.

"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_CLOSE_BOUNDS" (903)
This warning is issued by problem analyzer, if ranged constraints or variables with very close upper and lower bounds are detected. One should consider treating such constraints as equalities and such variables as constants.

"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_ALMOST_INT_BOUNDS" (904)
This warning is issued by the problem analyzer if a constraint is bound nearly integral.

"MSK_RES_WRN_QUAD_CONES_WITH_ROOT_FIXED_AT_ZERO" (930)
For at least one quadratic cone the root is xed at (nearly) zero. This may cause problems such as a very large dual solution. Therefore, it is recommended to remove such cones before optimizing the problem, or to x all the variables in the cone to 0.

"MSK_RES_WRN_RQUAD_CONES_WITH_ROOT_FIXED_AT_ZERO" (931)
For at least one rotated quadratic cone at least one of the root variables are xed at (nearly) zero. This may cause problems such as a very large dual solution. Therefore, it is recommended to remove such cones before optimizing the problem, or to x all the variables in the cone to 0.

"MSK_RES_WRN_EXP_CONES_WITH_VARIABLES_FIXED_AT_ZERO" (932)
For at least one exponential conex $y \exp(z=y)$ either the variable x or y is xed at (nearly) zero. This may cause problems such as a very large dual solution. Therefore, it is recommended to remove such cones before optimizing the problem, or to x all the variables in the cone to 0.

"MSK_RES_WRN_POW_CONES_WITH_ROOT_FIXED_AT_ZERO" (933)
For at least one power cone at least one of the root variables are xed at (nearly) zero. This may cause problems such as a very large dual solution. Therefore, it is recommended to remove such cones before optimizing the problem, or to x all the variables in the cone to 0.

"MSK_RES_WRN_NO_DUALIZER" (950)
No automatic dualizer is available for the speci ed problem. The primal problem is solved.

"MSK_RES_WRN_SYM_MAT_LARGE" (960)
A numerically large value is speci ed for an $e_{i;j}$ element in E. The parameter MSK_DPAR_DATA_SYM_MAT_TOL_LARGE controls when an $e_{i;j}$ is considered large.

15.6.3 Errors

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE" (1000)
Invalid license.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_EXPIRED" (1001)
The license has expired.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_VERSION" (1002)
The license is valid for another version of **MOSEK**.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE" (1005)
The problem is bigger than the license.

"MSK_RES_ERR_PROB_LICENSE" (1006)
The software is not licensed to solve the problem.

"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_LICENSE" (1007)
Invalid license file.

"MSK_RES_ERR_MISSING_LICENSE_FILE" (1008)
MOSEK cannot find license file or a token server. See the **MOSEK** licensing manual for details.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_CON" (1010)
The problem has too many constraints to be solved with the available license.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_VAR" (1011)
The problem has too many variables to be solved with the available license.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_INTVAR" (1012)
The problem contains too many integer variables to be solved with the available license.

"MSK_RES_ERR_OPTIMIZER_LICENSE" (1013)
The optimizer required is not licensed.

"MSK_RES_ERR_FLEXLM" (1014)
The FLEXlm license manager reported an error.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_SERVER" (1015)
The license server is not responding.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_MAX" (1016)
Maximum number of licenses is reached.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_MOSEKLM_DAEMON" (1017)
The MOSEKLM license manager daemon is not up and running.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_FEATURE" (1018)
A requested feature is not available in the license file(s). Most likely due to an incorrect license system setup.

"MSK_RES_ERR_PLATFORM_NOT_LICENSED" (1019)
A requested license feature is not available for the required platform.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_CANNOT_ALLOCATE" (1020)
The license system cannot allocate the memory required.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_CANNOT_CONNECT" (1021)
MOSEK cannot connect to the license server. Most likely the license server is not up and running.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_INVALID_HOSTID" (1025)
The host ID specified in the license file does not match the host ID of the computer.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_SERVER_VERSION" (1026)
The version specified in the checkout request is greater than the highest version number the daemon supports.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_NO_SERVER_SUPPORT" (1027)
The license server does not support the requested feature. Possible reasons for this error include:

- The feature has expired.
- The feature's start date is later than today's date.
- The version requested is higher than feature's the highest supported version.
- A corrupted license file.

Try restarting the license and inspect the license server debug file, usually called lmgrd.log .

"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_NO_SERVER_LINE" (1028)
There is no SERVER line in the license file. All non-zero license count features need at least one SERVER line.

"MSK_RES_ERR_OLDER_DLL" (1035)
The dynamic link library is older than the specified version.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NEWER_DLL" (1036)
The dynamic link library is newer than the specified version.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LINK_FILE_DLL" (1040)
A file cannot be linked to a stream in the DLL version.
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_MUTEX_INIT" (1045)
Could not initialize a mutex.
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_MUTEX_LOCK" (1046)
Could not lock a mutex.
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_MUTEX_UNLOCK" (1047)
Could not unlock a mutex.
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_CREATE" (1048)
Could not create a thread. This error may occur if a large number of environments are created and not deleted again. In any case it is a good practice to minimize the number of environments created.
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_COND_INIT" (1049)
Could not initialize a condition.
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNKNOWN" (1050)
Unknown error.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SPACE" (1051)
Out of space.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_OPEN" (1052)
Error while opening a file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_READ" (1053)
File read error.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_WRITE" (1054)
File write error.
"MSK_RES_ERR_DATA_FILE_EXT" (1055)
The data file format cannot be determined from the file name.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_NAME" (1056)
An invalid file name has been specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_SOL_FILE_NAME" (1057)
An invalid file name has been specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_END_OF_FILE" (1059)
End of file reached.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NULL_ENV" (1060)
env is a NULL pointer.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NULL_TASK" (1061)
task is a NULL pointer.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_STREAM" (1062)
An invalid stream is referenced.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_INIT_ENV" (1063)
env is not initialized.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_TASK" (1064)
The task is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NULL_POINTER" (1065)
An argument to a function is unexpectedly a NULL pointer.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LIVING_TASKS" (1066)
All tasks associated with an enviroment must be deleted before the environment is deleted. There are still some undeleted tasks.
"MSK_RES_ERR_BLANK_NAME" (1070)
An all blank name has been specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_DUP_NAME" (1071)
The same name was used multiple times for the same problem item type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FORMAT_STRING" (1072)
The name format string is invalid.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_OBJ_NAME" (1075)
An invalid objective name is specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_CON_NAME" (1076)
An invalid constraint name is used.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_VAR_NAME" (1077)
An invalid variable name is used.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_CONE_NAME" (1078)
An invalid cone name is used.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_BARVAR_NAME" (1079)
An invalid symmetric matrix variable name is used.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SPACE_LEAKING" (1080)
MOSEK is leaking memory. This can be due to either an incorrect use of **MOSEK** or a bug.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SPACE_NO_INFO" (1081)
No available information about the space usage.
"MSK_RES_ERR_READ_FORMAT" (1090)
The specified format cannot be read.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_FILE" (1100)
An error occurred while reading an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_FIELD" (1101)
A field in the MPS file is invalid. Probably it is too wide.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_MARKER" (1102)
An invalid marker has been specified in the MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_NULL_CON_NAME" (1103)
An empty constraint name is used in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_NULL_VAR_NAME" (1104)
An empty variable name is used in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_UNDEF_CON_NAME" (1105)
An undefined constraint name occurred in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_UNDEF_VAR_NAME" (1106)
An undefined variable name occurred in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_CON_KEY" (1107)
An invalid constraint key occurred in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_BOUND_KEY" (1108)
An invalid bound key occurred in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_SEC_NAME" (1109)
An invalid section name occurred in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_NO_OBJECTIVE" (1110)
No objective is defined in an MPS file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_SPLITTED_VAR" (1111)
All elements in a column of the A matrix must be specified consecutively. Hence, it is illegal to specify non-zero elements in A for variable 1, then for variable 2 and then variable 1 again.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_CON_NAME" (1112)
A constraint name was specified multiple times in the ROWS section.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_QSEC" (1113)
Multiple QSECTIONs are specified for a constraint in the MPS data file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_QOBJ" (1114)
The Q term in the objective is specified multiple times in the MPS data file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_SEC_ORDER" (1115)
The sections in the MPS data file are not in the correct order.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_CSEC" (1116)
Multiple CSECTIONs are given the same name.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_CONE_TYPE" (1117)
Invalid cone type specified in a CSECTION.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_CONE_OVERLAP" (1118)
A variable is specified to be a member of several cones.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_CONE_REPEAT" (1119)
A variable is repeated within the CSECTION.

"MSK_RES_ERR_OPF_PREMATURE_EOF" (1172)
Premature end of file in an OPF file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_SYNTAX" (1175)
Syntax error in an JSON data
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_STRING" (1176)
Error in JSON string.
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_NUMBER_OVERFLOW" (1177)
Invalid number entry - wrong type or value overflow.
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_FORMAT" (1178)
Error in an JSON Task file
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_DATA" (1179)
Inconsistent data in JSON Task file
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_MISSING_DATA" (1180)
Missing data section in JSON task file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_LENNEQ" (1197)
Incorrect length of arguments.
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_TYPE" (1198)
Incorrect argument type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NUM_ARGUMENTS" (1199)
Incorrect number of function arguments.
"MSK_RES_ERR_IN_ARGUMENT" (1200)
A function argument is incorrect.
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_DIMENSION" (1201)
A function argument is of incorrect dimension.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SHAPE_IS_TOO_LARGE" (1202)
The size of the n-dimensional shape is too large.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_IS_TOO_SMALL" (1203)
An index in an argument is too small.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_IS_TOO_LARGE" (1204)
An index in an argument is too large.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME" (1205)
The parameter name is not correct.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME_DOU" (1206)
The parameter name is not correct for a double parameter.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME_INT" (1207)
The parameter name is not correct for an integer parameter.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME_STR" (1208)
The parameter name is not correct for a string parameter.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_INDEX" (1210)
Parameter index is out of range.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_IS_TOO_LARGE" (1215)
The parameter value is too large.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_IS_TOO_SMALL" (1216)
The parameter value is too small.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_VALUE_STR" (1217)
The parameter value string is incorrect.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_TYPE" (1218)
The parameter type is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_DOU_INDEX" (1219)
A double information index is out of range for the specified type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_INT_INDEX" (1220)
An integer information index is out of range for the specified type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_ARR_IS_TOO_SMALL" (1221)
An index in an array argument is too small.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_ARR_IS_TOO_LARGE" (1222)
An index in an array argument is too large.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_LINT_INDEX" (1225)
A long integer information index is out of range for the specified type.

"MSK_RES_ERR_ARG_IS_TOO_SMALL" (1226)
The value of a argument is too small.
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARG_IS_TOO_LARGE" (1227)
The value of a argument is too large.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_WHICHSOL" (1228)
whichsol is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_DOU_NAME" (1230)
A double information name is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_INT_NAME" (1231)
An integer information name is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_TYPE" (1232)
The information type is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_LINT_NAME" (1234)
A long integer information name is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX" (1235)
An index is out of range.
"MSK_RES_ERR_WHICHSOL" (1236)
The solution defined by whichsol does not exists.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SOLITEM" (1237)
The solution item number solitem is invalid. Please note that *"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SNX"* is invalid for the basic solution.
"MSK_RES_ERR_WHICHITEM_NOT_ALLOWED" (1238)
whichitem is unacceptable.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMCON" (1240)
The maximum number of constraints specified is smaller than the number of constraints in the task.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMVAR" (1241)
The maximum number of variables specified is smaller than the number of variables in the task.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMBARVAR" (1242)
The maximum number of semidefinite variables specified is smaller than the number of semidefinite variables in the task.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMQNZ" (1243)
The maximum number of non-zeros specified for the Q matrices is smaller than the number of non-zeros in the current Q matrices.
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOO_SMALL_MAX_NUM_NZ" (1245)
The maximum number of non-zeros specified is too small.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_IDX" (1246)
A specified index is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_MAX_NUM" (1247)
A specified index is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NUMCONLIM" (1250)
Maximum number of constraints limit is exceeded.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NUMVARLIM" (1251)
Maximum number of variables limit is exceeded.
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOO_SMALL_MAXNUMANZ" (1252)
The maximum number of non-zeros specified for A is smaller than the number of non-zeros in the current A.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_APTRE" (1253)
aptre[j] is strictly smaller than aptrb[j] for some j .
"MSK_RES_ERR_MUL_A_ELEMENT" (1254)
An element in A is defined multiple times.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_BK" (1255)
Invalid bound key.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_BKC" (1256)
Invalid bound key is specified for a constraint.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_BKX" (1257)
An invalid bound key is specified for a variable.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_VAR_TYPE" (1258)
An invalid variable type is specified for a variable.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SOLVER_PROBTYPE" (1259)
Problem type does not match the chosen optimizer.
"MSK_RES_ERR_OBJECTIVE_RANGE" (1260)
Empty objective range.
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNDEF_SOLUTION" (1265)
MOSEK has the following solution types:

- an interior-point solution,
- a basic solution,
- and an integer solution.

Each optimizer may set one or more of these solutions; e.g by default a successful optimization with the interior-point optimizer defines the interior-point solution and, for linear problems, also the basic solution. This error occurs when asking for a solution or for information about a solution that is not defined.
"MSK_RES_ERR_BASIS" (1266)
An invalid basis is specified. Either too many or too few basis variables are specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SKC" (1267)
Invalid value in skc.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SKX" (1268)
Invalid value in skx.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SKN" (1274)
Invalid value in skn.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SK_STR" (1269)
Invalid status key string encountered.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SK" (1270)
Invalid status key code.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_CONE_TYPE_STR" (1271)
Invalid cone type string encountered.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_CONE_TYPE" (1272)
Invalid cone type code is encountered.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_SURPLUS" (1275)
Invalid surplus.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_NAME_ITEM" (1280)
An invalid name item code is used.
"MSK_RES_ERR_PRO_ITEM" (1281)
An invalid problem is used.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FORMAT_TYPE" (1283)
Invalid format type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FIRSTI" (1285)
Invalid firsti .
"MSK_RES_ERR_LASTI" (1286)
Invalid lasti .
"MSK_RES_ERR_FIRSTJ" (1287)
Invalid firstj .
"MSK_RES_ERR_LASTJ" (1288)
Invalid lastj .
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAX_LEN_IS_TOO_SMALL" (1289)
A maximum length that is too small has been specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NONLINEAR_EQUALITY" (1290)
The model contains a nonlinear equality which defines a nonconvex set.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NONCONVEX" (1291)
The optimization problem is nonconvex.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NONLINEAR_RANGED" (1292)
Nonlinear constraints with finite lower and upper bound always define a nonconvex feasible set.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CON_Q_NOT_PSD" (1293)
The quadratic constraint matrix is not positive semidefinite as expected for a constraint with finite upper bound. This results in a nonconvex problem. The parameter *MSK_DPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY_REL_TOL* can be used to relax the convexity check.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CON_Q_NOT_NSD" (1294)
The quadratic constraint matrix is not negative semidefinite as expected for a constraint with finite lower bound. This results in a nonconvex problem. The parameter *MSK_DPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY_REL_TOL* can be used to relax the convexity check.

"MSK_RES_ERR_OBJ_Q_NOT_PSD" (1295)
The quadratic coefficient matrix in the objective is not positive semidefinite as expected for a minimization problem. The parameter *MSK_DPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY_REL_TOL* can be used to relax the convexity check.

"MSK_RES_ERR_OBJ_Q_NOT_NSD" (1296)
The quadratic coefficient matrix in the objective is not negative semidefinite as expected for a maximization problem. The parameter *MSK_DPAR_CHECK_CONVEXITY_REL_TOL* can be used to relax the convexity check.

"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_PERM_ARRAY" (1299)
An invalid permutation array is specified.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_INDEX" (1300)
An index of a non-existing cone has been specified.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_SIZE" (1301)
A cone with incorrect number of members is specified.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_OVERLAP" (1302)
One or more of the variables in the cone to be added is already member of another cone. Now assume the variable is x_j then add a new variable say x_k and the constraint

$$x_j = x_k$$

and then let x_k be member of the cone to be appended.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_REP_VAR" (1303)
A variable is included multiple times in the cone.

"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMCONE" (1304)
The value specified for maxnumcone is too small.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_TYPE" (1305)
Invalid cone type specified.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_TYPE_STR" (1306)
Invalid cone type specified.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_OVERLAP_APPEND" (1307)
The cone to be appended has one variable which is already member of another cone.

"MSK_RES_ERR_REMOVE_CONE_VARIABLE" (1310)
A variable cannot be removed because it will make a cone invalid.

"MSK_RES_ERR_APPENDING_TOO_BIG_CONE" (1311)
Trying to append a too big cone.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_PARAMETER" (1320)
An invalid cone parameter.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SOL_FILE_INVALID_NUMBER" (1350)
An invalid number is specified in a solution file.

"MSK_RES_ERR_HUGE_C" (1375)
A huge value in absolute size is specified for one c_j.

"MSK_RES_ERR_HUGE_AIJ" (1380)
A numerically huge value is specified for an $a_{i,j}$ element in A. The parameter *MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_AIJ_HUGE* controls when an $a_{i,j}$ is considered huge.

"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_AIJ" (1385)
An element in the A matrix is specified twice.

"MSK_RES_ERR_LOWER_BOUND_IS_A_NAN" (1390)
The lower bound specified is not a number (nan).

"MSK_RES_ERR_UPPER_BOUND_IS_A_NAN" (1391)
The upper bound specified is not a number (nan).

"MSK_RES_ERR_MIXED_CONIC_AND_NL" (1501)
The problem contains nonlinear terms conic constraints. The requested operation cannot be applied to this type of problem.
"MSK_RES_ERR_GLOBAL_INV_CONIC_PROBLEM" (1503)
The global optimizer can only be applied to problems without semidefinite variables.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_OPTIMIZER" (1550)
An invalid optimizer has been chosen for the problem.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_NO_OPTIMIZER" (1551)
No optimizer is available for the current class of integer optimization problems.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_OPTIMIZER_VAR_TYPE" (1552)
No optimizer is available for this class of optimization problems.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FINAL_SOLUTION" (1560)
An error occurred during the solution finalization.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FIRST" (1570)
Invalid first .
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAST" (1571)
Invalid index last . A given index was out of expected range.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SLICE_SIZE" (1572)
Invalid slice size specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NEGATIVE_SURPLUS" (1573)
Negative surplus.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NEGATIVE_APPEND" (1578)
Cannot append a negative number.
"MSK_RES_ERR_POSTSOLVE" (1580)
An error occurred during the postsolve. Please contact **MOSEK** support.
"MSK_RES_ERR_OVERFLOW" (1590)
A computation produced an overflow i.e. a very large number.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_BASIS_SOL" (1600)
No basic solution is defined.
"MSK_RES_ERR_BASIS_FACTOR" (1610)
The factorization of the basis is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_BASIS_SINGULAR" (1615)
The basis is singular and hence cannot be factored.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FACTOR" (1650)
An error occurred while factorizing a matrix.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FEASREPAIR_CANNOT_RELAX" (1700)
An optimization problem cannot be relaxed.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FEASREPAIR_SOLVING_RELAXED" (1701)
The relaxed problem could not be solved to optimality. Please consult the log file for further details.
"MSK_RES_ERR_FEASREPAIR_INCONSISTENT_BOUND" (1702)
The upper bound is less than the lower bound for a variable or a constraint. Please correct this before running the feasibility repair.
"MSK_RES_ERR_REPAIR_INVALID_PROBLEM" (1710)
The feasibility repair does not support the specified problem type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_REPAIR_OPTIMIZATION_FAILED" (1711)
Computation the optimal relaxation failed. The cause may have been numerical problems.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAME_MAX_LEN" (1750)
A name is longer than the buffer that is supposed to hold it.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAME_IS_NULL" (1760)
The name buffer is a NULL pointer.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_COMPRESSION" (1800)
Invalid compression type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_IOMODE" (1801)
Invalid io mode.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_PRIMAL_INFEAS_CER" (2000)
A certificate of primal infeasibility is not available.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_DUAL_INFEAS_CER" (2001)
A certificate of infeasibility is not available.

"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_SOLUTION_IN_CALLBACK" (2500)
The required solution is not available.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_MARKI" (2501)
Invalid value in marki.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_MARKJ" (2502)
Invalid value in markj.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_NUMI" (2503)
Invalid numi.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_NUMJ" (2504)
Invalid numj.
"MSK_RES_ERR_TASK_INCOMPATIBLE" (2560)
The Task file is incompatible with this platform. This results from reading a file on a 32 bit platform generated on a 64 bit platform.
"MSK_RES_ERR_TASK_INVALID" (2561)
The Task file is invalid.
"MSK_RES_ERR_TASK_WRITE" (2562)
Failed to write the task file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LU_MAX_NUM_TRIES" (2800)
Could not compute the LU factors of the matrix within the maximum number of allowed tries.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_UTF8" (2900)
An invalid UTF8 string is encountered.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_WCHAR" (2901)
An invalid wchar string is encountered.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_DUAL_FOR_ITG_SOL" (2950)
No dual information is available for the integer solution.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_SNX_FOR_BAS_SOL" (2953)
s_n^x is not available for the basis solution.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INTERNAL" (3000)
An internal error occurred. Please report this problem.
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_ARRAY_TOO_SMALL" (3001)
An input array was too short.
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_CB_CONNECT" (3002)
Failed to connect a callback object.
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_FATAL_ERROR" (3005)
An internal error occurred in the API. Please report this problem.
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_INTERNAL" (3999)
An internal fatal error occurred in an interface function.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_FORMAT" (3050)
Syntax error in sensitivity analysis file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_UNDEF_NAME" (3051)
An undefined name was encountered in the sensitivity analysis file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_INDEX_RANGE" (3052)
Index out of range in the sensitivity analysis file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_BOUND_INVALID_UP" (3053)
Analysis of upper bound requested for an index, where no upper bound exists.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_BOUND_INVALID_LO" (3054)
Analysis of lower bound requested for an index, where no lower bound exists.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_INDEX_INVALID" (3055)
Invalid range given in the sensitivity file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_INVALID_REGEXP" (3056)
Syntax error in regexp or regexp longer than 1024.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_SOLUTION_STATUS" (3057)
No optimal solution found to the original problem given for sensitivity analysis.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_NUMERICAL" (3058)
Numerical difficulties encountered performing the sensitivity analysis.
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_UNHANDLED_PROBLEM_TYPE" (3080)
Sensitivity analysis cannot be performed for the specified problem. Sensitivity analysis is only possible for linear problems.

"MSK_RES_ERR_UNB_STEP_SIZE" (3100)
A step size in an optimizer was unexpectedly unbounded. For instance, if the step-size becomes unbounded in phase 1 of the simplex algorithm then an error occurs. Normally this will happen only if the problem is badly formulated. Please contact **MOSEK** support if this error occurs.

"MSK_RES_ERR_IDENTICAL_TASKS" (3101)
Some tasks related to this function call were identical. Unique tasks were expected.

"MSK_RES_ERR_AD_INVALID_CODELIST" (3102)
The code list data was invalid.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INTERNAL_TEST_FAILED" (3500)
An internal unit test function failed.

"MSK_RES_ERR_XML_INVALID_PROBLEM_TYPE" (3600)
The problem type is not supported by the XML format.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_AMPL_STUB" (3700)
Invalid AMPL stub.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INT64_TO_INT32_CAST" (3800)
A 64 bit integer could not be cast to a 32 bit integer.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_NUMCORES" (3900)
The computer contains more cpu cores than the license allows for.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INFEAS_UNDEFINED" (3910)
The requested value is not defined for this solution type.

"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_BARX_FOR_SOLUTION" (3915)
There is no $\overline{X}$ available for the solution specified. In particular note there are no $\overline{X}$ defined for the basic and integer solutions.

"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_BARS_FOR_SOLUTION" (3916)
There is no $\bar{s}$ available for the solution specified. In particular note there are no $\bar{s}$ defined for the basic and integer solutions.

"MSK_RES_ERR_BAR_VAR_DIM" (3920)
The dimension of a symmetric matrix variable has to be greater than 0.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_INVALID_ROW_INDEX" (3940)
A row index specified for sparse symmetric matrix is invalid.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_INVALID_COL_INDEX" (3941)
A column index specified for sparse symmetric matrix is invalid.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_NOT_LOWER_TRINGULAR" (3942)
Only the lower triangular part of sparse symmetric matrix should be specified.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_INVALID_VALUE" (3943)
The numerical value specified in a sparse symmetric matrix is not a floating point value.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_DUPLICATE" (3944)
A value in a symmetric matric as been specified more than once.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_SYM_MAT_DIM" (3950)
A sparse symmetric matrix of invalid dimension is specified.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_SYM_MAT" (4000)
The file format does not support a problem with symmetric matrix variables.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_CFIX" (4001)
The file format does not support a problem with nonzero fixed term in c.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_RANGED_CONSTRAINTS" (4002)
The file format does not support a problem with ranged constraints.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_FREE_CONSTRAINTS" (4003)
The file format does not support a problem with free constraints.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_CONES" (4005)
The file format does not support a problem with conic constraints.

"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_NONLINEAR" (4010)
The file format does not support a problem with nonlinear terms.

"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_CONSTRAINT_NAMES" (4500)
Two constraint names are identical.

"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_VARIABLE_NAMES" (4501)
Two variable names are identical.

"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_BARVARIABLE_NAMES" (4502)
Two barvariable names are identical.

"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_CONE_NAMES" (4503)
Two cone names are identical.
"MSK_RES_ERR_NON_UNIQUE_ARRAY" (5000)
An array does not contain unique elements.
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_IS_TOO_LARGE" (5005)
The value of a function argument is too large.
"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_INTERNAL" (5010)
A fatal error occurred in the mixed integer optimizer. Please contact **MOSEK** support.
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_PROBLEM_TYPE" (6000)
An invalid problem type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNHANDLED_SOLUTION_STATUS" (6010)
Unhandled solution status.
"MSK_RES_ERR_UPPER_TRIANGLE" (6020)
An element in the upper triangle of a lower triangular matrix is specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_SINGULAR_MATRIX" (7000)
A matrix is singular.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_NOT_POSITIVE_DEFINITE" (7001)
A matrix is not positive definite.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_INVALID_LOWER_TRIANGULAR_MATRIX" (7002)
An invalid lower triangular matrix.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_UNKNOWN" (7005)
An unknown error.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_M" (7010)
Invalid argument m.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_N" (7011)
Invalid argument n.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_K" (7012)
Invalid argument k.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_TRANSA" (7015)
Invalid argument transa.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_TRANSB" (7016)
Invalid argument transb.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_UPLO" (7017)
Invalid argument uplo.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_TRANS" (7018)
Invalid argument trans.
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_INVALID_SPARSE_SYMMETRIC_MATRIX" (7019)
An invalid sparse symmetric matrix is specfified. Note only the lower triangular part with no duplicates is specifed.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_PARSE" (7100)
An error occurred while parsing an CBF file.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_OBJ_SENSE" (7101)
An invalid objective sense is specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_NO_VARIABLES" (7102)
No variables are specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_MANY_CONSTRAINTS" (7103)
Too many constraints specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_MANY_VARIABLES" (7104)
Too many variables specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_NO_VERSION_SPECIFIED" (7105)
No version specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_SYNTAX" (7106)
Invalid syntax.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_OBJ" (7107)
Duplicate OBJ keyword.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_CON" (7108)
Duplicate CON keyword.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_VAR" (7109)
Duplicate VAR keyword.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_INT" (7110)
Duplicate INT keyword.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_VAR_TYPE" (7111)
Invalid variable type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_CON_TYPE" (7112)
Invalid constraint type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_DOMAIN_DIMENSION" (7113)
Invalid domain dimension.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_OBJACOORD" (7114)
Duplicate index in OBJCOORD.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_BCOORD" (7115)
Duplicate index in BCOORD.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_ACOORD" (7116)
Duplicate index in ACOORD.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_VARIABLES" (7117)
Too few variables defined.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_CONSTRAINTS" (7118)
Too few constraints defined.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_INTS" (7119)
Too few ints are specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_MANY_INTS" (7120)
Too many ints are specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_INT_INDEX" (7121)
Invalid INT index.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNSUPPORTED" (7122)
Unsupported feature is present.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_PSDVAR" (7123)
Duplicate PSDVAR keyword.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_PSDVAR_DIMENSION" (7124)
Invalid PSDVAR dimension.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_PSDVAR" (7125)
Too few variables defined.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_EXP_DIMENSION" (7126)
Invalid dimension of a exponential cone.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_POW_CONES" (7130)
Multiple POWCONES specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_POW_STAR_CONES" (7131)
Multiple POW*CONES specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER" (7132)
Invalid power specified.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_CONE_IS_TOO_LONG" (7133)
Power cone is too long.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER_CONE_INDEX" (7134)
Invalid power cone index.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER_STAR_CONE_INDEX" (7135)
Invalid power star cone index.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNHANDLED_POWER_CONE_TYPE" (7136)
An unhandled power cone type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNHANDLED_POWER_STAR_CONE_TYPE" (7137)
An unhandled power star cone type.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_CONE_MISMATCH" (7138)
The power cone does not match with it definition.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_STAR_CONE_MISMATCH" (7139)
The power star cone does not match with it definition.
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_NUMBER_OF_CONES" (7740)
Invalid number of cones.

"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_DIMENSION_OF_CONES" (7741)
Invalid dimension of cones.

"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_INVALID_ROOT_OPTIMIZER" (7700)
An invalid root optimizer was selected for the problem type.

"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_INVALID_NODE_OPTIMIZER" (7701)
An invalid node optimizer was selected for the problem type.

"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTR_Q_NOT_PSD" (7800)
The matrix defining the quadratric part of constraint is not positive semidefinite.

"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTRAINT_FX" (7801)
The quadratic constraint is an equality, thus not convex.

"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTRAINT_RA" (7802)
The quadratic constraint has finite lower and upper bound, and therefore it is not convex.

"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTR_NOT_CONIC" (7803)
The constraint is not conic representable.

"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_OBJECTIVE_NOT_PSD" (7804)
The matrix defining the quadratric part of the objective function is not positive semidefinite.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_CONNECT" (8000)
Failed to connect to remote solver server. The server string or the port string were invalid, or the server did not accept connection.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_PROTOCOL" (8001)
Unexpected message or data from solver server.

"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_STATUS" (8002)
Server returned non-ok HTTP status code

"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_TOKEN" (8003)
The job ID specified is incorrect or invalid

15.7 Enumerations

basindtype
Basis identification

"MSK_BI_NEVER"
Never do basis identification.

"MSK_BI_ALWAYS"
Basis identification is always performed even if the interior-point optimizer terminates abnormally.

"MSK_BI_NO_ERROR"
Basis identification is performed if the interior-point optimizer terminates without an error.

"MSK_BI_IF_FEASIBLE"
Basis identification is not performed if the interior-point optimizer terminates with a problem status saying that the problem is primal or dual infeasible.

"MSK_BI_RESERVERED"
Not currently in use.

boundkey
Bound keys

"MSK_BK_LO"
The constraint or variable has a finite lower bound and an infinite upper bound.

"MSK_BK_UP"
The constraint or variable has an infinite lower bound and an finite upper bound.

"MSK_BK_FX"
The constraint or variable is fixed.

"MSK_BK_FR"
The constraint or variable is free.

"MSK_BK_RA"
The constraint or variable is ranged.

mark

Mark

"MSK_MARK_LO"

The lower bound is selected for sensitivity analysis.

"MSK_MARK_UP"

The upper bound is selected for sensitivity analysis.

simdegen

Degeneracy strategies

"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_NONE"

The simplex optimizer should use no degeneration strategy.

"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_FREE"

The simplex optimizer chooses the degeneration strategy.

"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_AGGRESSIVE"

The simplex optimizer should use an aggressive degeneration strategy.

"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_MODERATE"

The simplex optimizer should use a moderate degeneration strategy.

"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_MINIMUM"

The simplex optimizer should use a minimum degeneration strategy.

transpose

Transposed matrix.

"MSK_TRANSPOSE_NO"

No transpose is applied.

"MSK_TRANSPOSE_YES"

A transpose is applied.

uplo

Triangular part of a symmetric matrix.

"MSK_UPLO_LO"

Lower part.

"MSK_UPLO_UP"

Upper part.

simreform

Problem reformulation.

"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_ON"

Allow the simplex optimizer to reformulate the problem.

"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_OFF"

Disallow the simplex optimizer to reformulate the problem.

"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_FREE"

The simplex optimizer can choose freely.

"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_AGGRESSIVE"

The simplex optimizer should use an aggressive reformulation strategy.

simdupvec

Exploit duplicate columns.

"MSK_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_ON"

Allow the simplex optimizer to exploit duplicated columns.

"MSK_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_OFF"

Disallow the simplex optimizer to exploit duplicated columns.

"MSK_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_FREE"

The simplex optimizer can choose freely.

simhotstart

Hot-start type employed by the simplex optimizer

"MSK_SIM_HOTSTART_NONE"

The simplex optimizer performs a coldstart.

"MSK_SIM_HOTSTART_FREE"
The simplex optimize chooses the hot-start type.

"MSK_SIM_HOTSTART_STATUS_KEYS"
Only the status keys of the constraints and variables are used to choose the type of hot-start.

intpnthotstart
Hot-start type employed by the interior-point optimizers.

"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_NONE"
The interior-point optimizer performs a coldstart.

"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_PRIMAL"
The interior-point optimizer exploits the primal solution only.

"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_DUAL"
The interior-point optimizer exploits the dual solution only.

"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_PRIMAL_DUAL"
The interior-point optimizer exploits both the primal and dual solution.

purify
Solution purification employed optimizer.

"MSK_PURIFY_NONE"
The optimizer performs no solution purification.

"MSK_PURIFY_PRIMAL"
The optimizer purifies the primal solution.

"MSK_PURIFY_DUAL"
The optimizer purifies the dual solution.

"MSK_PURIFY_PRIMAL_DUAL"
The optimizer purifies both the primal and dual solution.

"MSK_PURIFY_AUTO"
TBD

callbackcode
Progress callback codes

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_BI"
The basis identification procedure has been started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_CONIC"
The callback function is called when the conic optimizer is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the dual phase is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SENSITIVITY"
Dual sensitivity analysis is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SETUP_BI"
The callback function is called when the dual BI phase is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called when the dual simplex optimizer started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the dual simplex clean-up phase is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_FULL_CONVEXITY_CHECK"
Begin full convexity check.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_INFEAS_ANA"
The callback function is called when the infeasibility analyzer is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_INTPNT"
The callback function is called when the interior-point optimizer is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_LICENSE_WAIT"
Begin waiting for license.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_MIO"
The callback function is called when the mixed-integer optimizer is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_OPTIMIZER"
The callback function is called when the optimizer is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRESOLVE"
The callback function is called when the presolve is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the primal phase is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_REPAIR"
Begin primal feasibility repair.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SENSITIVITY"
Primal sensitivity analysis is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SETUP_BI"
The callback function is called when the primal BI setup is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called when the primal simplex optimizer is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the primal simplex clean-up phase is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_QCQO_REFORMULATE"
Begin QCQO reformulation.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_READ"
MOSEK has started reading a problem file.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_ROOT_CUTGEN"
The callback function is called when root cut generation is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called when the simplex optimizer is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the simplex clean-up phase is started.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_TO_CONIC"
Begin conic reformulation.

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_WRITE"
MOSEK has started writing a problem file.

"MSK_CALLBACK_CONIC"
The callback function is called from within the conic optimizer after the information database has been updated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_DUAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called from within the dual simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_BI"
The callback function is called when the basis identification procedure is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_CONIC"
The callback function is called when the conic optimizer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the dual phase is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SENSITIVITY"
Dual sensitivity analysis is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SETUP_BI"
The callback function is called when the dual BI phase is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called when the dual simplex optimizer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the dual clean-up phase is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_FULL_CONVEXITY_CHECK"
End full convexity check.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_INFEAS_ANA"
The callback function is called when the infeasibility analyzer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_INTPNT"
The callback function is called when the interior-point optimizer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_LICENSE_WAIT"
End waiting for license.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_MIO"
The callback function is called when the mixed-integer optimizer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_OPTIMIZER"
The callback function is called when the optimizer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRESOLVE"
The callback function is called when the presolve is completed.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the primal phase is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_REPAIR"
End primal feasibility repair.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SENSITIVITY"
Primal sensitivity analysis is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SETUP_BI"
The callback function is called when the primal BI setup is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called when the primal simplex optimizer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the primal clean-up phase is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_QCQO_REFORMULATE"
End QCQO reformulation.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_READ"
MOSEK has finished reading a problem file.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_ROOT_CUTGEN"
The callback function is called when root cut generation is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called when the simplex optimizer is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure when the simplex clean-up phase is terminated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_TO_CONIC"
End conic reformulation.

"MSK_CALLBACK_END_WRITE"
MOSEK has finished writing a problem file.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure at an intermediate point.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_CONIC"
The callback function is called at an intermediate stage within the conic optimizer where the information database has not been updated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_DUAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identi cation procedure at an intermediate point in the dual phase.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_DUAL_SENSIVITY"
The callback function is called at an intermediate stage of the dual sensitivity analysis.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_DUAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called at an intermediate point in the dual simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_FULL_CONVEXITY_CHECK"
The callback function is called at an intermediate stage of the full convexity check.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_INTPNT"
The callback function is called at an intermediate stage within the interior-point optimizer where the information database has not been updated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_LICENSE_WAIT"
MOSEK is waiting for a license.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_LU"
The callback function is called from within the LU factorization procedure at an intermediate point.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO"
The callback function is called at an intermediate point in the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO_DUAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called at an intermediate point in the mixed-integer optimizer while running the dual simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO_INTPNT"
The callback function is called at an intermediate point in the mixed-integer optimizer while running the interior-point optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called at an intermediate point in the mixed-integer optimizer while running the primal simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_ORDER"
The callback function is called from within the matrix ordering procedure at an intermediate point.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRESOLVE"
The callback function is called from within the presolve procedure at an intermediate stage.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRIMAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identi cation procedure at an intermediate point in the primal phase.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRIMAL_SENSIVITY"
The callback function is called at an intermediate stage of the primal sensitivity analysis.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called at an intermediate point in the primal simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_QO_REFORMULATE"
The callback function is called at an intermediate stage of the conic quadratic reformulation.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_READ"
Intermediate stage in reading.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_ROOT_CUTGEN"
The callback is called from within root cut generation at an intermediate stage.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called from within the simplex optimizer at an intermediate point.

"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure at an intermediate point in the simplex clean-up phase. The frequency of the callbacks is controlled by the *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ* parameter.

"MSK_CALLBACK_INTPNT"
The callback function is called from within the interior-point optimizer after the information database has been updated.

"MSK_CALLBACK_NEW_INT_MIO"
The callback function is called after a new integer solution has been located by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called from within the primal simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_READ_OPF"
The callback function is called from the OPF reader.

"MSK_CALLBACK_READ_OPF_SECTION"
A chunk of Q non-zeros has been read from a problem file.

"MSK_CALLBACK_SOLVING_REMOTE"
The callback function is called while the task is being solved on a remote server.

"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_DUAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure at an intermediate point in the dual phase.

"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_DUAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called in the dual simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_DUAL_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure at an intermediate point in the dual simplex clean-up phase. The frequency of the callbacks is controlled by the *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ* parameter.

"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRESOLVE"
The callback function is called from within the presolve procedure.

"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRIMAL_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure at an intermediate point in the primal phase.

"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"
The callback function is called in the primal simplex optimizer.

"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX_BI"
The callback function is called from within the basis identification procedure at an intermediate point in the primal simplex clean-up phase. The frequency of the callbacks is controlled by the *MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ* parameter.

"MSK_CALLBACK_WRITE_OPF"
The callback function is called from the OPF writer.

checkconvexitytype
Types of convexity checks.

"MSK_CHECK_CONVEXITY_NONE"
No convexity check.

"MSK_CHECK_CONVEXITY_SIMPLE"
Perform simple and fast convexity check.

"MSK_CHECK_CONVEXITY_FULL"
Perform a full convexity check.

compresstype
Compression types

"MSK_COMPRESS_NONE"
No compression is used.

"MSK_COMPRESS_FREE"
The type of compression used is chosen automatically.

"MSK_COMPRESS_GZIP"
The type of compression used is gzip compatible.

"MSK_COMPRESS_ZSTD"
The type of compression used is zstd compatible.

conetype
Cone types

"MSK_CT_QUAD"
The cone is a quadratic cone.

"MSK_CT_RQUAD"
The cone is a rotated quadratic cone.

"MSK_CT_PEXP"
A primal exponential cone.

"MSK_CT_DEXP"
A dual exponential cone.

"MSK_CT_PPOW"
A primal power cone.

"MSK_CT_DPOW"
A dual power cone.

"MSK_CT_ZERO"
The zero cone.

nametype
Name types

"MSK_NAME_TYPE_GEN"
General names. However, no duplicate and blank names are allowed.

"MSK_NAME_TYPE_MPS"
MPS type names.

"MSK_NAME_TYPE_LP"
LP type names.

scopr
SCopt operator types

"MSK_OPR_ENT"
Entropy

"MSK_OPR_EXP"
Exponential

"MSK_OPR_LOG"
Logarithm

"MSK_OPR_POW"
Power

"MSK_OPR_SQRT"
Square root

symmattype
Cone types

"MSK_SYMMAT_TYPE_SPARSE"
Sparse symmetric matrix.

dataformat
Data format types

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_EXTENSION"
The file extension is used to determine the data file format.

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_MPS"
The data file is MPS formatted.

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_LP"
The data le is LP formatted.

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_OP"
The data le is an optimization problem formatted le.

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_FREE_MPS"
The data a free MPS formatted le.

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_TASK"
Generic task dump le.

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_PTF"
(P)retty (T)ext (F)format.

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_CB"
Conic benchmark format,

"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_JSON_TASK"
JSON based task format.

dinfitem

Double information items

"MSK_DINF_BI_CLEAN_DUAL_TIME"
Time spent within the dual clean-up optimizer of the basis identi cation procedure since its invocation.

"MSK_DINF_BI_CLEAN_PRIMAL_TIME"
Time spent within the primal clean-up optimizer of the basis identi cation procedure since its invocation.

"MSK_DINF_BI_CLEAN_TIME"
Time spent within the clean-up phase of the basis identi cation procedure since its invocation.

"MSK_DINF_BI_DUAL_TIME"
Time spent within the dual phase basis identi cation procedure since its invocation.

"MSK_DINF_BI_PRIMAL_TIME"
Time spent within the primal phase of the basis identi cation procedure since its invocation.

"MSK_DINF_BI_TIME"
Time spent within the basis identi cation procedure since its invocation.

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_DUAL_FEAS"
Dual feasibility measure reported by the interior-point optimizer. (For the interior-point optimizer this measure is not directly related to the original problem because a homogeneous model is employed.)

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_DUAL_OBJ"
Dual objective value reported by the interior-point optimizer.

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_FACTOR_NUM_FLOPS"
An estimate of the number of ops used in the factorization.

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_OPT_STATUS"
A measure of optimality of the solution. It should converge to +1 if the problem has a primal-dual optimal solution, and converge to 1 if the problem is (strictly) primal or dual infeasible. If the measure converges to another constant, or fails to settle, the problem is usually ill-posed.

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_ORDER_TIME"
Order time (in seconds).

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_PRIMAL_FEAS"
Primal feasibility measure reported by the interior-point optimizer. (For the interior-point optimizer this measure is not directly related to the original problem because a homogeneous model is employed).

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_PRIMAL_OBJ"
Primal objective value reported by the interior-point optimizer.

"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_TIME"
Time spent within the interior-point optimizer since its invocation.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_CLIQUE_SEPARATION_TIME"
Separation time for clique cuts.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_CMIR_SEPARATION_TIME"
Separation time for CMIR cuts.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_CONSTRUCT_SOLUTION_OBJ"
If **MOSEK** has successfully constructed an integer feasible solution, then this item contains the optimal objective value corresponding to the feasible solution.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_DUAL_BOUND_AFTER_PRESOLVE"
Value of the dual bound after presolve but before cut generation.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_GMI_SEPARATION_TIME"
Separation time for GMI cuts.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_IMPLIED_BOUND_TIME"
Separation time for implied bound cuts.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_KNAPSACK_COVER_SEPARATION_TIME"
Separation time for knapsack cover.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_ABS_GAP"
Given the mixed-integer optimizer has computed a feasible solution and a bound on the optimal objective value, then this item contains the absolute gap defined by

$$|(\text{objective value of feasible solution}) - (\text{objective bound})|.$$

Otherwise it has the value -1.0.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_BOUND"
The best known bound on the objective function. This value is undefined until at least one relaxation has been solved: To see if this is the case check that *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_RELAX"* is strictly positive.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_INT"
The primal objective value corresponding to the best integer feasible solution. Please note that at least one integer feasible solution must have been located i.e. check *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_INT_SOLUTIONS"*.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_REL_GAP"
Given that the mixed-integer optimizer has computed a feasible solution and a bound on the optimal objective value, then this item contains the relative gap defined by

$$\frac{|(\text{objective value of feasible solution}) - (\text{objective bound})|}{\max(\delta, |(\text{objective value of feasible solution})|)}.$$

where δ is given by the parameter *MSK_DPAR_MIO_REL_GAP_CONST*. Otherwise it has the value -1.0.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_PROBING_TIME"
Total time for probing.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_ROOT_CUTGEN_TIME"
Total time for cut generation.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_ROOT_OPTIMIZER_TIME"
Time spent in the optimizer while solving the root node relaxation

"MSK_DINF_MIO_ROOT_PRESOLVE_TIME"
Time spent presolving the problem at the root node.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_TIME"
Time spent in the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_DINF_MIO_USER_OBJ_CUT"
If the objective cut is used, then this information item has the value of the cut.

"MSK_DINF_OPTIMIZER_TIME"
Total time spent in the optimizer since it was invoked.

"MSK_DINF_PRESOLVE_ELI_TIME"
Total time spent in the eliminator since the presolve was invoked.

"MSK_DINF_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_TIME"
Total time spent in the linear dependency checker since the presolve was invoked.

"MSK_DINF_PRESOLVE_TIME"
Total time (in seconds) spent in the presolve since it was invoked.

"MSK_DINF_PRIMAL_REPAIR_PENALTY_OBJ"
The optimal objective value of the penalty function.

"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_MAX_PERTURBATION"
Maximum absolute diagonal perturbation occurring during the QCQO reformulation.

"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_TIME"
Time spent with conic quadratic reformulation.

"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_WORST_CHOLESKY_COLUMN_SCALING"
Worst Cholesky column scaling.

"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_WORST_CHOLESKY_DIAG_SCALING"
Worst Cholesky diagonal scaling.

"MSK_DINF_RD_TIME"
Time spent reading the data file.

"MSK_DINF_SIM_DUAL_TIME"
Time spent in the dual simplex optimizer since invoking it.

"MSK_DINF_SIM_FEAS"
Feasibility measure reported by the simplex optimizer.

"MSK_DINF_SIM_OBJ"
Objective value reported by the simplex optimizer.

"MSK_DINF_SIM_PRIMAL_TIME"
Time spent in the primal simplex optimizer since invoking it.

"MSK_DINF_SIM_TIME"
Time spent in the simplex optimizer since invoking it.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_DUAL_OBJ"
Dual objective value of the basic solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_DVIOLCON"
Maximal dual bound violation for x^c in the basic solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_DVIOLVAR"
Maximal dual bound violation for x^x in the basic solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_BARX"
Infinity norm of $\overline{X}$ in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SLC"
Infinity norm of s_l^c in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SLX"
Infinity norm of s_l^x in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SUC"
Infinity norm of s_u^c in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SUX"
Infinity norm of s_u^X in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_XC"
Infinity norm of x^c in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_XX"
Infinity norm of x^x in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_Y"
Infinity norm of y in the basic solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_PRIMAL_OBJ"
Primal objective value of the basic solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_PVIOLCON"
Maximal primal bound violation for x^c in the basic solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_PVIOLVAR"
Maximal primal bound violation for x^x in the basic solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_NRM_BARX"
Infinity norm of $\overline{X}$ in the integer solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_NRM_XC"
Infinity norm of x^c in the integer solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_NRM_XX"
Infinity norm of x^x in the integer solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PRIMAL_OBJ"
Primal objective value of the integer solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLBARVAR"
Maximal primal bound violation for $\overline{X}$ in the integer solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLCON"
Maximal primal bound violation for x^c in the integer solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLCONES"
Maximal primal violation for primal conic constraints in the integer solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLITG"
Maximal violation for the integer constraints in the integer solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLVAR"
Maximal primal bound violation for x^x in the integer solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DUAL_OBJ"
Dual objective value of the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLBARVAR"
Maximal dual bound violation for $\overline{X}$ in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLCON"
Maximal dual bound violation for x^c in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLCONES"
Maximal dual violation for dual conic constraints in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLVAR"
Maximal dual bound violation for x^x in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_BARS"
Infinity norm of $\overline{S}$ in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_BARX"
Infinity norm of $\overline{X}$ in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SLC"
Infinity norm of s_l^c in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SLX"
Infinity norm of s_l^x in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SNX"
Infinity norm of s_n^x in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SUC"
Infinity norm of s_u^c in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SUX"
Infinity norm of s_u^X in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_XC"
Infinity norm of x^c in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_XX"
Infinity norm of x^x in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_Y"
Infinity norm of y in the interior-point solution.

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PRIMAL_OBJ"
Primal objective value of the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLBARVAR"
Maximal primal bound violation for $\overline{X}$ in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLCON"
Maximal primal bound violation for x^c in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLCONES"
Maximal primal violation for primal conic constraints in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLVAR"
Maximal primal bound violation for x^x in the interior-point solution. Updated if *MSK_IPAR_AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO* is set .

"MSK_DINF_TO_CONIC_TIME"
Time spent in the last to conic reformulation.

feature
License feature

"MSK_FEATURE_PTS"
Base system.

"MSK_FEATURE_PTON"
Conic extension.

liinfitem
Long integer information items.

"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_DUAL_DEG_ITER"
Number of dual degenerate clean iterations performed in the basis identification.

"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_DUAL_ITER"
Number of dual clean iterations performed in the basis identification.

"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_PRIMAL_DEG_ITER"
Number of primal degenerate clean iterations performed in the basis identification.

"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_PRIMAL_ITER"
Number of primal clean iterations performed in the basis identification.

"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_UP"
Number of variables with an upper bound and an infinite lower bound.

"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_FACTOR_DIM_DENSE"
Dimension of the dense sub system in factorization.

"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_ITER"
Number of interior-point iterations since invoking the interior-point optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_NUM_THREADS"
Number of threads that the interior-point optimizer is using.

"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_SOLVE_DUAL"
Non-zero if the interior-point optimizer is solving the dual problem.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_ABSGAP_SATISFIED"
Non-zero if absolute gap is within tolerances.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_CLIQUE_TABLE_SIZE"
Size of the clique table.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_CONSTRUCT_SOLUTION"
This item informs if **MOSEK** constructed an initial integer feasible solution.

- -1: tried, but failed,
- 0: no partial solution supplied by the user,
- 1: constructed feasible solution.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NODE_DEPTH"
Depth of the last node solved.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_ACTIVE_NODES"
Number of active branch and bound nodes.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_BRANCH"
Number of branches performed during the optimization.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_CLIQUE_CUTS"
Number of clique cuts.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_CMIR_CUTS"
Number of Complemented Mixed Integer Rounding (CMIR) cuts.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_GOMORY_CUTS"
Number of Gomory cuts.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_IMPLIED_BOUND_CUTS"
Number of implied bound cuts.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_INT_SOLUTIONS"
Number of integer feasible solutions that have been found.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_KNAPSACK_COVER_CUTS"
Number of clique cuts.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_RELAX"
Number of relaxations solved during the optimization.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_REPEATED_PRESOLVE"
Number of times presolve was repeated at root.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMBIN"
Number of binary variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMBINCONEVAR"
Number of binary cone variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCON"
Number of constraints in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONE"
Number of cones in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONEVAR"
Number of cone variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONT"
Number of continuous variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONTCONEVAR"
Number of continuous cone variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMDEXPCONES"
Number of dual exponential cones in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMDPOWCONES"
Number of dual power cones in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMINT"
Number of integer variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMINTCONEVAR"
Number of integer cone variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMPEXPCONES"
Number of primal exponential cones in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMPPOWCONES"
Number of primal power cones in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMQCONES"
Number of quadratic cones in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMRQCONES"
Number of rotated quadratic cones in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMVAR"
Number of variables in the problem to be solved by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_OBJ_BOUND_DEFINED"
Non-zero if a valid objective bound has been found, otherwise zero.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMBIN"
Number of binary variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMBINCONEVAR"
Number of binary cone variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCON"
Number of constraints in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONE"
Number of cones in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONEVAR"
Number of cone variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONT"
Number of continuous variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONTCONEVAR"
Number of continuous cone variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMDEXPCONES"
Number of dual exponential cones in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMDPOWCONES"
Number of dual power cones in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMINT"
Number of integer variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMINTCONEVAR"
Number of integer cone variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMPEXPCONES"
Number of primal exponential cones in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMPPOWCONES"
Number of primal power cones in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMQCONES"
Number of quadratic cones in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMRQCONES"
Number of rotated quadratic cones in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMVAR"
Number of variables in the problem after the mixed-integer optimizer's presolve.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_RELGAP_SATISFIED"
Non-zero if relative gap is within tolerances.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_TOTAL_NUM_CUTS"
Total number of cuts generated by the mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_MIO_USER_OBJ_CUT"
If it is non-zero, then the objective cut is used.

"MSK_IINF_OPT_NUMCON"
Number of constraints in the problem solved when the optimizer is called.

"MSK_IINF_OPT_NUMVAR"
Number of variables in the problem solved when the optimizer is called

"MSK_IINF_OPTIMIZE_RESPONSE"
The response code returned by optimize.

"MSK_IINF_PURIFY_DUAL_SUCCESS"
Is nonzero if the dual solution is purified.

"MSK_IINF_PURIFY_PRIMAL_SUCCESS"
Is nonzero if the primal solution is purified.

"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMBARVAR"
Number of symmetric variables read.

"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMCON"
Number of constraints read.

"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMCONE"
Number of conic constraints read.

"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMINTVAR"
Number of integer-constrained variables read.

"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMQ"
Number of nonempty Q matrices read.

"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMVAR"
Number of variables read.

"MSK_IINF_RD_PROTYPE"
Problem type.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_DEG_ITER"
The number of dual degenerate iterations.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_HOTSTART"
If 1 then the dual simplex algorithm is solving from an advanced basis.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_HOTSTART_LU"
If 1 then a valid basis factorization of full rank was located and used by the dual simplex algorithm.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_INF_ITER"
The number of iterations taken with dual infeasibility.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_ITER"
Number of dual simplex iterations during the last optimization.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_NUMCON"
Number of constraints in the problem solved by the simplex optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_NUMVAR"
Number of variables in the problem solved by the simplex optimizer.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_DEG_ITER"
The number of primal degenerate iterations.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_HOTSTART"
If 1 then the primal simplex algorithm is solving from an advanced basis.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_HOTSTART_LU"
If 1 then a valid basis factorization of full rank was located and used by the primal simplex algorithm.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_INF_ITER"
The number of iterations taken with primal infeasibility.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_ITER"
Number of primal simplex iterations during the last optimization.

"MSK_IINF_SIM_SOLVE_DUAL"
Is non-zero if dual problem is solved.

"MSK_IINF_SOL_BAS_PROSTA"
Problem status of the basic solution. Updated after each optimization.

"MSK_IINF_SOL_BAS_SOLSTA"
Solution status of the basic solution. Updated after each optimization.

"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITG_PROSTA"
Problem status of the integer solution. Updated after each optimization.

"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITG_SOLSTA"
Solution status of the integer solution. Updated after each optimization.

"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITR_PROSTA"
Problem status of the interior-point solution. Updated after each optimization.

"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITR_SOLSTA"
Solution status of the interior-point solution. Updated after each optimization.

"MSK_IINF_STO_NUM_A_REALLOC"
Number of times the storage for storing A has been changed. A large value may indicates that memory fragmentation may occur.

inftype
Information item types

"MSK_INF_DOU_TYPE"
Is a double information type.

"MSK_INF_INT_TYPE"
Is an integer.

"MSK_INF_LINT_TYPE"
Is a long integer.

iomode
Input/output modes

"MSK_IOMODE_READ"
The file is read-only.

"MSK_IOMODE_WRITE"
The file is write-only. If the file exists then it is truncated when it is opened. Otherwise it is created when it is opened.

"MSK_IOMODE_READWRITE"
The file is to read and write.

"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_STRICT"
It is assumed that the input file satisfies the MPS format strictly.

"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_RELAXED"
It is assumed that the input file satisfies a slightly relaxed version of the MPS format.

"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_FREE"
It is assumed that the input file satisfies the free MPS format. This implies that spaces are not allowed in names. Otherwise the format is free.

"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_CPLEX"
The CPLEX compatible version of the MPS format is employed.

objsense
Objective sense types

"MSK_OBJECTIVE_SENSE_MINIMIZE"
The problem should be minimized.

"MSK_OBJECTIVE_SENSE_MAXIMIZE"
The problem should be maximized.

onoffkey
On/off

"MSK_ON"
Switch the option on.

"MSK_OFF"
Switch the option off.

optimizertype
Optimizer types

"MSK_OPTIMIZER_CONIC"
The optimizer for problems having conic constraints.

"MSK_OPTIMIZER_DUAL_SIMPLEX"
The dual simplex optimizer is used.

"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE"
The optimizer is chosen automatically.

"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX"
One of the simplex optimizers is used.

"MSK_OPTIMIZER_INTPNT"
The interior-point optimizer is used.

"MSK_OPTIMIZER_MIXED_INT"
The mixed-integer optimizer.

"MSK_OPTIMIZER_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX"
The primal simplex optimizer is used.

orderingtype
Ordering strategies

"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_FREE"
The ordering method is chosen automatically.

"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_APPMINLOC"
Approximate minimum local fill-in ordering is employed.

"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_EXPERIMENTAL"
This option should not be used.

"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_TRY_GRAPHPAR"
Always try the graph partitioning based ordering.

"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_FORCE_GRAPHPAR"
Always use the graph partitioning based ordering even if it is worse than the approximate minimum local fill ordering.

"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_NONE"
No ordering is used.

presolvemode

Presolve method.

"MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_OFF"
The problem is not presolved before it is optimized.

"MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_ON"
The problem is presolved before it is optimized.

"MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_FREE"
It is decided automatically whether to presolve before the problem is optimized.

parametertype

Parameter type

"MSK_PAR_INVALID_TYPE"
Not a valid parameter.

"MSK_PAR_DOU_TYPE"
Is a double parameter.

"MSK_PAR_INT_TYPE"
Is an integer parameter.

"MSK_PAR_STR_TYPE"
Is a string parameter.

problemitem

Problem data items

"MSK_PI_VAR"
Item is a variable.

"MSK_PI_CON"
Item is a constraint.

"MSK_PI_CONE"
Item is a cone.

problemtype

Problem types

"MSK_PROBTYPE_LO"
The problem is a linear optimization problem.

"MSK_PROBTYPE_QO"
The problem is a quadratic optimization problem.

"MSK_PROBTYPE_QCQO"
The problem is a quadratically constrained optimization problem.

"MSK_PROBTYPE_CONIC"
A conic optimization.

"MSK_PROBTYPE_MIXED"
General nonlinear constraints and conic constraints. This combination can not be solved by **MOSEK**.

prosta

Problem status keys

"MSK_PRO_STA_UNKNOWN"
Unknown problem status.

"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS"
The problem is primal and dual feasible.

"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_FEAS"
The problem is primal feasible.

"MSK_PRO_STA_DUAL_FEAS"
The problem is dual feasible.

"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_INFEAS"
The problem is primal infeasible.

"MSK_PRO_STA_DUAL_INFEAS"
The problem is dual infeasible.

"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_INFEAS"
The problem is primal and dual infeasible.

"MSK_PRO_STA_ILL_POSED"
The problem is ill-posed. For example, it may be primal and dual feasible but have a positive duality gap.

"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_INFEAS_OR_UNBOUNDED"
The problem is either primal infeasible or unbounded. This may occur for mixed-integer problems.

xmlwriteroutputtype
XML writer output mode

"MSK_WRITE_XML_MODE_ROW"
Write in row order.

"MSK_WRITE_XML_MODE_COL"
Write in column order.

rescodetype
Response code type

"MSK_RESPONSE_OK"
The response code is OK.

"MSK_RESPONSE_WRN"
The response code is a warning.

"MSK_RESPONSE_TRM"
The response code is an optimizer termination status.

"MSK_RESPONSE_ERR"
The response code is an error.

"MSK_RESPONSE_UNK"
The response code does not belong to any class.

scalingtype
Scaling type

"MSK_SCALING_FREE"
The optimizer chooses the scaling heuristic.

"MSK_SCALING_NONE"
No scaling is performed.

"MSK_SCALING_MODERATE"
A conservative scaling is performed.

"MSK_SCALING_AGGRESSIVE"
A very aggressive scaling is performed.

scalingmethod
Scaling method

"MSK_SCALING_METHOD_POW2"
Scales only with power of 2 leaving the mantissa untouched.

"MSK_SCALING_METHOD_FREE"
The optimizer chooses the scaling heuristic.

sensitivitytype
Sensitivity types

"MSK_SENSITIVITY_TYPE_BASIS"
Basis sensitivity analysis is performed.

simseltype
Simplex selection strategy

"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_FREE"
The optimizer chooses the pricing strategy.

"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_FULL"
The optimizer uses full pricing.

"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_ASE"
The optimizer uses approximate steepest-edge pricing.

"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_DEVEX"
The optimizer uses devex steepest-edge pricing (or if it is not available an approximate steep-edge selection).

"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_SE"
The optimizer uses steepest-edge selection (or if it is not available an approximate steep-edge selection).

"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_PARTIAL"
The optimizer uses a partial selection approach. The approach is usually bene cial if the number of variables is much larger than the number of constraints.

solitem

Solution items

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_XC"
Solution for the constraints.

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_XX"
Variable solution.

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_Y"
Lagrange multipliers for equations.

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SLC"
Lagrange multipliers for lower bounds on the constraints.

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SUC"
Lagrange multipliers for upper bounds on the constraints.

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SLX"
Lagrange multipliers for lower bounds on the variables.

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SUX"
Lagrange multipliers for upper bounds on the variables.

"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SNX"
Lagrange multipliers corresponding to the conic constraints on the variables.

solsta

Solution status keys

"MSK_SOL_STA_UNKNOWN"
Status of the solution is unknown.

"MSK_SOL_STA_OPTIMAL"
The solution is optimal.

"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_FEAS"
The solution is primal feasible.

"MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_FEAS"
The solution is dual feasible.

"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS"
The solution is both primal and dual feasible.

"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_INFEAS_CER"
The solution is a certi cate of primal infeasibility.

"MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_INFEAS_CER"
The solution is a certi cate of dual infeasibility.

"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_ILLPOSED_CER"
The solution is a certi cate that the primal problem is illposed.

"MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_ILLPOSED_CER"
The solution is a certi cate that the dual problem is illposed.

"MSK_SOL_STA_INTEGER_OPTIMAL"
The primal solution is integer optimal.

soltype
Solution types

"MSK_SOL_BAS"
The basic solution.

"MSK_SOL_ITR"
The interior solution.

"MSK_SOL_ITG"
The integer solution.

solveform
Solve primal or dual form

"MSK_SOLVE_FREE"
The optimizer is free to solve either the primal or the dual problem.

"MSK_SOLVE_PRIMAL"
The optimizer should solve the primal problem.

"MSK_SOLVE_DUAL"
The optimizer should solve the dual problem.

stakey
Status keys

"MSK_SK_UNK"
The status for the constraint or variable is unknown.

"MSK_SK_BAS"
The constraint or variable is in the basis.

"MSK_SK_SUPBAS"
The constraint or variable is super basic.

"MSK_SK_LOW"
The constraint or variable is at its lower bound.

"MSK_SK_UPR"
The constraint or variable is at its upper bound.

"MSK_SK_FIX"
The constraint or variable is fixed.

"MSK_SK_INF"
The constraint or variable is infeasible in the bounds.

startpointtype
Starting point types

"MSK_STARTING_POINT_FREE"
The starting point is chosen automatically.

"MSK_STARTING_POINT_GUESS"
The optimizer guesses a starting point.

"MSK_STARTING_POINT_CONSTANT"
The optimizer constructs a starting point by assigning a constant value to all primal and dual variables. This starting point is normally robust.

"MSK_STARTING_POINT_SATISFY_BOUNDS"
The starting point is chosen to satisfy all the simple bounds on nonlinear variables. If this starting point is employed, then more care than usual should employed when choosing the bounds on the nonlinear variables. In particular very tight bounds should be avoided.

streamtype
Stream types

"MSK_STREAM_LOG"
Log stream. Contains the aggregated contents of all other streams. This means that a message written to any other stream will also be written to this stream.

"MSK_STREAM_MSG"
Message stream. Log information relating to performance and progress of the optimization is written to this stream.

"MSK_STREAM_ERR"
Error stream. Error messages are written to this stream.

"MSK_STREAM_WRN"
Warning stream. Warning messages are written to this stream.

value
Integer values

"MSK_MAX_STR_LEN"
Maximum string length allowed in **MOSEK**.

"MSK_LICENSE_BUFFER_LENGTH"
The length of a license key buffer.

variabletype
Variable types

"MSK_VAR_TYPE_CONT"
Is a continuous variable.

"MSK_VAR_TYPE_INT"
Is an integer variable.

15.8 Nonlinear interfaces (obsolete)

Important: This is a legacy document for users familiar with SCopt, DGopt, EXPopt, mskenopt, mskscopt and mskgpopt from previous versions of **MOSEK**. These interfaces have now been removed. We assume familiarity with documentation included in version 8. All problems expressible with this interface can (and should) be reformulated using the exponential cone and power cones.

New users should formulate problems involving powers, logarithms and exponentials directly in conic form.

Conversion tutorial

We recommend converting all nonlinear problems using SCopt, DGopt, EXPopt, mskenopt, mskscopt and mskgpopt into conic form. Depending on the values of f, g, h either the epigraph or hypograph of a SCopt function if convex, and a bounding variable can be introduced following the basic rules below. We assume all variables are within safe bounds where the SCopt operators are defined and convex. We also assume $f > 0$.

A more comprehensive modeling guide for these types of problems can be found in the **MOSEK** Modeling Cookbook.

Powers

Consider $f(x+h)^g$. This can be reformulated using the power cone.

- If $g > 1$ then $t \geq f(x+h)^g$ is equivalent to $(t/f)^{1/g} \geq |x+h|$, that is $(t/f, 1, x+h) \in \mathcal{P}_3^{1/g,1-1/g}$.
- If $0 < g < 1$ then $|t| \leq f(x+h)^g$ is equivalent to $(x+h, 1, t/f) \in \mathcal{P}_3^{g,1-g}$.
- If $g < 0$ then $t \geq f(x+h)^g$ is equivalent to $(t/f)(x+h)^{-g} \geq 1$, that is $(t/f, x+h, 1) \in \mathcal{P}_3^{1/(1-g),-g/(1-g)}$.

Logarithm

The bound $t \leq f \log(gx+h)$ is equivalent to $(gx+h, 1, t/f) \in K_{\exp}$.

Entropy

The bound $t \geq fx \log x$ is equivalent to $(1, x, -t/f) \in K_{\exp}$.

Exponential

The bound $t \geq f \exp(gx + h)$ is equivalent to $(t/f, 1, gx + h) \in K_{\exp}$.

Exponential optimization (EXPopt), Geometric programming (mskgpopt)

For a basic tutorial in geometric programming (GP) see Sec. 6.8.
An exponential optimization problem in standard form consists of constraints of the type:

$$t \geq \log \left(\sum_i \exp(a_i^T x + b_i) \right).$$

This log-sum-exp bound is equivalent to

$$\sum_i \exp(a_i^T x + b_i - t) \leq 1$$

and requires bounding each exponential function as explained above.

Dual geometric optimization (DGopt)

The objective function of a dual geometric problem involves maximizing expressions of the form

$$x \log \frac{c}{x} \quad \text{and} \quad x_i \log \frac{e^T x}{x_i},$$

which can be achieved using bounds $t \leq x \log \frac{y}{x}$, that is $(t, x, y) \in K_{\exp}$.

Chapter 16

Supported File Formats

MOSEK supports a range of problem and solution formats listed in Table 16.1 and Table 16.2. The Task format is MOSEK 's native binary format and it supports all features that MOSEK supports. The OPF format is MOSEK 's human-readable alternative that supports nearly all features (everything except semide nite problems). In general, text formats are signi cantly slower to read, but can be examined and edited directly in any text editor.

Problem formats

Table 16.1: List of supported le formats for optimization problems. The column Conic refers to conic problems involving the quadratic, rotated quadratic, power or exponential cone. The last two columns indicate if the format supports solutions and optimizer parameters.

Format Type	Ext.	Binary/Text	LP	QO	Conic	SDP	Sol	Param
LP	lp	plain text	X	X				
MPS	mps	plain text	X	X	X			
OPF	opf	plain text	X	X	X		X	X
PTF	ptf	plain text	X	X	X	X	X	
CBF	cbf	plain text	X		X	X		
Task format	task	binary	X	X	X	X	X	X
Jtask format	jtask	text	X	X	X	X	X	X

Solution formats

Table 16.2: List of supported solution formats.

Format Type	Ext.	Binary/Text	Description
SOL	sol	plain text	Interior Solution
	bas	plain text	Basic Solution
	int	plain text	Integer
Jsol format	jsol	text	Solution

Compression

MOSEK supports GZIP and Zstandard compression. Problem les with extension .gz (for GZIP) and .zst (for Zstandard) are assumed to be compressed when read, and are automatically compressed when written. For example, a le called

```
problem.mps.gz
```

will be considered as a GZIP compressed MPS le.

16.1 The LP File Format

MOSEK supports the LP le format with some extensions. The LP format is not a completely well-de ned standard and hence di erent optimization packages may interpret the same LP le in slightly di erent ways. MOSEK tries to emulate as closely as possible CPLEX's behavior, but tries to stay backward compatible.

The LP le format can specify problems of the form

$$\begin{array}{lccc} \text{minimize/maximize} & & c^T x + \frac{1}{2} q^o(x) & \\ \text{subject to} & l^c & Ax + \frac{1}{2} q(x) & u^c; \\ & l^x & x & u^x; \\ & & x_J \text{ integer;} & \end{array}$$

where

- $x \in R^n$ is the vector of decision variables.
- $c \in R^n$ is the linear term in the objective.
- $q^o : R^n \to R$ is the quadratic term in the objective where

$$q^o(x) = x^T Q^o x$$

and it is assumed that

$$Q^o = (Q^o)^T :$$

- $A \in R^{m \times n}$ is the constraint matrix.
- $l^c \in R^m$ is the lower limit on the activity for the constraints.
- $u^c \in R^m$ is the upper limit on the activity for the constraints.
- $l^x \in R^n$ is the lower limit on the activity for the variables.
- $u^x \in R^n$ is the upper limit on the activity for the variables.
- $q : R^n \to R$ is a vector of quadratic functions. Hence,

$$q_i(x) = x^T Q^i x$$

where it is assumed that

$$Q^i = (Q^i)^T :$$

- $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is an index set of the integer constrained variables.

16.1.1 File Sections

An LP formatted le contains a number of sections specifying the objective, constraints, variable bounds, and variable types. The section keywords may be any mix of upper and lower case letters.

Objective Function

The rst section beginning with one of the keywords

```
max
maximum
maximize
min
minimum
minimize
```

de nes the objective sense and the objective function, i.e.

$$c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T Q^o x:$$

The objective may be given a name by writing

```
myname:
```

before the expressions. If no name is given, then the objective is named obj .

The objective function contains linear and quadratic terms. The linear terms are written as

```
4 x1 + x2 - 0.1 x3
```

and so forth. The quadratic terms are written in square brackets ( ]/2) and are either squared or multiplied as in the examples

```
x1^2
```

and

```
x1 * x2
```

There may be zero or more pairs of brackets containing quadratic expressions.

An example of an objective section is

```
minimize
myobj: 4 x1 + x2 - 0.1 x3 + [ x1^2 + 2.1 x1 * x2 ]/2
```

Please note that the quadratic expressions are multiplied with $\frac{1}{2}$, so that the above expression means

$$\text{minimize} \quad 4x_1 + x_2 \quad 0:1 \quad x_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + 2:1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2)$$

If the same variable occurs more than once in the linear part, the coe cients are added, so that 4 x1 + 2 x1 is equivalent to 6 x1. In the quadratic expressions x1 * x2 is equivalent to x2 * x1 and, as in the linear part, if the same variables multiplied or squared occur several times their coe cients are added.

Constraints

The second section beginning with one of the keywords

```
subj to
subject to
s.t.
st
```

de nes the linear constraint matrix A and the quadratic matrices Q^i .

A constraint contains a name (optional), expressions adhering to the same rules as in the objective and a bound:

```
subject to
con1: x1 + x2 + [ x3^2 ]/2 <= 5.1
```

The bound type (here <=) may be any of <, <=, =, >, >= (< and <= mean the same), and the bound may be any number.

In the standard LP format it is not possible to de ne more than one bound per line, but MOSEK supports de ning ranged constraints by using double-colon (::) instead of a single-colon ( ) after the constraint name, i.e.

$$5 \quad x_1 + x_2 \quad 5 \tag{16.1}$$

may be written as

```
con:: -5 < x_1 + x_2 < 5
```

By default **MOSEK** writes ranged constraints this way.

If the files must adhere to the LP standard, ranged constraints must either be split into upper bounded and lower bounded constraints or be written as an equality with a slack variable. For example the expression (16.1) may be written as

$$x_1 + x_2 - sl_1 = 0, \ -5 \leq sl_1 \leq 5.$$

Bounds

Bounds on the variables can be specified in the bound section beginning with one of the keywords

```
bound
bounds
```

The bounds section is optional but should, if present, follow the subject to section. All variables listed in the bounds section must occur in either the objective or a constraint.

The default lower and upper bounds are 0 and $+\infty$. A variable may be declared free with the keyword free , which means that the lower bound is $-\infty$ and the upper bound is $+\infty$. Furthermore it may be assigned a finite lower and upper bound. The bound definitions for a given variable may be written in one or two lines, and bounds can be any number or $\pm\infty$ (written as +inf /-inf /+infinity /-infinity) as in the example

```
bounds
x1 free
x2 <= 5
0.1 <= x2
x3 = 42
2 <= x4 < +inf
```

Variable Types

The final two sections are optional and must begin with one of the keywords

```
bin
binaries
binary
```

and

```
gen
general
```

Under general all integer variables are listed, and under binary all binary (integer variables with bounds 0 and 1) are listed:

```
general
x1 x2
binary
x3 x4
```

Again, all variables listed in the binary or general sections must occur in either the objective or a constraint.

Terminating Section

Finally, an LP formatted file must be terminated with the keyword

```
end
```

16.1.2 LP File Examples

Linear example lo1.lp

```
\ File: lo1.lp
maximize
obj: 3 x1 + x2 + 5 x3 + x4
subject to
c1:  3 x1 + x2 + 2 x3 = 30
c2:  2 x1 + x2 + 3 x3 + x4 >= 15
c3:  2 x2 + 3 x4 <= 25
bounds
 0 <= x1 <= +infinity
 0 <= x2 <= 10
 0 <= x3 <= +infinity
 0 <= x4 <= +infinity
end
```

Mixed integer example milo1.lp

```
maximize
obj: x1 + 6.4e-01 x2
subject to
c1:  5e+01 x1 + 3.1e+01 x2 <= 2.5e+02
c2:  3e+00 x1 - 2e+00 x2 >= -4e+00
bounds
 0 <= x1 <= +infinity
 0 <= x2 <= +infinity
general
 x1 x2
end
```

16.1.3 LP Format peculiarities

Comments

Anything on a line after a \ is ignored and is treated as a comment.

Names

A name for an objective, a constraint or a variable may contain the letters a-z , A-Z, the digits 0-9 and the characters

```
!"#$%&()/,.;?@_'` |~
```

The first character in a name must not be a number, a period or the letter e or E. Keywords must not be used as names.

MOSEK accepts any character as valid for names, except \0 . A name that is not allowed in LP file will be changed and a warning will be issued.

The algorithm for making names LP valid works as follows: The name is interpreted as an utf-8 string. For a Unicode character c:

- If c==_ (underscore), the output is __ (two underscores).
- If c is a valid LP name character, the output is just c.
- If c is another character in the ASCII range, the output is _XX, where XXis the hexadecimal code for the character.
- If c is a character in the range *127-65535*, the output is _uXXXX,where XXXXis the hexadecimal code for the character.

- If c is a character above 65535, the output is _UXXXXXXXX, where XXXXXXXX is the hexadecimal code for the character.

Invalid utf-8 substrings are escaped as _XX, and if a name starts with a period, e or E, that character is escaped as _XX.

Variable Bounds

Specifying several upper or lower bounds on one variable is possible but MOSEK uses only the tightest bounds. If a variable is fixed (with =), then it is considered the tightest bound.

MOSEK Extensions to the LP Format

Some optimization software packages employ a more strict definition of the LP format than the one used by MOSEK. The limitations imposed by the strict LP format are the following:

- Quadratic terms in the constraints are not allowed.
- Names can be only 16 characters long.
- Lines must not exceed 255 characters in length.

To get around some of the inconveniences converting from other problem formats, MOSEK allows lines to contain 1024 characters and names may have any length (shorter than the 1024 characters).

If an LP formatted file created by MOSEK should satisfy the strict definition, then the parameter MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_STRICT_FORMAT should be set; note, however, that some problems cannot be written correctly as a strict LP formatted file. For instance, all names are truncated to 16 characters and hence they may lose their uniqueness and change the problem.

Internally in MOSEK names may contain any (printable) character, many of which cannot be used in LP names. Setting the parameters MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_QUOTED_NAMES and MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_QUOTED_NAMES allows MOSEK to use quoted names. The first parameter tells MOSEK to remove quotes from quoted names e.g. "x1", when reading LP formatted files. The second parameter tells MOSEK to put quotes around any semi-illegal name (names beginning with a number or a period) and fully illegal name (containing illegal characters). As double quote is a legal character in the LP format, quoting semi-illegal names makes them legal in the pure LP format as long as they are still shorter than 16 characters. Fully illegal names are still illegal in a pure LP file.

The strict LP format

The LP format is not a formal standard and different vendors have slightly different interpretations of the LP format. To make MOSEK's definition of the LP format more compatible with the definitions of other vendors set the parameter MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_STRICT_FORMAT to "MSK_ON".

This setting may lead to truncation of some names and hence to an invalid LP file. The simple solution to this problem is to set the parameter MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES to "MSK_ON" which will cause all names to be renamed systematically in the output file.

Formatting of an LP File

A few parameters control the visual formatting of LP files written by MOSEK in order to make it easier to read the files. These parameters are

- MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_LINE_WIDTH sets the maximum number of characters on a single line. The default value is 80 corresponding roughly to the width of a standard text document.
- MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_TERMS_PER_LINE sets the maximum number of terms per line; a term means a sign, a coefficient, and a name (for example + 42 elephants). The default value is 0, meaning that there is no maximum.

Unnamed Constraints

Reading and writing an LP file with MOSEK may change it superficially. If an LP file contains unnamed constraints or objective these are given their generic names when the file is read (however unnamed constraints in MOSEK are written without names).

16.2 The MPS File Format

MOSEK supports the standard MPS format with some extensions. For a detailed description of the MPS format see the book by Nazareth *[Naz87]*.

16.2.1 MPS File Structure

The version of the MPS format supported by **MOSEK** allows specification of an optimization problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} \text{maximize/minimize} & & c^T x + q_0(x) & & \\ l^c & \leq & Ax + q(x) & \leq & u^c, \\ l^x & \leq & x & \leq & u^x, \\ & & x \in \mathcal{K}, & & \\ & & x_{\mathcal{J}} \ \text{integer}, & & \end{array} \tag{16.2}$$

where

- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of decision variables.
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the constraint matrix.
- $l^c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the lower limit on the activity for the constraints.
- $u^c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the upper limit on the activity for the constraints.
- $l^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the lower limit on the activity for the variables.
- $u^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the upper limit on the activity for the variables.
- $q : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a vector of quadratic functions. Hence,

  $$q_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q^i x$$

  where it is assumed that $Q^i = (Q^i)^T$. Please note the explicit $\frac{1}{2}$ in the quadratic term and that Q^i is required to be symmetric. The same applies to q_0.
- $\mathcal{K}$ is a convex cone.
- $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is an index set of the integer-constrained variables.
- c is the vector of objective coefficients.

An MPS file with one row and one column can be illustrated like this:

```
*        1         2         3         4         5         6
*234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890
NAME          [name]
OBJSENSE
    [objsense]
OBJNAME       [objname]
ROWS
 ?  [cname1]
COLUMNS
    [vname1]  [cname1]  [value1]       [cname2]  [value2]
RHS
    [name]    [cname1]  [value1]       [cname2]  [value2]
RANGES
    [name]    [cname1]  [value1]       [cname2]  [value2]
QSECTION      [cname1]
    [vname1]  [vname2]  [value1]       [vname3]  [value2]
QMATRIX
    [vname1]  [vname2]  [value1]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
QUADOBJ
    [vname1]  [vname2]  [value1]
QCMATRIX      [cname1]
    [vname1]  [vname2]  [value1]
BOUNDS
 ?? [name]    [vname1]  [value1]
CSECTION      [kname1]  [value1]       [ktype]
    [vname1]
ENDATA
```

Here the names in capitals are keywords of the MPS format and names in brackets are custom defined names or values. A couple of notes on the structure:

- Fields: All items surrounded by brackets appear in *fields*. The fields named "valueN" are numerical values. Hence, they must have the format

  ```
  [+|-]XXXXXXX.XXXXXX[[e|E][+|-]XXX]
  ```

  where

  ```
  X = [0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9].
  ```

- Sections: The MPS file consists of several sections where the names in capitals indicate the beginning of a new section. For example, COLUMNS denotes the beginning of the columns section.
- Comments: Lines starting with an * are comment lines and are ignored by **MOSEK**.
- Keys: The question marks represent keys to be specified later.
- Extensions: The sections QSECTION and CSECTION are specific **MOSEK** extensions of the MPS format. The sections QMATRIX, QUADOBJ and QCMATRIX are included for sake of compatibility with other vendors extensions to the MPS format.
- The standard MPS format is a fixed format, i.e. everything in the MPS file must be within certain fixed positions. **MOSEK** also supports a *free format*. See Sec. 16.2.5 for details.

Linear example lo1.mps

A concrete example of a MPS file is presented below:

```
* File: lo1.mps
NAME          lo1
OBJSENSE
    MAX
ROWS
 N  obj
 E  c1
 G  c2
 L  c3
COLUMNS
    x1        obj       3
    x1        c1        3
    x1        c2        2
    x2        obj       1
    x2        c1        1
    x2        c2        1
    x2        c3        2
    x3        obj       5
    x3        c1        2
    x3        c2        3
    x4        obj       1
    x4        c2        1
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    x4        c3        3
RHS
    rhs       c1        30
    rhs       c2        15
    rhs       c3        25
RANGES
BOUNDS
 UP bound     x2        10
ENDATA
```

Subsequently each individual section in the MPS format is discussed.

NAME (optional)

In this section a name ([name]) is assigned to the problem.

OBJSENSE (optional)

This is an optional section that can be used to specify the sense of the objective function. The OBJSENSE section contains one line at most which can be one of the following:

```
MIN
MINIMIZE
MAX
MAXIMIZE
```

It should be obvious what the implication is of each of these four lines.

OBJNAME (optional)

This is an optional section that can be used to specify the name of the row that is used as objective function. objname should be a valid row name.

ROWS

A record in the ROWS section has the form

```
?  [cname1]
```

where the requirements for the fields are as follows:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	required	Description
?	2	1	Yes	Constraint key
[cname1]	5	8	Yes	Constraint name

Hence, in this section each constraint is assigned a unique name denoted by [cname1]. Please note that [cname1] starts in position 5 and the field can be at most 8 characters wide. An initial key ? must be present to specify the type of the constraint. The key can have values E, G, L, or N with the following interpretation:

Constraint type	l_i^c	u_i^c
E (equal)	finite	$= l_i^c$
G (greater)	finite	∞
L (lower)	$-\infty$	finite
N (none)	$-\infty$	∞

In the MPS format the objective vector is not specified explicitly, but one of the constraints having the key N will be used as the objective vector c . In general, if multiple N type constraints are specified, then the first will be used as the objective vector c, unless something else was specified in the section OBJNAME

COLUMNS

In this section the elements of A are specified using one or more records having the form:

```
[vname1]   [cname1]    [value1]     [cname2]  [value2]
```

where the requirements for each field are as follows:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	required	Description
[vname1]	5	8	Yes	Variable name
[cname1]	15	8	Yes	Constraint name
[value1]	25	12	Yes	Numerical value
[cname2]	40	8	No	Constraint name
[value2]	50	12	No	Numerical value

Hence, a record specifies one or two elements a_{ij} of A using the principle that [vname1] and [cname1] determines j and i respectively. Please note that [cname1] must be a constraint name specified in the ROWS section. Finally, [value1] denotes the numerical value of a_{ij} . Another optional element is specified by [cname2], and [value2] for the variable specified by [vname1]. Some important comments are:

- All elements belonging to one variable must be grouped together.
- Zero elements of A should not be specified.
- At least one element for each variable should be specified.

RHS (optional)

A record in this section has the format

```
[name]    [cname1]    [value1]     [cname2]  [value2]
```

where the requirements for each field are as follows:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	required	Description
[name]	5	8	Yes	Name of the RHS vector
[cname1]	15	8	Yes	Constraint name
[value1]	25	12	Yes	Numerical value
[cname2]	40	8	No	Constraint name
[value2]	50	12	No	Numerical value

The interpretation of a record is that [name] is the name of the RHS vector to be specified. In general, several vectors can be specified. [cname1] denotes a constraint name previously specified in the ROWS section. Now, assume that this name has been assigned to the i -h constraint and v_1 denotes the value specified by [value1] , then the interpretation of v_1 is:

Constraint	l_i^c	u_i^c
E	v_1	v_1
G	v_1	
L		v_1
N		

An optional second element is specified by [cname2] and [value2] and is interpreted in the same way. Please note that it is not necessary to specify zero elements, because elements are assumed to be zero.

RANGES (optional)

A record in this section has the form

```
[name]    [cname1]    [value1]     [cname2]  [value2]
```

where the requirements for each fields are as follows:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	required	Description
[name]	5	8	Yes	Name of the RANGE vector
[cname1]	15	8	Yes	Constraint name
[value1]	25	12	Yes	Numerical value
[cname2]	40	8	No	Constraint name
[value2]	50	12	No	Numerical value

The records in this section are used to modify the bound vectors for the constraints, i.e. the values in l^c and u^c . A record has the following interpretation: [name] is the name of the RANGE vector and [cname1] is a valid constraint name. Assume that [cname1] is assigned to the i-th constraint and let v_1 be the value specified by [value1] , then a record has the interpretation:

Constraint type	Sign of v_1	l_i^c	u_i^c
E	$-$	$u_i^c + v_1$	
E	$+$		$l_i^c + v_1$
G	$-$ or $+$		$l_i^c + \|v_1\|$
L	$-$ or $+$	$u_i^c - \|v_1\|$	
N			

Another constraint bound can optionally be modified using [cname2] and [value2] the same way.

QSECTION (optional)

Within the QSECTION the label [cname1] must be a constraint name previously specified in the ROWS section. The label [cname1] denotes the constraint to which the quadratic terms belong. A record in the QSECTION has the form

```
[vname1]  [vname2]    [value1]     [vname3]  [value2]
```

where the requirements for each field are:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	required	Description
[vname1]	5	8	Yes	Variable name
[vname2]	15	8	Yes	Variable name
[value1]	25	12	Yes	Numerical value
[vname3]	40	8	No	Variable name
[value2]	50	12	No	Numerical value

A record specifies one or two elements in the lower triangular part of the Q^i matrix where [cname1] specifies the i . Hence, if the names [vname1] and [vname2] have been assigned to the k-th and j-th variable, then Q^i_{kj} is assigned the value given by [value1] An optional second element is specified in the same way by the fields [vname1], [vname3], and [value2] .

The example

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{minimize} & -x_2 + \frac{1}{2}(2x_1^2 - 2x_1x_3 + 0.2x_2^2 + 2x_3^2) & \\ \text{subject to} & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & \geq \quad 1, \\ & x \geq 0 & \end{array}$$

has the following MPS file representation

```
* File: qo1.mps
NAME          qo1
ROWS
 N  obj
 G  c1
COLUMNS
    x1        c1        1.0
    x2        obj       -1.0
    x2        c1        1.0
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    x3        c1        1.0
RHS
    rhs       c1        1.0
QSECTION      obj
    x1        x1        2.0
    x1        x3        -1.0
    x2        x2        0.2
    x3        x3        2.0
ENDATA
```

Regarding the QSECTION please note that:

- Only one QSECTION is allowed for each constraint.
- The QSECTION can appear in an arbitrary order after the COLUMNS section.
- All variable names occurring in the QSECTION must already be specified in the COLUMNS section.
- All entries specified in a QSECTION are assumed to belong to the lower triangular part of the quadratic term of Q .

QMATRIX/QUADOBJ (Optional)

The QMATRIX and QUADOBJ sections allow to define the quadratic term of the objective function. They differ in how the quadratic term of the objective function is stored:

- QMATRIX stores all the nonzeros coefficients, without taking advantage of the symmetry of the Q matrix.
- QUADOBJ stores the upper diagonal nonzero elements of the Q matrix.

A record in both sections has the form:

```
[vname1]  [vname2]    [value1]
```

where the requirements for each field are:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	required	Description
[vname1]	5	8	Yes	Variable name
[vname2]	15	8	Yes	Variable name
[value1]	25	12	Yes	Numerical value

A record specifies one elements of the Q matrix in the objective function . Hence, if the names [vname1] and [vname2] have been assigned to the k-th and j-th variable, then Q_{kj} is assigned the value given by [value1] . Note that a line must appear for each off-diagonal coefficient if using a QMATRIX section, while only one entry is required in a QUADOBJ section. The quadratic part of the objective function will be evaluated as $1/2x^TQx$.

The example

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{minimize} & -x_2 + \frac{1}{2}(2x_1^2 - 2x_1x_3 + 0.2x_2^2 + 2x_3^2) & \\ \text{subject to} & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & \geq \quad 1, \\ & x \geq 0 & \end{array}$$

has the following MPS file representation using QMATRIX

```
* File: qo1_matrix.mps
NAME          qo1_qmatrix
ROWS
 N  obj
 G  c1
COLUMNS
    x1        c1        1.0
    x2        obj       -1.0
```

(continues on next page)

has the following MPS file representation

```
* File: qo1.mps
NAME          qo1
ROWS
 N  obj
 G  c1
 L  q1
COLUMNS
    x1        c1        1.0
    x2        obj      -1.0
    x2        c1        1.0
    x3        c1        1.0
RHS
    rhs       c1        1.0
    rhs       q1        10.0
QCMATRIX      q1
    x1        x1        2.0
    x1        x3       -1.0
    x3        x1       -1.0
    x2        x2        0.2
    x3        x3        2.0
ENDATA
```

Regarding the QCMATRIX section please note that:

- Only one QCMATRIX is allowed for each constraint.
- The QCMATRIX section can appear in an arbitrary order after the COLUMNS section.
- All variable names occurring in the QSECTION must already be specified in the COLUMNS section.
- QCMATRIX does not exploit the symmetry of Q: an off-diagonal entry (i, j) should appear twice.

BOUNDS (Optional)

In the BOUNDS section changes to the default bounds vectors l^x and u^x are specified. The default bounds vectors are $l^x = 0$ and $u^x = 1$. Moreover, it is possible to specify several sets of bound vectors. A record in this section has the form

```
?? [name]    [vname1]    [value1]
```

where the requirements for each field are:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	Required	Description
??	2	2	Yes	Bound key
[name]	5	8	Yes	Name of the BOUNDS vector
[vname1]	15	8	Yes	Variable name
[value1]	25	12	No	Numerical value

Hence, a record in the BOUNDS section has the following interpretation: [name] is the name of the bound vector and [vname1] is the name of the variable for which the bounds are modified by the record. ?? and [value1] are used to modify the bound vectors according to the following table:

??	l_j^x	u_j^x	Made integer (added to J)
FR	1	1	No
FX	v_1	v_1	No
LO	v_1	unchanged	No
MI	1	unchanged	No
PL	unchanged	1	No
UP	unchanged	v_1	No
BV	0	1	Yes
LI	$\lceil v_1 \rceil$	unchanged	Yes
UI	unchanged	$\lfloor v_1 \rfloor$	Yes

Here v_1 is the value specified by [value1] .

CSECTION (optional)

The purpose of the CSECTION is to specify the conic constraint

$$x \in \mathcal{K}$$

in (16.2). It is assumed that $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the following requirements. Let

$$x^t \in \mathbb{R}^{n^t}, \quad t = 1, \ldots, k$$

be vectors comprised of parts of the decision variables x so that each decision variable is a member of exactly **one** vector x^t, for example

$$x^1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_4 \\ x_7 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_6 \\ x_5 \\ x_3 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next define

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \quad x^t \in \mathcal{K}_t, \quad t = 1, \ldots, k \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_t$ must have one of the following forms:

- $\mathbb{R}$ set:

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \mathbb{R}^{n^t}.$$

- Zero cone:

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n^t}. \tag{16.3}$$

- Quadratic cone:

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n^t} : x_1 \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=2}^{n^t} x_j^2} \right\}. \tag{16.4}$$

- Rotated quadratic cone:

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n^t} : 2x_1 x_2 \geq \sum_{j=3}^{n^t} x_j^2, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}. \tag{16.5}$$

- Primal exponential cone:

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 \geq x_2 \exp(x_3/x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}. \tag{16.6}$$

- Primal power cone (with parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$):

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n^t} : x_1^\alpha x_2^{1-\alpha} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=3}^{n^t} x_j^2}, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}. \tag{16.7}$$

- Dual exponential cone:

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 \geq -x_3 e^{-1} \exp(x_2/x_3), \quad x_3 \leq 0, x_1 \geq 0 \right\}. \tag{16.8}$$

ˆ Dual power cone (with parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$):

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n^t} : \left(\frac{x_1}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{x_2}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=3}^{n^t} x_j^2}, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}. \tag{16.9}$$

In general, membership in the $\mathbb{R}$ set is not specified. If a variable is not a member of any other cone then it is assumed to be a member of the $\mathbb{R}$ cone.

Next, let us study an example. Assume that the power cone

$$x_4^{1/3} x_5^{2/3} \geq |x_8|$$

and the rotated quadratic cone

$$2x_3x_7 \geq x_1^2 + x_0^2, \quad x_3, x_7 \geq 0,$$

should be specified in the MPS file. One CSECTION is required for each cone and they are specified as follows:

```
*         1         2         3         4         5         6
*234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890
CSECTION      konea     3e-1            PPOW
x4
x5
x8
CSECTION      koneb     0.0             RQUAD
x7
x3
x1
x0
```

In general, a CSECTION header has the format

```
CSECTION      [kname1]     [value1]     [ktype]
```

where the requirements for each field are as follows:

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	Required	Description
[kname1]	15	8	Yes	Name of the cone
[value1]	25	12	No	Cone parameter
[ktype]	40		Yes	Type of the cone.

The possible cone type keys are:

[ktype]	Members	[value1]	Interpretation.
ZERO	≥ 0	unused	Zero cone (16.3).
QUAD	≥ 1	unused	Quadratic cone (16.4).
RQUAD	≥ 2	unused	Rotated quadratic cone (16.5).
PEXP	3	unused	Primal exponential cone (16.6).
PPOW	≥ 2	α	Primal power cone (16.7).
DEXP	3	unused	Dual exponential cone (16.8).
DPOW	≥ 2	α	Dual power cone (16.9).

A record in the CSECTION has the format

```
[vname1]
```

where the requirements for each field are

Field	Starting Position	Max Width	required	Description
[vname1]	5	8	Yes	A valid variable name

A variable must occur in at most one CSECTION

ENDATA

This keyword denotes the end of the MPS file.

16.2.2 Integer Variables

Using special bound keys in the BOUNDS section it is possible to specify that some or all of the variables should be integer-constrained i.e. be members of $\mathcal{J}$. However, an alternative method is available. This method is available only for backward compatibility and we recommend that it is not used. This method requires that markers are placed in the COLUMNS section as in the example:

```
COLUMNS
x1        obj       -10.0          c1        0.7
x1        c2        0.5            c3        1.0
x1        c4        0.1
* Start of integer-constrained variables.
MARK000   'MARKER'                 'INTORG'
x2        obj       -9.0           c1        1.0
x2        c2        0.8333333333   c3        0.66666667
x2        c4        0.25
x3        obj       1.0            c6        2.0
MARK001   'MARKER'                 'INTEND'
* End of integer-constrained variables.
```

Please note that special marker lines are used to indicate the start and the end of the integer variables. Furthermore be aware of the following

- All variables between the markers are assigned a default lower bound of 0 and a default upper bound of 1. **This may not be what is intended.** If it is not intended, the correct bounds should be defined in the BOUNDS section of the MPS formatted file.
- **MOSEK** ignores field 1, i.e. MARK0001 and MARK001, however, other optimization systems require them.
- Field 2, i.e. MARKER, must be specified including the single quotes. This implies that no row can be assigned the name MARKER.
- Field 3 is ignored and should be left blank.
- Field 4, i.e. INTORG and INTEND, must be specified.
- It is possible to specify several such integer marker sections within the COLUMNS section.

16.2.3 General Limitations

- An MPS file should be an ASCII file.

16.2.4 Interpretation of the MPS Format

Several issues related to the MPS format are not well-defined by the industry standard. However, **MOSEK** uses the following interpretation:

- If a matrix element in the COLUMNS section is specified multiple times, then the multiple entries are added together.
- If a matrix element in a QSECTION section is specified multiple times, then the multiple entries are added together.

16.2.5 The Free MPS Format

MOSEK supports a free format variation of the MPS format. The free format is similar to the MPS file format but less restrictive, e.g. it allows longer names. However, a name must not contain any blanks.

Moreover, by default a line in the MPS file must not contain more than 1024 characters. By modifying the parameter *MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_WIDTH* an arbitrary large line width will be accepted.

The free MPS format is default. To change to the strict and other formats use the parameter *MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_FORMAT*.

16.3 The OPF Format

The *Optimization Problem Format (OPF)* is an alternative to LP and MPS files for specifying optimization problems. It is row-oriented, inspired by the CPLEX LP format.

Apart from containing objective, constraints, bounds etc. it may contain complete or partial solutions, comments and extra information relevant for solving the problem. It is designed to be easily read and modified by hand and to be forward compatible with possible future extensions.

Intended use

The OPF file format is meant to replace several other files:

- The LP file format: Any problem that can be written as an LP file can be written as an OPF file too; furthermore it naturally accommodates ranged constraints and variables as well as arbitrary characters in names, fixed expressions in the objective, empty constraints, and conic constraints.
- Parameter files: It is possible to specify integer, double and string parameters along with the problem (or in a separate OPF file).
- Solution files: It is possible to store a full or a partial solution in an OPF file and later reload it.

16.3.1 The File Format

The format uses tags to structure data. A simple example with the basic sections may look like this:

```
[comment]
This is a comment. You may write almost anything here...
[/comment]

# This is a single-line comment.

[objective min 'myobj']
x + 3 y + x^2 + 3 y^2 + z + 1
[/objective]

[constraints]
[con 'con01'] 4 <= x + y  [/con]
[/constraints]

[bounds]
[b] -10 <= x,y <= 10  [/b]

[cone quad] x,y,z [/cone]
[/bounds]
```

A scope is opened by a tag of the form [tag] and closed by a tag of the form [/tag] . An opening tag may accept a list of unnamed and named arguments, for examples:

```
[tag value] tag with one unnamed argument [/tag]
[tag arg=value] tag with one named argument [/tag]
```

Unnamed arguments are identified by their order, while named arguments may appear in any order, but never before an unnamed argument. The value can be a quoted, single-quoted or double-quoted text string, i.e.

```
[tag 'value']     single-quoted value [/tag]
[tag arg='value'] single-quoted value [/tag]
[tag "value"]     double-quoted value [/tag]
[tag arg="value"] double-quoted value [/tag]
```

16.3.2 Sections

The recognized tags are

[comment]

A comment section. This can contain *almost* any text: Between single quotes (') or double quotes (") any text may appear. Outside quotes the markup characters ([and]) must be prefixed by backslashes. Both single and double quotes may appear alone or inside a pair of quotes if it is prefixed by a backslash.

[objective]

The objective function: This accepts one or two parameters, where the first one (in the above example min) is either min or max(regardless of case) and defines the objective sense, and the second one (above myobj), if present, is the objective name. The section may contain linear and quadratic expressions.

If several objectives are specified, all but the last are ignored.

[constraints]

This does not directly contain any data, but may contain subsections con defining a linear constraint.

[con]

Defines a single constraint; if an argument is present ([con NAME] this is used as the name of the constraint, otherwise it is given a null-name. The section contains a constraint definition written as linear and quadratic expressions with a lower bound, an upper bound, with both or with an equality. Examples:

```
[constraints]
[con 'con1'] 0 <= x + y       [/con]
[con 'con2'] 0 >= x + y       [/con]
[con 'con3'] 0 <= x + y <= 10 [/con]
[con 'con4']      x + y  = 10 [/con]
[/constraints]
```

Constraint names are unique. If a constraint is specified which has the same name as a previously defined constraint, the new constraint replaces the existing one.

[bounds]

This does not directly contain any data, but may contain subsections b (linear bounds on variables) and cone (cones).

[b]

Bound definition on one or several variables separated by comma (,). An upper or lower bound on a variable replaces any earlier defined bound on that variable. If only one bound (upper or lower) is given only this bound is replaced. This means that upper and lower bounds can be specified separately. So the OPF bound definition:

```
[b]  x,y >= -10  [/b]
[b]  x,y <= 10   [/b]
```

results in the bound $-10 \leq x, y \leq 10$.

[cone]

Specifies a cone. A cone is defined as a sequence of variables which belong to a single unique cone. The supported cone types are:

- quad: a quadratic cone of n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ defines a constraint of the form

$$x_1^2 \geq \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_i^2, \quad x_1 \geq 0.$$

- rquad: a rotated quadratic cone of n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ defines a constraint of the form

$$2x_1x_2 \geq \sum_{i=3}^{n} x_i^2, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

- pexp: primal exponential cone of 3 variables x_1, x_2, x_3 defines a constraint of the form

$$x_1 \geq x_2 \exp(x_3/x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

- ppowwith parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$: primal power cone of n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ defines a constraint of the form

$$x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{1-\alpha} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=3}^{n} x_j^2}, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

- dexp: dual exponential cone of 3 variables x_1, x_2, x_3 defines a constraint of the form

$$x_1 \geq -x_3 e^{-1} \exp(x_2/x_3), \quad x_3 \leq 0, x_1 \geq 0.$$

- dpowwith parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$: dual power cone of n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ defines a constraint of the form

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{x_2}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=3}^{n^t} x_j^2}, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

- zero: zero cone of n variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ defines a constraint of the form

$$x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$$

A [bounds] -section example:

```
[bounds]
[b]  0 <= x,y <= 10  [/b] # ranged bound
[b] 10 >= x,y >=  0  [/b] # ranged bound
[b]  0 <= x,y <= inf [/b] # using inf
[b]       x,y free   [/b] # free variables
# Let (x,y,z,w) belong to the cone K
[cone rquad] x,y,z,w  [/cone] # rotated quadratic cone
[cone ppow '3e-01' ' a'] x1, x2, x3 [/cone] # power cone with alpha=1/3 and name 'a'
[/bounds]
```

By default all variables are free.

[variables]

This defines an ordering of variables as they should appear in the problem. This is simply a space-separated list of variable names.

[integer]

This contains a space-separated list of variables and defines the constraint that the listed variables must be integer-valued.

[hints]

This may contain only non-essential data; for example estimates of the number of variables, constraints and non-zeros. Placed before all other sections containing data this may reduce the time spent reading the file.

In the hints section, any subsection which is not recognized by **MOSEK** is simply ignored. In this section a hint is defined as follows:

```
[hint ITEM] value [/hint]
```

The hints recognized by **MOSEK** are:

- numvar (number of variables),
- numcon (number of linear/quadratic constraints),
- numanz (number of linear non-zeros in constraints),
- numqnz (number of quadratic non-zeros in constraints).

[solutions]

This section can contain a set of full or partial solutions to a problem. Each solution must be specified using a [solution] -section, i.e.

```
[solutions]
[solution]...[/solution] #solution 1
[solution]...[/solution] #solution 2
#other solutions....
[solution]...[/solution] #solution n
[/solutions]
```

The syntax of a [solution] -section is the following:

```
[solution SOLTYPE status=STATUS]...[/solution]
```

where SOLTYPE is one of the strings

- interior , a non-basic solution,
- basic , a basic solution,
- integer , an integer solution,

and STATUS is one of the strings

- UNKNOWN
- OPTIMAL
- INTEGER_OPTIMAL
- PRIM_FEAS
- DUAL_FEAS
- PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS
- NEAR_OPTIMAL
- NEAR_PRIM_FEAS

- NEAR_DUAL_FEAS,
- NEAR_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS,
- PRIM_INFEAS_CER,
- DUAL_INFEAS_CER,
- NEAR_PRIM_INFEAS_CER,
- NEAR_DUAL_INFEAS_CER,
- NEAR_INTEGER_OPTIMAL.

Most of these values are irrelevant for input solutions; when constructing a solution for simplex hot-start or an initial solution for a mixed integer problem the safe setting is UNKNOWN.

A [solution] -section contains [con] and [var] sections. Each [con] and [var] section defines solution information for a single variable or constraint, specified as list of KEYWORD/value pairs, in any order, written as

```
KEYWORD=value
```

Allowed keywords are as follows:

- sk. The status of the item, where the value is one of the following strings:
  - LOW, the item is on its lower bound.
  - UPR, the item is on its upper bound.
  - FIX, it is a fixed item.
  - BAS, the item is in the basis.
  - SUPBAS, the item is super basic.
  - UNK, the status is unknown.
  - INF, the item is outside its bounds (infeasible).
- lvl Defines the level of the item.
- sl Defines the level of the dual variable associated with its lower bound.
- su Defines the level of the dual variable associated with its upper bound.
- sn Defines the level of the variable associated with its cone.
- y Defines the level of the corresponding dual variable (for constraints only).

A [var] section should always contain the items sk, lvl , sl and su. Items sl and su are not required for integer solutions.

A [con] section should always contain sk, lvl , sl , su and y.

An example of a solution section

```
[solution basic status=UNKNOWN]
[var x0] sk=LOW    lvl=5.0      [/var]
[var x1] sk=UPR    lvl=10.0     [/var]
[var x2] sk=SUPBAS lvl=2.0  sl=1.5 su=0.0 [/var]

[con c0] sk=LOW    lvl=3.0 y=0.0 [/con]
[con c0] sk=UPR    lvl=0.0 y=5.0 [/con]
[/solution]
```

- [vendor] This contains solver/vendor specific data. It accepts one argument, which is a vendor ID – for **MOSEK** the ID is simply mosek– and the section contains the subsection parameters defining solver parameters. When reading a vendor section, any unknown vendor can be safely ignored. This is described later.

Comments using the # may appear anywhere in the file. Between the # and the following line-break any text may be written, including markup characters.

16.3.3 Numbers

Numbers, when used for parameter values or coefficients, are written in the usual way by the printf function. That is, they may be prefixed by a sign (+ or -) and may contain an integer part, decimal part and an exponent. The decimal point is always . (a dot). Some examples are

```
1
1.0
.0
1.
1e10
1e+10
1e-10
```

Some *invalid* examples are

```
e10   # invalid, must contain either integer or decimal part
.     # invalid
.e10  # invalid
```

More formally, the following standard regular expression describes numbers as used:

```
[+|-]?([0-9]+[.][0-9]*|[.][0-9]+)([eE][+|-]?[0-9]+)?
```

16.3.4 Names

Variable names, constraint names and objective name may contain arbitrary characters, which in some cases must be enclosed by quotes (single or double) that in turn must be preceded by a backslash. Unquoted names must begin with a letter (a-z or A-Z) and contain only the following characters: the letters a-z and A-Z, the digits 0-9, braces ({ and }) and underscore (_).

Some examples of legal names:

```
an_unquoted_name
another_name{123}
'single quoted name'
"double quoted name"
"name with \\"quote\\" in it"
"name with []s in it"
```

16.3.5 Parameters Section

In the vendor section solver parameters are defined inside the parameters subsection. Each parameter is written as

```
[p PARAMETER_NAME] value [/p]
```

where PARAMETER_NAME is replaced by a **MOSEK** parameter name, usually of the form MSK_IPAR_... , MSK_DPAR_... or MSK_SPAR_..., and the value is replaced by the value of that parameter; both integer values and named values may be used. Some simple examples are

```
[vendor mosek]
[parameters]
[p MSK_IPAR_OPF_MAX_TERMS_PER_LINE] 10     [/p]
[p MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_PARAMETERS]   MSK_ON [/p]
[p MSK_DPAR_DATA_TOL_BOUND_INF]     1.0e18 [/p]
[/parameters]
[/vendor]
```

16.3.6 Writing OPF Files from MOSEK

To write an OPF file then make sure the file extension is .opf.

Then modify the following parameters to define what the file should contain:

MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_BAS	Include basic solution, if defined.
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITG	Include integer solution, if defined.
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITR	Include interior solution, if defined.
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS	Include solutions if they are defined. If this is off, no solutions are included.
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_HEADER	Include a small header with comments.
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_PROBLEM	Include the problem itself — objective, constraints and bounds.
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_PARAMETERS	Include all parameter settings.
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_HINTS	Include hints about the size of the problem.

16.3.7 Examples

This section contains a set of small examples written in OPF and describing how to formulate linear, quadratic and conic problems.

Linear Example lo1.opf

Consider the example:

$$\begin{array}{lrcrcrcrcl} \text{maximize} & 3x_0 & + & 1x_1 & + & 5x_2 & + & 1x_3 & & \\ \text{subject to} & 3x_0 & + & 1x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & & = & 30, \\ & 2x_0 & + & 1x_1 & + & 3x_2 & + & 1x_3 & \geq & 15, \\ & & & 2x_1 & & & + & 3x_3 & \leq & 25, \end{array}$$

having the bounds

$$\begin{array}{rcrcl} 0 & \leq & x_0 & \leq & \infty, \\ 0 & \leq & x_1 & \leq & 10, \\ 0 & \leq & x_2 & \leq & \infty, \\ 0 & \leq & x_3 & \leq & \infty. \end{array}$$

In the OPF format the example is displayed as shown in Listing 16.1.

Listing 16.1: Example of an OPF file for a linear problem.

```
[comment]
  The lo1 example in OPF format
[/comment]

[hints]
  [hint NUMVAR] 4 [/hint]
  [hint NUMCON] 3 [/hint]
  [hint NUMANZ] 9 [/hint]
[/hints]

[variables disallow_new_variables]
  x1 x2 x3 x4
[/variables]

[objective maximize 'obj']
   3 x1 + x2 + 5 x3 + x4
[/objective]

[constraints]
  [con 'c1'] 3 x1 +   x2 + 2 x3         = 30 [/con]
  [con 'c2'] 2 x1 +   x2 + 3 x3 +   x4 >= 15 [/con]
  [con 'c3']        2 x2        + 3 x4 <= 25 [/con]
[/constraints]

[bounds]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
  [b] 0 <= * [/b]
  [b] 0 <= x2 <= 10 [/b]
[/bounds]
```

Quadratic Example qo1.opf

An example of a quadratic optimization problem is

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{minimize} & & x_1^2 + 0.1x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1x_3 - x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & 1 \quad \leq & x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \\ & & x \geq 0. \end{array}$$

This can be formulated in opf as shown below.

Listing 16.2: Example of an OPF file for a quadratic problem.

```
[comment]
  The qo1 example in OPF format
[/comment]

[hints]
  [hint NUMVAR] 3 [/hint]
  [hint NUMCON] 1 [/hint]
  [hint NUMANZ] 3 [/hint]
  [hint NUMQNZ] 4 [/hint]
[/hints]

[variables disallow_new_variables]
  x1 x2 x3
[/variables]

[objective minimize 'obj']
  # The quadratic terms are often written with a factor of 1/2 as here,
  # but this is not required.

   - x2 + 0.5 ( 2.0 x1 ^ 2 - 2.0 x3 * x1 + 0.2 x2 ^ 2 + 2.0 x3 ^ 2 )
[/objective]

[constraints]
  [con 'c1'] 1.0 <= x1 + x2 + x3 [/con]
[/constraints]

[bounds]
  [b] 0 <= * [/b]
[/bounds]
```

Conic Quadratic Example cqo1.opf

Consider the example:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{minimize} & x_3 + x_4 + x_5 & \\ \text{subject to} & x_0 + x_1 + 2x_2 & = \quad 1, \\ & x_0, x_1, x_2 & \geq \quad 0, \\ & x_3 \geq \sqrt{x_0^2 + x_1^2}, & \\ & 2x_4x_5 \geq x_2^2. & \end{array}$$

Please note that the type of the cones is defined by the parameter to [cone ...] ; the content of the cone-section is the names of variables that belong to the cone. The resulting OPF file is in Listing 16.3.

Listing 16.3: Example of an OPF file for a conic quadratic problem.

```
[comment]
  The cqo1 example in OPF format.
[/comment]

[hints]
  [hint NUMVAR] 6 [/hint]
  [hint NUMCON] 1 [/hint]
  [hint NUMANZ] 3 [/hint]
[/hints]

[variables disallow_new_variables]
  x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6
[/variables]

[objective minimize 'obj']
   x4 + x5 + x6
[/objective]

[constraints]
  [con 'c1']  x1 + x2 + 2e+00 x3 = 1e+00 [/con]
[/constraints]

[bounds]
  # We let all variables default to the positive orthant
  [b] 0 <= * [/b]

  # ...and change those that differ from the default
  [b] x4,x5,x6 free [/b]

  # Define quadratic cone: x4 >= sqrt( x1^2 + x2^2 )
  [cone quad 'k1'] x4, x1, x2 [/cone]

  # Define rotated quadratic cone: 2 x5 x6 >= x3^2
  [cone rquad 'k2'] x5, x6, x3 [/cone]
[/bounds]
```

Mixed Integer Example milo1.opf

Consider the mixed integer problem:

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{maximize} & x_0 + 0.64x_1 & & \\ \text{subject to} & 50x_0 + 31x_1 & \leq & 250, \\ & 3x_0 - 2x_1 & \geq & -4, \\ & x_0, x_1 \geq 0 & & \text{and integer} \end{array}$$

This can be implemented in OPFwith the file in Listing 16.4.

Listing 16.4: Example of an OPF file for a mixed-integer linear problem.

```
[comment]
  The milo1 example in OPF format
[/comment]

[hints]
  [hint NUMVAR] 2 [/hint]
  [hint NUMCON] 2 [/hint]
  [hint NUMANZ] 4 [/hint]
[/hints]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
[variables disallow_new_variables]
  x1 x2
[/variables]

[objective maximize 'obj']
   x1 + 6.4e-1 x2
[/objective]

[constraints]
  [con 'c1'] 5e+1 x1 + 3.1e+1 x2 <= 2.5e+2 [/con]
  [con 'c2'] -4 <= 3 x1 - 2 x2 [/con]
[/constraints]

[bounds]
  [b] 0 <= * [/b]
[/bounds]

[integer]
  x1 x2
[/integer]
```

16.4 The CBF Format

This document constitutes the technical reference manual of the *Conic Benchmark Format* with file extension: .cbf or .CBF. It unifies linear, second-order cone (also known as conic quadratic) and semidefinite optimization with mixed-integer variables. The format has been designed with benchmark libraries in mind, and therefore focuses on compact and easily parsable representations. The problem structure is separated from the problem data, and the format moreover facilitates benchmarking of hotstart capability through sequences of changes.

16.4.1 How Instances Are Specified

This section defines the spectrum of conic optimization problems that can be formulated in terms of the keywords of the CBF format.

In the CBF format, conic optimization problems are considered in the following form:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \min / \max & g^{obj} & \\ & g_i \in \mathcal{K}_i, & i \in \mathcal{I}, \\ \text{s.t.} & G_i \in \mathcal{K}_i, & i \in \mathcal{I}^{PSD}, \\ & x_j \in \mathcal{K}_j, & j \in \mathcal{J}, \\ & \overline{X}_j \in \mathcal{K}_j, & j \in \mathcal{J}^{PSD}. \end{array} \tag{16.10}$$

- **Variables** are either scalar variables, x_j for $j \in \mathcal{J}$, or variables, $\overline{X}_j$ for $j \in \mathcal{J}^{PSD}$. Scalar variables can also be declared as integer.
- **Constraints** are affine expressions of the variables, either scalar-valued g_i for $i \in \mathcal{I}$, or matrix-valued G_i for $i \in \mathcal{I}^{PSD}$

$$g_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{PSD}} \langle F_{ij}, X_j \rangle + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} a_{ij} x_j + b_i,$$

$$G_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} x_j H_{ij} + D_i.$$

- The **objective function** is a scalar-valued affine expression of the variables, either to be minimized or maximized. We refer to this expression as g^{obj}

$$g^{obj} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{PSD}} \langle F_j^{obj}, X_j \rangle + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} a_j^{obj} x_j + b^{obj}.$$

CBF format can represent the following cones $\mathcal{K}$:

- **Free domain** - A cone in the linear family defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

- **Positive orthant** - A cone in the linear family defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j \geq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n\}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

- **Negative orthant** - A cone in the linear family defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j \leq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n\}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

- **Fixpoint zero** - A cone in the linear family defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n\}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

- **Quadratic cone** - A cone in the second-order cone family defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1},\ p^2 \geq x^T x,\ p \geq 0 \right\}, \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

- **Rotated quadratic cone** - A cone in the second-order cone family defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2},\ 2pq \geq x^T x,\ p \geq 0,\ q \geq 0 \right\}, \text{ for } n \geq 3.$$

16.4.2 The Structure of CBF Files

This section defines how information is written in the CBF format, without being specific about the type of information being communicated.

All information items belong to exactly one of the three groups of information. These information groups, and the order they must appear in, are:

1. File format.
2. Problem structure.
3. Problem data.

The first group, file format, provides information on how to interpret the file. The second group, problem structure, provides the information needed to deduce the type and size of the problem instance. Finally, the third group, problem data, specifies the coefficients and constants of the problem instance.

Information items

The format is composed as a list of information items. The first line of an information item is the KEYWORD, revealing the type of information provided. The second line - of some keywords only - is the HEADER, typically revealing the size of information that follows. The remaining lines are the BODY, holding the actual information to be specified.

```
KEYWORD
BODY

KEYWORD
HEADER
BODY
```

The KEYWORD determines how each line in the HEADER and BODY is structured. Moreover, the number of lines in the BODY follows either from the KEYWORD, the HEADER, or from another information item required to precede it.

Embedded hotstart-sequences

A sequence of problem instances, based on the same problem structure, is within a single file. This is facilitated via the CHANGE within the problem data information group, as a separator between the information items of each instance. The information items following a CHANGE keyword are appending to, or changing (e.g., setting coefficients back to their default value of zero), the problem data of the preceding instance.

The sequence is intended for benchmarking of hotstart capability, where the solvers can reuse their internal state and solution (subject to the achieved accuracy) as warmpoint for the succeeding instance. Whenever this feature is unsupported or undesired, the keyword CHANGE should be interpreted as the end of file.

File encoding and line width restrictions

The format is based on the US-ASCII printable character set with two extensions as listed below. Note, by definition, that none of these extensions can be misinterpreted as printable US-ASCII characters:

- A line feed marks the end of a line, carriage returns are ignored.
- Comment-lines may contain unicode characters in UTF-8 encoding.

The line width is restricted to 512 bytes, with 3 bytes reserved for the potential carriage return, line feed and null-terminator.

Integers and floating point numbers must follow the ISO C decimal string representation in the standard C locale. The format does not impose restrictions on the magnitude of, or number of significant digits in numeric data, but the use of 64-bit integers and 64-bit IEEE 754 floating point numbers should be sufficient to avoid loss of precision.

Comment-line and whitespace rules

The format allows single-line comments respecting the following rule:

- Lines having first byte equal to '#' (US-ASCII 35) are comments, and should be ignored. Comments are only allowed between information items.

Given that a line is not a comment-line, whitespace characters should be handled according to the following rules:

- Leading and trailing whitespace characters should be ignored.
  - The seperator between multiple pieces of information on one line, is either one or more whitespace characters.
- Lines containing only whitespace characters are empty, and should be ignored. Empty lines are only allowed between information items.

16.4.3 Problem Specification

The problem structure

The problem structure defines the objective sense, whether it is minimization and maximization. It also defines the index sets, $\mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{J}^{PSD}$, $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{PSD}$, which are all numbered from zero, $\{0, 1, \ldots\}$, and empty until explicitly constructed.

- **Scalar variables** are constructed in vectors restricted to a conic domain, such as $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$, $(x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathcal{Q}^3$, etc. In terms of the Cartesian product, this generalizes to

$$x \in \mathcal{K}_1^{n_1} \times \mathcal{K}_2^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_k^{n_k}$$

  which in the CBF format becomes:

```
VAR
n k
K1 n1
K2 n2
...
Kk nk
```

  where $\sum_i n_i = n$ is the total number of scalar variables. The list of supported cones is found in Table 16.3. Integrality of scalar variables can be specified afterwards.

- **PSD variables** are constructed one-by-one. That is, $X_j \succeq \mathbf{0}^{n_j \times n_j}$ for $j \in \mathcal{J}^{PSD}$, constructs a matrix-valued variable of size $n_j \times n_j$ restricted to be symmetric positive semidefinite. In the CBF format, this list of constructions becomes:

```
PSDVAR
N
n1
n2
...
nN
```

  where N is the total number of PSD variables.

- **Scalar constraints** are constructed in vectors restricted to a conic domain, such as $(g_0, g_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$, $(g_2, g_3, g_4) \in \mathcal{Q}^3$, etc. In terms of the Cartesian product, this generalizes to

$$g \in \mathcal{K}_1^{m_1} \times \mathcal{K}_2^{m_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_k^{m_k}$$

  which in the CBF format becomes:

```
CON
m k
K1 m1
K2 m2
..
Kk mk
```

  where $\sum_i m_i = m$ is the total number of scalar constraints. The list of supported cones is found in Table 16.3.

- **PSD constraints** are constructed one-by-one. That is, $G_i \succeq \mathbf{0}^{m_i \times m_i}$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}^{PSD}$, constructs a matrix-valued affine expressions of size $m_i \times m_i$ restricted to be symmetric positive semidefinite. In the CBF format, this list of constructions becomes

```
PSDCON
M
m1
m2
..
mM
```

where M is the total number of PSD constraints.

With the objective sense, variables (with integer indications) and constraints, the definitions of the many affine expressions follow in problem data.

Problem data

The problem data defines the coefficients and constants of the affine expressions of the problem instance. These are considered zero until explicitly defined, implying that instances with no keywords from this information group are, in fact, valid. Duplicating or conflicting information is a failure to comply with the standard. Consequently, two coefficients written to the same position in a matrix (or to transposed positions in a symmetric matrix) is an error.

The affine expressions of the objective, g^{obj}, of the scalar constraints, g_i, and of the PSD constraints, G_i, are defined separately. The following notation uses the standard trace inner product for matrices, $\langle X, Y\rangle = \sum_{i,j} X_{ij}Y_{ij}$.

- The affine expression of the objective is defined as

$$g^{obj} = \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}^{PSD}} \langle F_j^{obj}, X_j\rangle + \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}} a_j^{obj} x_j + b^{obj},$$

  in terms of the symmetric matrices, F_j^{obj}, and scalars, a_j^{obj} and b^{obj}.

- The affine expressions of the scalar constraints are defined, for $i \in \mathcal{I}$, as

$$g_i = \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}^{PSD}} \langle F_{ij}, X_j\rangle + \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}} a_{ij} x_j + b_i,$$

  in terms of the symmetric matrices, F_{ij}, and scalars, a_{ij} and b_i.

- The affine expressions of the PSD constraints are defined, for $i \in \mathcal{I}^{PSD}$, as

$$G_i = \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}} x_j H_{ij} + D_i,$$

  in terms of the symmetric matrices, H_{ij} and D_i.

List of cones

The format uses an explicit syntax for symmetric positive semidefinite cones as shown above. For scalar variables and constraints, constructed in vectors, the supported conic domains and their minimum sizes are given as follows.

Table 16.3: Cones available in the CBF format

Name	CBF keyword	Cone family
Free domain	F	linear
Positive orthant	L+	linear
Negative orthant	L-	linear
Fixpoint zero	L=	linear
Quadratic cone	Q	second-order
Rotated quadratic cone	QR	second-order

16.4.4 File Format Keywords

VER

Description: The version of the Conic Benchmark Format used to write the file.

HEADER: None

BODY: One line formatted as:

```
INT
```

This is the version number.
Must appear exactly once in a file, as the first keyword.

OBJSENSE

Description: Define the objective sense.
HEADER: None
BODY: One line formatted as:

```
STR
```

having MIN indicates minimize, and MAX indicates maximize. Capital letters are required.
Must appear exactly once in a file.

PSDVAR

Description: Construct the PSD variables.
HEADER: One line formatted as:

```
INT
```

This is the number of PSD variables in the problem.
BODY: A list of lines formatted as:

```
INT
```

This indicates the number of rows (equal to the number of columns) in the matrix-valued PSD variable. The number of lines should match the number stated in the header.

VAR

Description: Construct the scalar variables.
HEADER: One line formatted as:

```
INT INT
```

This is the number of scalar variables, followed by the number of conic domains they are restricted to.
BODY: A list of lines formatted as:

```
STR INT
```

This indicates the cone name (see Table 16.3), and the number of scalar variables restricted to this cone. These numbers should add up to the number of scalar variables stated first in the header. The number of lines should match the second number stated in the header.

INT

Description: Declare integer requirements on a selected subset of scalar variables.
HEADER: One line formatted as:

```
INT
```

This is the number of integer scalar variables in the problem.
BODY: a list of lines formatted as:

```
INT
```

This indicates the scalar variable index $j \in \mathcal{J}$. The number of lines should match the number stated in the header.
Can only be used after the keyword VAR.

PSDCON

Description: Construct the PSD constraints.

HEADER: One line formatted as:

```
INT
```

This is the number of PSD constraints in the problem.

BODY: A list of lines formatted as:

```
INT
```

This indicates the number of rows (equal to the number of columns) in the matrix-valued affine expression of the PSD constraint. The number of lines should match the number stated in the header.

Can only be used after these keywords: PSDVAR, VAR.

CON

Description: Construct the scalar constraints.

HEADER: One line formatted as:

```
INT INT
```

This is the number of scalar constraints, followed by the number of conic domains they restrict to.

BODY: A list of lines formatted as:

```
STR INT
```

This indicates the cone name (see Table 16.3), and the number of affine expressions restricted to this cone. These numbers should add up to the number of scalar constraints stated first in the header. The number of lines should match the second number stated in the header.

Can only be used after these keywords: PSDVAR, VAR.

OBJFCOORD

Description: Input sparse coordinates (quadruplets) to define the symmetric matrices F_j^{obj}, as used in the objective.

HEADER: One line formatted as:

```
INT
```

This is the number of coordinates to be specified.

BODY: A list of lines formatted as:

```
INT INT INT REAL
```

This indicates the PSD variable index $j \in \mathcal{J}^{PSD}$, the row index, the column index and the coefficient value. The number of lines should match the number stated in the header.

OBJACOORD

Description: Input sparse coordinates (pairs) to define the scalars, a_j^{obj}, as used in the objective.

HEADER: One line formatted as:

```
INT
```

This is the number of coordinates to be specified.

BODY: A list of lines formatted as:

```
INT REAL
```

This indicates the scalar variable index $j \in \mathcal{J}$ and the coefficient value. The number of lines should match the number stated in the header.

This is the number of coordinates to be speci ed.
BODY: A list of lines formatted as

```
INT INT INT INT REAL
```

This indicates the PSD constraint index $i \in I^{PSD}$, the scalar variable index $j \in J$, the row index, the column index and the coe cient value. The number of lines should match the number stated in the header.

DCOORD

Description: Input sparse coordinates (quadruplets) to de ne the symmetric matrices, D_i, as used in the PSD constraints.
HEADER: One line formatted as

```
INT
```

This is the number of coordinates to be speci ed.
BODY: A list of lines formatted as:

```
INT INT INT REAL
```

This indicates the PSD constraint index $i \in I^{PSD}$, the row index, the column index and the coe cient value. The number of lines should match the number stated in the header.

CHANGE

Start of a new instance speci cation based on changes to the previous. Can be interpreted as the end of le when the hotstart-sequence is unsupported or undesired.
BODY: None
Header: None

16.4.5 CBF Format Examples

Minimal Working Example

The conic optimization problem (16.11) , has three variables in a quadratic cone - rst one is integer - and an a ne expression in domain 0 (equality constraint).

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & 5.1x_0 \\ \text{subject to} & 6.2x_1 + 7.3x_2 \quad 8.4 \in \{0\} \\ & x \in Q^3;\ x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{array} \tag{16.11}$$

Its formulation in the Conic Benchmark Format begins with the version of the CBF format used, to safeguard against later revisions.

```
VER
1
```

Next follows the problem structure, consisting of the objective sense, the number and domain of variables, the indices of integer variables, and the number and domain of scalar-valued a ne expressions (i.e., the equality constraint).

```
OBJSENSE
MIN

VAR
3 1
Q 3

INT
1
0
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
CON
1 1
L= 1
```

Finally follows the problem data, consisting of the coefficients of the objective, the coefficients of the constraints, and the constant terms of the constraints. All data is specified on a sparse coordinate form.

```
OBJACOORD
1
0 5.1

ACOORD
2
0 1 6.2
0 2 7.3

BCOORD
1
0 -8.4
```

This concludes the example.

Mixing Linear, Second-order and Semidefinite Cones

The conic optimization problem (16.12), has a semidefinite cone, a quadratic cone over unordered subindices, and two equality constraints.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{minimize} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, X_1 \right\rangle + x_1 & \\ \text{subject to} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, X_1 \right\rangle + x_1 & = \quad 1.0\,, \\ & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, X_1 \right\rangle + x_0 + x_2 & = \quad 0.5\,, \\ & x_1 \geq \sqrt{x_0^2 + x_2^2}\,, & \\ & X_1 \succeq \mathbf{0}\,. & \end{array} \tag{16.12}$$

The equality constraints are easily rewritten to the conic form, $(g_0, g_1) \in \{0\}^2$, by moving constants such that the right-hand-side becomes zero. The quadratic cone does not fit under the VARkeyword in this variable permutation. Instead, it takes a scalar constraint $(g_2, g_3, g_4) = (x_1, x_0, x_2) \in \mathcal{Q}^3$, with scalar variables constructed as $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Its formulation in the CBF format is reported in the following list

```
# File written using this version of the Conic Benchmark Format:
#     | Version 1.
VER
1

# The sense of the objective is:
#     | Minimize.
OBJSENSE
MIN

# One PSD variable of this size:
#     | Three times three.
PSDVAR
1
3
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Three scalar variables in this one conic domain:
#       | Three are free.
VAR
3 1
F 3

# Five scalar constraints with affine expressions in two conic domains:
#       | Two are fixed to zero.
#       | Three are in conic quadratic domain.
CON
5 2
L= 2
Q 3

# Five coordinates in F^{obj}_j coefficients:
#       | F^{obj}[0][0,0] = 2.0
#       | F^{obj}[0][1,0] = 1.0
#       | and more...
OBJFCOORD
5
0 0 0 2.0
0 1 0 1.0
0 1 1 2.0
0 2 1 1.0
0 2 2 2.0

# One coordinate in a^{obj}_j coefficients:
#       | a^{obj}[1] = 1.0
OBJACOORD
1
1 1.0

# Nine coordinates in F_ij coefficients:
#       | F[0,0][0,0] = 1.0
#       | F[0,0][1,1] = 1.0
#       | and more...
FCOORD
9
0 0 0 0 1.0
0 0 1 1 1.0
0 0 2 2 1.0
1 0 0 0 1.0
1 0 1 0 1.0
1 0 2 0 1.0
1 0 1 1 1.0
1 0 2 1 1.0
1 0 2 2 1.0

# Six coordinates in a_ij coefficients:
#       | a[0,1] = 1.0
#       | a[1,0] = 1.0
#       | and more...
ACOORD
6
0 1 1.0
1 0 1.0
1 2 1.0
2 1 1.0
3 0 1.0
4 2 1.0
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Two coordinates in b_i coefficients:
#     | b[0] = -1.0
#     | b[1] = -0.5
BCOORD
2
0 -1.0
1 -0.5
```

Mixing Semidefinite Variables and Linear Matrix Inequalities

The standard forms in semidefinite optimization are usually based either on semidefinite variables or linear matrix inequalities. In the CBF format, both forms are supported and can even be mixed as shown in.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{minimize} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, X_1 \right\rangle + x_1 + x_2 + 1 & \\ \text{subject to} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, X_1 \right\rangle - x_1 - x_2 & \geq \quad 0.0\,, \\ & x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \succeq \quad \mathbf{0}\,, \\ & X_1 \succeq \mathbf{0}\,. & \end{array} \tag{16.13}$$

Its formulation in the CBF format is written in what follows

```
# File written using this version of the Conic Benchmark Format:
#     | Version 1.
VER
1

# The sense of the objective is:
#     | Minimize.
OBJSENSE
MIN

# One PSD variable of this size:
#     | Two times two.
PSDVAR
1
2

# Two scalar variables in this one conic domain:
#     | Two are free.
VAR
2 1
F 2

# One PSD constraint of this size:
#     | Two times two.
PSDCON
1
2

# One scalar constraint with an affine expression in this one conic domain:
#     | One is greater than or equal to zero.
CON
1 1
L+ 1

# Two coordinates in F^{obj}_j coefficients:
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
#     | F^{obj}[0][0,0] = 1.0
#     | F^{obj}[0][1,1] = 1.0
OBJFCOORD
2
0 0 0 1.0
0 1 1 1.0

# Two coordinates in a^{obj}_j coefficients:
#     | a^{obj}[0] = 1.0
#     | a^{obj}[1] = 1.0
OBJACOORD
2
0 1.0
1 1.0

# One coordinate in b^{obj} coefficient:
#     | b^{obj} = 1.0
OBJBCOORD
1.0

# One coordinate in F_ij coefficients:
#     | F[0,0][1,0] = 1.0
FCOORD
1
0 0 1 0 1.0

# Two coordinates in a_ij coefficients:
#     | a[0,0] = -1.0
#     | a[0,1] = -1.0
ACOORD
2
0 0 -1.0
0 1 -1.0

# Four coordinates in H_ij coefficients:
#     | H[0,0][1,0] = 1.0
#     | H[0,0][1,1] = 3.0
#     | and more...
HCOORD
4
0 0 1 0 1.0
0 0 1 1 3.0
0 1 0 0 3.0
0 1 1 0 1.0

# Two coordinates in D_i coefficients:
#     | D[0][0,0] = -1.0
#     | D[0][1,1] = -1.0
DCOORD
2
0 0 0 -1.0
0 1 1 -1.0
```

Optimization Over a Sequence of Objectives

The linear optimization problem (16.14), is defined for a sequence of objectives such that hotstarting from one to the next might be advantages.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{maximize}_k & g_k^{obj} & \\ \text{subject to} & 50\,x_0 + 31 & \leq \quad 250\,, \\ & 3\,x_0 - 2x_1 & \geq \quad -4\,, \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+, & \end{array} \tag{16.14}$$

given,

1. $g_0^{obj} = x_0 + 0.64x_1$.
2. $g_1^{obj} = 1.11x_0 + 0.76x_1$.
3. $g_2^{obj} = 1.11x_0 + 0.85x_1$.

Its formulation in the CBF format is reported in Listing 16.5.

Listing 16.5: Problem (16.14) in CBF format.

```
# File written using this version of the Conic Benchmark Format:
#     | Version 1.
VER
1

# The sense of the objective is:
#     | Maximize.
OBJSENSE
MAX

# Two scalar variables in this one conic domain:
#     | Two are nonnegative.
VAR
2 1
L+ 2

# Two scalar constraints with affine expressions in these two conic domains:
#     | One is in the nonpositive domain.
#     | One is in the nonnegative domain.
CON
2 2
L- 1
L+ 1

# Two coordinates in a^{obj}_j coefficients:
#     | a^{obj}[0] = 1.0
#     | a^{obj}[1] = 0.64
OBJACOORD
2
0 1.0
1 0.64

# Four coordinates in a_ij coefficients:
#     | a[0,0] = 50.0
#     | a[1,0] = 3.0
#     | and more...
ACOORD
4
0 0 50.0
1 0 3.0
0 1 31.0
1 1 -2.0

# Two coordinates in b_i coefficients:
#     | b[0] = -250.0
#     | b[1] = 4.0
BCOORD
2
0 -250.0
1 4.0
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# New problem instance defined in terms of changes.
CHANGE

# Two coordinate changes in a^{obj}_j coefficients. Now it is:
#     | a^{obj}[0] = 1.11
#     | a^{obj}[1] = 0.76
OBJACOORD
2
0 1.11
1 0.76

# New problem instance defined in terms of changes.
CHANGE

# One coordinate change in a^{obj}_j coefficients. Now it is:
#     | a^{obj}[0] = 1.11
#     | a^{obj}[1] = 0.85
OBJACOORD
1
1 0.85
```

16.5 The PTF Format

The PTF format is a new human-readable, natural text format. Its features and structure are similar to the *OPF* format, with the difference that the PTF format **does** support semidefinite terms.

16.5.1 The overall format

The format is indentation based, where each section is started by a head line and followed by a section body with deeper indentation that the head line. For example:

```
Header line
   Body line 1
   Body line 1
   Body line 1
```

Section can also be nested:

```
Header line A
   Body line in A
   Header line A.1
      Body line in A.1
      Body line in A.1
   Body line in A
```

The indentation of blank lines is ignored, so a subsection can contain a blank line with no indentation. The character # defines a line comment and anything between the # character and the end of the line is ignored.

In a PTF file, the first section must be a Task section. The order of the remaining section is arbitrary, and sections may occur multiple times or not at all.

MOSEK will ignore any top-level section it does not recognize.

Names

In the description of the format we use following definitions for name strings:

```
NAME: PLAIN_NAME | QUOTED_NAME
PLAIN_NAME: [a-zA-Z_] [a-zA-Z0-9_-.!|]
QUOTED_NAME: "'" ( [^'\\\r\n] | "\\" ( [\\rn] | "x" [0-9a-fA-F] [0-9a-fA-F] ) )* "'"
```

Expressions

An expression is a sum of terms. A term is either a linear term (a coefficient and a variable name, where the coefficient can be left out if it is 1.0), or a matrix inner product.

An expression:

```
EXPR: EMPTY | [+-]? TERM ( [+-] TERM )*
TERM: LINEAR_TERM | MATRIX_TERM
```

A linear term

```
LINEAR_TERM: FLOAT? NAME
```

A matrix term

```
MATRIX_TERM: "<" FLOAT? NAME ( [+-] FLOAT? NAME)* ";" NAME ">"
```

Here the right-hand name is the name of a (semidefinite) matrix variable, and the left-hand side is a sum of symmetric matrixes. The actual matrixes are defined in a separate section.

Expressions can span multiple lines by giving subsequent lines a deeper indentation.

For example following two section are equivalent:

```
# Everything on one line:
x1 + x2 + x3 + x4

# Split into multiple lines:
x1
  + x2
  + x3
  + x4
```

16.5.2 `Task` section

The first section of the file must be a Task. The text in this section is not used and may contain comments, or meta-information from the writer or about the content.

Format:

```
Task NAME
   Anything goes here...
```

NAMEis a the task name.

16.5.3 `Objective` section

The Objective section defines the objective name, sense and function. The format:

```
"Objective" NAME?
   ( "Minimize" | "Maximize" ) EXPR
```

For example:

```
Objective 'obj'
   Minimize x1 + 0.2 x2 + < M1 ; X1 >
```

16.5.4 `Constraints` section

The constraints section defines a series of constraints. A constraint defines a term $A \cdot x + b \in K$. For linear constraints A is just one row, while for conic constraints it can be multiple rows. If a constraint spans multiple rows these can either be written inline separated by semi-colons, or each expression in a separete sub-section.

Simple linear constraints:

```
"Constraints"
  NAME? "[" [-+] (FLOAT | "Inf") (";" [-+] (FLOAT | "Inf") )? "]" EXPR
```

If the brackets contain two values, they are used as upper and lower bounds. It they contain one value the constraint is an equality.

For example:

```
Constraints
  'c1' [0;10] x1 + x2 + x3
  [0] x1 + x2 + x3
```

Constraint blocks put the expression either in a subsection or inline. The cone type (domain) is written in the brackets, and **MOSEK** currently supports following types:

- SOC(N) Second order cone of dimension N
- RSOC(N) Rotated second order cone of dimension N
- PSD(N) Symmetric positive semidefinite cone of dimension N. This contains N*(N+1)/2 elements.
- PEXP Primal exponential cone of dimension 3
- DEXP Dual exponential cone of dimension 3
- PPOW(N,P) Primal power cone of dimension N with parameter P
- DPOW(N,P) Dual power cone of dimension N with parameter P
- ZERO(N) The zero-cone of dimension N.

```
"Constraints"
  NAME? "[" DOMAIN "]" EXPR_LIST
```

For example:

```
Constraints
   'K1' [SOC(3)] x1 + x2 ; x2 + x3 ; x3 + x1
   'K2' [RSOC(3)]
      x1 + x2
      x2 + x3
      x3 + x1
```

16.5.5 Variables section

Any variable used in an expression must be defined in a variable section. The variable section defines each variable domain.

```
"Variables"
   NAME "[" [-+] (FLOAT | "Inf") (";" [-+] (FLOAT | "Inf") )? "]"
   NAME "[" DOMAIN "]" NAMES

   For example, a linear variable
```

```
Variables
   x1 [0;Inf]
```

As with constraints, members of a conic domain can be listed either inline or in a subsection:

```
Variables
   k1 [SOC(3)] x1 ; x2 ; x3
   k2 [RSOC(3)]
      x1
      x2
      x3
```

16.5.6 Integer section

This section contains a list of variables that are integral. For example:

```
Integer
    x1 x2 x3
```

16.5.7 SymmetricMatrixes section

This section de nes the symmetric matrixes used for matrix coe cients in matrix inner product terms. The section lists named matrixes, each with a size and a number of non-zeros. Only non-zeros in the lower triangular part should be de ned.

```
"SymmetricMatrixes"
    NAME "SYMMAT" "(" INT ")"  ( "(" INT "," INT "," FLOAT ")" )*
    ...
```

For example:

```
SymmetricMatrixes
    M1 SYMMAT(3) (0,0,1.0) (1,1,2.0) (2,1,0.5)
    M2 SYMMAT(3)
        (0,0,1.0)
        (1,1,2.0)
        (2,1,0.5)
```

16.5.8 Solutions section

Each subsection de nes a solution. A solution de nes for each constraint and for each variable exactly one primal value and either one (for conic domains) or two (for linear domains) dual values. The values follow the same logic as in the MOSEK C API. A primal and a dual solution status de nes the meaning of the values primal and dual (solution, certi cate, unknown, etc.)

The format is this:

```
"Solutions"
    "Solution" WHICHSOL
        "ProblemStatus" PROSTA PROSTA?
    "SolutionStatus" SOLSTA SOLSTA?
    "Objective" FLOAT FLOAT
    "Variables"
        # Linear variable status: level, slx, sux
        NAME "[" STATUS "]" FLOAT (FLOAT FLOAT)?
        # Conic variable status: level, snx
        NAME
            "[" STATUS "]" FLOAT FLOAT?
            ...
    "Constraints"
        # Linear variable status: level, slx, sux
        NAME "[" STATUS "]" FLOAT (FLOAT FLOAT)?
        # Conic variable status: level, snx
        NAME
            "[" STATUS "]" FLOAT FLOAT?
            ...
```

Following values for WHICHSOL are supported:

- interior Interior solution, the result of an interior-point solver.
- basic Basic solution, as produced by a simplex solver.
- integer Integer solution, the solution to a mixed-integer problem. This does not de ne a dual solution.

Following values for PROSTA are supported:

- unknown The problem status is unknown
- feasible The problem has been proven feasible
- infeasible The problem has been proven infeasible
- illposed The problem has been proved to be ill posed
- infeasible_or_unbounded The problem is infeasible or unbounded

Following values for SOLSTA are supported:

- unknown The solution status is unknown
- feasible The solution is feasible
- optimal The solution is optimal
- infeas_cert The solution is a certificate of infeasibility
- illposed_cert The solution is a certificate of illposedness

Following values for STATUS are supported:

- unknown The value is unknown
- super_basic The value is super basic
- at_lower The value is basic and at its lower bound
- at_upper The value is basic and at its upper bound
- fixed The value is basic fixed
- infinite The value is at infinity

16.6 The Task Format

The Task format is **MOSEK**'s native binary format. It contains a complete image of a **MOSEK** task, i.e.

- Problem data: Linear, conic, semidefinite and quadratic data
- Problem item names: Variable names, constraints names, cone names etc.
- Parameter settings
- Solutions

There are a few things to be aware of:

- Status of a solution read from a file will *always* be unknown.
- Parameter settings in a task file *always override* any parameters set on the command line or in a parameter file.

The format is based on the *TAR* (USTar) file format. This means that the individual pieces of data in a .task file can be examined by unpacking it as a *TAR* file. Please note that the inverse may not work: Creating a file using *TAR* will most probably not create a valid **MOSEK** Task file since the order of the entries is important.

(continued from previous page)


```
                "x4"
            ],
            "bk":[
                "lo",
                "ra",
                "lo",
                "lo"
            ],
            "bl":[
                0.0,
                0.0,
                0.0,
                0.0
            ],
            "bu":[
                1e+30,
                1e+1,
                1e+30,
                1e+30
            ],
            "type":[
                "cont",
                "cont",
                "cont",
                "cont"
            ]
        },
        "con":{
            "name":[
                "c1",
                "c2",
                "c3"
            ],
            "bk":[
                "fx",
                "lo",
                "up"
            ],
            "bl":[
                3e+1,
                1.5e+1,
                    -1e+30
            ],
            "bu":[
                3e+1,
                1e+30,
                2.5e+1
            ]
        },
        "objective":{
            "sense":"max",
            "name":"obj",
            "c":{
                "subj":[
                    0,
                    1,
                    2,
                    3
                ],
                "val":[
                    3e+0,
```


(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
                    1e+0,
                    5e+0,
                    1e+0
                ]
            },
            "cfix":0.0
        },
        "A":{
            "subi":[
                0,
                0,
                0,
                1,
                1,
                1,
                1,
                2,
                2
            ],
            "subj":[
                0,
                1,
                2,
                0,
                1,
                2,
                3,
                1,
                3
            ],
            "val":[
                3e+0,
                1e+0,
                2e+0,
                2e+0,
                1e+0,
                3e+0,
                1e+0,
                2e+0,
                3e+0
            ]
        }
    },
    "Task/parameters":{
        "iparam":{
            "ANA_SOL_BASIS":"ON",
            "ANA_SOL_PRINT_VIOLATED":"OFF",
            "AUTO_SORT_A_BEFORE_OPT":"OFF",
            "AUTO_UPDATE_SOL_INFO":"OFF",
            "BASIS_SOLVE_USE_PLUS_ONE":"OFF",
            "BI_CLEAN_OPTIMIZER":"OPTIMIZER_FREE",
            "BI_IGNORE_MAX_ITER":"OFF",
            "BI_IGNORE_NUM_ERROR":"OFF",
            "BI_MAX_ITERATIONS":1000000,
            "CACHE_LICENSE":"ON",
            "CHECK_CONVEXITY":"CHECK_CONVEXITY_FULL",
            "COMPRESS_STATFILE":"ON",
            "CONCURRENT_NUM_OPTIMIZERS":2,
            "CONCURRENT_PRIORITY_DUAL_SIMPLEX":2,
            "CONCURRENT_PRIORITY_FREE_SIMPLEX":3,
            "CONCURRENT_PRIORITY_INTPNT":4,
```


(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
"CONCURRENT_PRIORITY_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX":1,
"FEASREPAIR_OPTIMIZE":"FEASREPAIR_OPTIMIZE_NONE",
"INFEAS_GENERIC_NAMES":"OFF",
"INFEAS_PREFER_PRIMAL":"ON",
"INFEAS_REPORT_AUTO":"OFF",
"INFEAS_REPORT_LEVEL":1,
"INTPNT_BASIS":"BI_ALWAYS",
"INTPNT_DIFF_STEP":"ON",
"INTPNT_FACTOR_DEBUG_LVL":0,
"INTPNT_FACTOR_METHOD":0,
"INTPNT_HOTSTART":"INTPNT_HOTSTART_NONE",
"INTPNT_MAX_ITERATIONS":400,
"INTPNT_MAX_NUM_COR":-1,
"INTPNT_MAX_NUM_REFINEMENT_STEPS":-1,
"INTPNT_OFF_COL_TRH":40,
"INTPNT_ORDER_METHOD":"ORDER_METHOD_FREE",
"INTPNT_REGULARIZATION_USE":"ON",
"INTPNT_SCALING":"SCALING_FREE",
"INTPNT_SOLVE_FORM":"SOLVE_FREE",
"INTPNT_STARTING_POINT":"STARTING_POINT_FREE",
"LIC_TRH_EXPIRY_WRN":7,
"LICENSE_DEBUG":"OFF",
"LICENSE_PAUSE_TIME":0,
"LICENSE_SUPPRESS_EXPIRE_WRNS":"OFF",
"LICENSE_WAIT":"OFF",
"LOG":10,
"LOG_ANA_PRO":1,
"LOG_BI":4,
"LOG_BI_FREQ":2500,
"LOG_CHECK_CONVEXITY":0,
"LOG_CONCURRENT":1,
"LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT":1,
"LOG_EXPAND":0,
"LOG_FACTOR":1,
"LOG_FEAS_REPAIR":1,
"LOG_FILE":1,
"LOG_HEAD":1,
"LOG_INFEAS_ANA":1,
"LOG_INTPNT":4,
"LOG_MIO":4,
"LOG_MIO_FREQ":1000,
"LOG_OPTIMIZER":1,
"LOG_ORDER":1,
"LOG_PRESOLVE":1,
"LOG_RESPONSE":0,
"LOG_SENSITIVITY":1,
"LOG_SENSITIVITY_OPT":0,
"LOG_SIM":4,
"LOG_SIM_FREQ":1000,
"LOG_SIM_MINOR":1,
"LOG_STORAGE":1,
"MAX_NUM_WARNINGS":10,
"MIO_BRANCH_DIR":"BRANCH_DIR_FREE",
"MIO_CONSTRUCT_SOL":"OFF",
"MIO_CUT_CLIQUE":"ON",
"MIO_CUT_CMIR":"ON",
"MIO_CUT_GMI":"ON",
"MIO_CUT_KNAPSACK_COVER":"OFF",
"MIO_HEURISTIC_LEVEL":-1,
"MIO_MAX_NUM_BRANCHES":-1,
"MIO_MAX_NUM_RELAXS":-1,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
"MIO_MAX_NUM_SOLUTIONS":-1,
"MIO_MODE":"MIO_MODE_SATISFIED",
"MIO_MT_USER_CB":"ON",
"MIO_NODE_OPTIMIZER":"OPTIMIZER_FREE",
"MIO_NODE_SELECTION":"MIO_NODE_SELECTION_FREE",
"MIO_PERSPECTIVE_REFORMULATE":"ON",
"MIO_PROBING_LEVEL":-1,
"MIO_RINS_MAX_NODES":-1,
"MIO_ROOT_OPTIMIZER":"OPTIMIZER_FREE",
"MIO_ROOT_REPEAT_PRESOLVE_LEVEL":-1,
"MT_SPINCOUNT":0,
"NUM_THREADS":0,
"OPF_MAX_TERMS_PER_LINE":5,
"OPF_WRITE_HEADER":"ON",
"OPF_WRITE_HINTS":"ON",
"OPF_WRITE_PARAMETERS":"OFF",
"OPF_WRITE_PROBLEM":"ON",
"OPF_WRITE_SOL_BAS":"ON",
"OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITG":"ON",
"OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITR":"ON",
"OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS":"OFF",
"OPTIMIZER":"OPTIMIZER_FREE",
"PARAM_READ_CASE_NAME":"ON",
"PARAM_READ_IGN_ERROR":"OFF",
"PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_FILL":-1,
"PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_NUM_TRIES":-1,
"PRESOLVE_LEVEL":-1,
"PRESOLVE_LINDEP_ABS_WORK_TRH":100,
"PRESOLVE_LINDEP_REL_WORK_TRH":100,
"PRESOLVE_LINDEP_USE":"ON",
"PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_REDUCTIONS":-1,
"PRESOLVE_USE":"PRESOLVE_MODE_FREE",
"PRIMAL_REPAIR_OPTIMIZER":"OPTIMIZER_FREE",
"QO_SEPARABLE_REFORMULATION":"OFF",
"READ_DATA_COMPRESSED":"COMPRESS_FREE",
"READ_DATA_FORMAT":"DATA_FORMAT_EXTENSION",
"READ_DEBUG":"OFF",
"READ_KEEP_FREE_CON":"OFF",
"READ_LP_DROP_NEW_VARS_IN_BOU":"OFF",
"READ_LP_QUOTED_NAMES":"ON",
"READ_MPS_FORMAT":"MPS_FORMAT_FREE",
"READ_MPS_WIDTH":1024,
"READ_TASK_IGNORE_PARAM":"OFF",
"SENSITIVITY_ALL":"OFF",
"SENSITIVITY_OPTIMIZER":"OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX",
"SENSITIVITY_TYPE":"SENSITIVITY_TYPE_BASIS",
"SIM_BASIS_FACTOR_USE":"ON",
"SIM_DEGEN":"SIM_DEGEN_FREE",
"SIM_DUAL_CRASH":90,
"SIM_DUAL_PHASEONE_METHOD":0,
"SIM_DUAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION":50,
"SIM_DUAL_SELECTION":"SIM_SELECTION_FREE",
"SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC":"SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_OFF",
"SIM_HOTSTART":"SIM_HOTSTART_FREE",
"SIM_HOTSTART_LU":"ON",
"SIM_INTEGER":0,
"SIM_MAX_ITERATIONS":10000000,
"SIM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS":250,
"SIM_NON_SINGULAR":"ON",
"SIM_PRIMAL_CRASH":90,
"SIM_PRIMAL_PHASEONE_METHOD":0,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
            "DATA_TOL_QIJ":1e-16,
            "DATA_TOL_X":1e-8,
            "FEASREPAIR_TOL":1e-10,
            "INTPNT_CO_TOL_DFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_CO_TOL_INFEAS":1e-10,
            "INTPNT_CO_TOL_MU_RED":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_CO_TOL_NEAR_REL":1e+3,
            "INTPNT_CO_TOL_PFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_CO_TOL_REL_GAP":1e-7,
            "INTPNT_NL_MERIT_BAL":1e-4,
            "INTPNT_NL_TOL_DFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_NL_TOL_MU_RED":1e-12,
            "INTPNT_NL_TOL_NEAR_REL":1e+3,
            "INTPNT_NL_TOL_PFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_NL_TOL_REL_GAP":1e-6,
            "INTPNT_NL_TOL_REL_STEP":9.95e-1,
            "INTPNT_QO_TOL_DFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_QO_TOL_INFEAS":1e-10,
            "INTPNT_QO_TOL_MU_RED":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_QO_TOL_NEAR_REL":1e+3,
            "INTPNT_QO_TOL_PFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_QO_TOL_REL_GAP":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_TOL_DFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_TOL_DSAFE":1e+0,
            "INTPNT_TOL_INFEAS":1e-10,
            "INTPNT_TOL_MU_RED":1e-16,
            "INTPNT_TOL_PATH":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_TOL_PFEAS":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_TOL_PSAFE":1e+0,
            "INTPNT_TOL_REL_GAP":1e-8,
            "INTPNT_TOL_REL_STEP":9.999e-1,
            "INTPNT_TOL_STEP_SIZE":1e-6,
            "LOWER_OBJ_CUT":-1e+30,
            "LOWER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH":-5e+29,
            "MIO_DISABLE_TERM_TIME":-1e+0,
            "MIO_MAX_TIME":-1e+0,
            "MIO_MAX_TIME_APRX_OPT":6e+1,
            "MIO_NEAR_TOL_ABS_GAP":0.0,
            "MIO_NEAR_TOL_REL_GAP":1e-3,
            "MIO_REL_GAP_CONST":1e-10,
            "MIO_TOL_ABS_GAP":0.0,
            "MIO_TOL_ABS_RELAX_INT":1e-5,
            "MIO_TOL_FEAS":1e-6,
            "MIO_TOL_REL_DUAL_BOUND_IMPROVEMENT":0.0,
            "MIO_TOL_REL_GAP":1e-4,
            "MIO_TOL_X":1e-6,
            "OPTIMIZER_MAX_TIME":-1e+0,
            "PRESOLVE_TOL_ABS_LINDEP":1e-6,
            "PRESOLVE_TOL_AIJ":1e-12,
            "PRESOLVE_TOL_REL_LINDEP":1e-10,
            "PRESOLVE_TOL_S":1e-8,
            "PRESOLVE_TOL_X":1e-8,
            "QCQO_REFORMULATE_REL_DROP_TOL":1e-15,
            "SEMIDEFINITE_TOL_APPROX":1e-10,
            "SIM_LU_TOL_REL_PIV":1e-2,
            "SIMPLEX_ABS_TOL_PIV":1e-7,
            "UPPER_OBJ_CUT":1e+30,
            "UPPER_OBJ_CUT_FINITE_TRH":5e+29
        },
        "sparam":{
            "BAS_SOL_FILE_NAME":"",
```


(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
            "DATA_FILE_NAME":"examples/tools/data/lo1.mps",
            "DEBUG_FILE_NAME":"",
            "INT_SOL_FILE_NAME":"",
            "ITR_SOL_FILE_NAME":"",
            "MIO_DEBUG_STRING":"",
            "PARAM_COMMENT_SIGN":"%%",
            "PARAM_READ_FILE_NAME":"",
            "PARAM_WRITE_FILE_NAME":"",
            "READ_MPS_BOU_NAME":"",
            "READ_MPS_OBJ_NAME":"",
            "READ_MPS_RAN_NAME":"",
            "READ_MPS_RHS_NAME":"",
            "SENSITIVITY_FILE_NAME":"",
            "SENSITIVITY_RES_FILE_NAME":"",
            "SOL_FILTER_XC_LOW":"",
            "SOL_FILTER_XC_UPR":"",
            "SOL_FILTER_XX_LOW":"",
            "SOL_FILTER_XX_UPR":"",
            "STAT_FILE_NAME":"",
            "STAT_KEY":"",
            "STAT_NAME":"",
            "WRITE_LP_GEN_VAR_NAME":"XMSKGEN"
        }
    }
}
```

16.8 The Solution File Format

MOSEK provides several solution files depending on the problem type and the optimizer used:

- *basis solution file* (extension .bas) if the problem is optimized using the simplex optimizer or basis identification is performed,
- *interior solution file* (extension .sol) if a problem is optimized using the interior-point optimizer and no basis identification is required,
- *integer solution file* (extension .int) if the problem contains integer constrained variables.

All solution files have the format:

```
NAME                : <problem name>
PROBLEM STATUS      : <status of the problem>
SOLUTION STATUS     : <status of the solution>
OBJECTIVE NAME      : <name of the objective function>
PRIMAL OBJECTIVE    : <primal objective value corresponding to the solution>
DUAL OBJECTIVE      : <dual objective value corresponding to the solution>
CONSTRAINTS
INDEX  NAME     AT ACTIVITY    LOWER LIMIT   UPPER LIMIT   DUAL LOWER   DUAL UPPER
?      <name>   ?? <a value>   <a value>     <a value>     <a value>    <a value>
VARIABLES
INDEX  NAME     AT ACTIVITY    LOWER LIMIT   UPPER LIMIT   DUAL LOWER   DUAL UPPER    CONIC␣
↪DUAL
?      <name>   ?? <a value>   <a value>     <a value>     <a value>    <a value>     <a value>
```

In the example the fields ? and <> will be filled with problem and solution specific information. As can be observed a solution report consists of three sections, i.e.

- HEADER In this section, first the name of the problem is listed and afterwards the problem and solution status are shown. Next the primal and dual objective values are displayed.

- CONSTRAINTS: For each constraint i of the form

$$l_i^c \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \leq u_i^c, \tag{16.15}$$

  the following information is listed:
  - INDEX: A sequential index assigned to the constraint by **MOSEK**
  - NAME: The name of the constraint assigned by the user.
  - AT: The status of the constraint. In Table 16.4 the possible values of the status keys and their interpretation are shown.

Table 16.4: Status keys.

Status key	Interpretation
UN	Unknown status
BS	Is basic
SB	Is superbasic
LL	Is at the lower limit (bound)
UL	Is at the upper limit (bound)
EQ	Lower limit is identical to upper limit
**	Is infeasible i.e. the lower limit is greater than the upper limit.

  - ACTIVITY: the quantity $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j^*$, where x^* is the value of the primal solution.
  - LOWER LIMIT: The quantity l_i^c (see (16.15).)
  - UPPER LIMIT: The quantity u_i^c (see (16.15).)
  - DUAL LOWER: The dual multiplier corresponding to the lower limit on the constraint.
  - DUAL UPPER: The dual multiplier corresponding to the upper limit on the constraint.

- VARIABLES: The last section of the solution report lists information about the variables. This information has a similar interpretation as for the constraints. However, the column with the header CONIC DUAL is included for problems having one or more conic constraints. This column shows the dual variables corresponding to the conic constraints.

Example: lo1.sol

In Listing 16.7 we show the solution file for the lo1.opf problem.

Listing 16.7: An example of .sol file.

```
NAME                :
PROBLEM STATUS      : PRIMAL_AND_DUAL_FEASIBLE
SOLUTION STATUS     : OPTIMAL
OBJECTIVE NAME      : obj
PRIMAL OBJECTIVE    : 8.33333333e+01
DUAL OBJECTIVE      : 8.33333332e+01

CONSTRAINTS
INDEX      NAME           AT ACTIVITY                 LOWER LIMIT        UPPER LIMIT        ␣
↪DUAL LOWER               DUAL UPPER
0          c1             EQ 3.00000000000000e+01     3.00000000e+01     3.00000000e+01     -0.
↪00000000000000e+00     -2.49999999741653e+00
1          c2             SB 5.33333333049187e+01     1.50000000e+01     NONE               2.
↪09159033069640e-10      -0.00000000000000e+00
2          c3             UL 2.49999999842049e+01     NONE               2.50000000e+01     -0.
↪00000000000000e+00     -3.33333332895108e-01

VARIABLES
INDEX      NAME           AT ACTIVITY                 LOWER LIMIT        UPPER LIMIT        ␣
↪DUAL LOWER               DUAL UPPER
0          x1             LL 1.67020427038537e-09     0.00000000e+00     NONE               -4.
↪49999999528054e+00     -0.00000000000000e+00
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
1          x2             LL 2.93510446211883e-09     0.00000000e+00     1.00000000e+01     -2.
↪16666666494915e+00    6.20868657679896e-10
2          x3             SB 1.49999999899424e+01     0.00000000e+00     NONE               -8.
↪79123177245553e-10    -0.00000000000000e+00
3          x4             SB 8.33333332273115e+00     0.00000000e+00     NONE               -1.
↪69795978848200e-09    -0.00000000000000e+00
```

Chapter 17

List of examples

List of examples shipped in the distribution of Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB:

Table 17.1: List of distributed examples

File	Description
advs.m	Advanced simplex hot-start examples
affco1.m	A simple problem using affine conic constraints
affco2.m	A simple problem using affine conic constraints
callback.m	An example of data/progress callback
callback_handler.m	Log handler definition for callback.m
ceo1.m	A simple conic exponential problem
cqo1.m	A simple conic quadratic problem
feasrepairex1.m	A simple example of how to repair an infeasible problem
gp1.m	A simple geometric program (GP) in conic form
lo1.m	A simple linear problem using msklpopt
lo2.m	A simple linear problem using mosekopt
lo3.m	A simple linear problem using linprog
mico1.m	A simple mixed-integer conic problem
milo1.m	A simple mixed-integer linear problem
mioinitsol.m	A simple mixed-integer linear problem with an initial guess
normex.m	Demonstrates least squares and other norm minimization problems
parameters.m	Shows how to set optimizer parameters and read information items
portfolio_1_basic.m	Portfolio optimization - basic Markowitz model
portfolio_2_frontier.m	Portfolio optimization - efficient frontier
portfolio_3_impact.m	Portfolio optimization - market impact costs
portfolio_4_transcost.m	Portfolio optimization - transaction costs
portfolio_5_card.m	Portfolio optimization - cardinality constraints
pow1.m	A simple power cone problem
qcqo1.m	A simple quadratically constrained quadratic problem
qo1.m	A simple quadratic problem
qo2.m	A simple quadratic problem
reoptimization.m	Demonstrate how to modify and re-optimize a linear problem
response.m	Demonstrates proper response handling
rlo1.m	Robust linear optimization example, part 1

Continued on next page

Table 17.1 – continued from previous page

File	Description
rlo2.m	Robust linear optimization example, part 2
sdo1.m	A simple semidefinite optimization problem
sensitivity.m	Sensitivity analysis performed on a small linear problem
sensitivity2.m	Sensitivity analysis performed on a small linear problem
simple.m	A simple I/O example: read problem from a file, solve and write solutions
solutionquality.m	Demonstrates how to examine the quality of a solution

Additional examples can be found on the **MOSEK** website and in other **MOSEK** publications.

Chapter 18

Interface changes

The section shows interface-specific changes to the **MOSEK** Optimization Toolbox for MATLAB in version 9.1 compared to version 8. See the release notes for general changes and new features of the **MOSEK** Optimization Suite.

18.1 Backwards compatibility

- **Parameters.** Users who set parameters to tune the performance and numerical properties of the solver (termination criteria, tolerances, solving primal or dual, presolve etc.) are recommended to reevaluate such tuning. It may be that other, or default, parameter settings will be more beneficial in the current version. The hints in Sec. 8 may be useful for some cases.
- Remove all Near solution statuses i.e. MSK_SOL_STA_NEAR_OPTIMAL, MSK_SOL_STA_NEAR_PRIM_INFEASIBLE, etc. See Sec. 13.3.3.
- All functions related to the general nonlinear optimizer and Scopt have been removed. See Sec. 15.8.

18.2 New API

Introduced a possibility to specify *affine conic constraints* i.e. conic constraints of the form $Fx + g \in K$ directly. See Sec. 6.7 and Sec. 12.5 for details.

18.3 Parameters

Added

- *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_ORDER_GP_NUM_SEEDS*
- *MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_PURIFY*
- *MSK_IPAR_LOG_INCLUDE_SUMMARY*
- *MSK_IPAR_LOG_LOCAL_INFO*
- *MSK_IPAR_MIO_CONIC_OUTER_APPROXIMATION*
- *MSK_IPAR_MIO_FEASPUMP_LEVEL*
- *MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_ROOT_CUT_ROUNDS*
- *MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROPAGATE_OBJECTIVE_CONSTRAINT*
- *MSK_IPAR_MIO_SEED*
- *MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_LINE_LENGTH*

- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMBIN"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMBINCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONE"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONT"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONTCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMDEXPCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMDPOWCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMINTCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMPEXPCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMPPOWCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMQCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMRQCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMBINCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONE"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONTCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMDEXPCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMDPOWCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMINTCONEVAR"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMPEXPCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMPPOWCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMQCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMRQCONES"*
- *"MSK_IINF_PURIFY_DUAL_SUCCESS"*
- *"MSK_IINF_PURIFY_PRIMAL_SUCCESS"*
- *"MSK_LIINF_MIO_ANZ"*

Removed

- MSK_DATAFORMAT_XML
- MSK_DINFITEM_MIO_HEURISTIC_TIME
- MSK_DINFITEM_MIO_OPTIMIZER_TIME
- MSK_IINFITEM_MIO_CONSTRUCT_NUM_ROUNDINGS
- MSK_IINFITEM_MIO_INITIAL_SOLUTION

- MSK_IINFITEM_MIO_NEAR_ABSGAP_SATISFIED
- MSK_IINFITEM_MIO_NEAR_RELGAP_SATISFIED
- MSK_LIINFITEM_MIO_SIM_MAXITER_SETBACKS
- MSK_MIONODESELTYPE_HYBRID
- MSK_MIONODESELTYPE_WORST
- MSK_PROBLEMTYPE_GECO
- MSK_PROSTA_NEAR_DUAL_FEAS
- MSK_PROSTA_NEAR_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS
- MSK_PROSTA_NEAR_PRIM_FEAS
- MSK_SENSITIVITYTYPE_OPTIMAL_PARTITION
- MSK_SOLSTA_NEAR_DUAL_FEAS
- MSK_SOLSTA_NEAR_DUAL_INFEAS_CER
- MSK_SOLSTA_NEAR_INTEGER_OPTIMAL
- MSK_SOLSTA_NEAR_OPTIMAL
- MSK_SOLSTA_NEAR_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS
- MSK_SOLSTA_NEAR_PRIM_FEAS
- MSK_SOLSTA_NEAR_PRIM_INFEAS_CER

18.5 Response Codes

Added

- *"MSK_RES_ERR_APPENDING_TOO_BIG_CONE"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_POW_CONES"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_POW_STAR_CONES"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_DIMENSION_OF_CONES"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_EXP_DIMENSION"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_NUMBER_OF_CONES"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER_CONE_INDEX"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER_STAR_CONE_INDEX"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_CONE_IS_TOO_LONG"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_CONE_MISMATCH"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_STAR_CONE_MISMATCH"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNHANDLED_POWER_CONE_TYPE"*
- *"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNHANDLED_POWER_STAR_CONE_TYPE"*

- "MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_PARAMETER"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_FORMAT_STRING"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_CFIX"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_FREE_CONSTRAINTS"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_NONLINEAR"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_RANGED_CONSTRAINTS"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_NUM_ARGUMENTS"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_PTF_FORMAT"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_SHAPE_IS_TOO_LARGE"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_SLICE_SIZE"
- "MSK_RES_ERR_TOO_SMALL_A_TRUNCATION_VALUE"
- "MSK_RES_WRN_EXP_CONES_WITH_VARIABLES_FIXED_AT_ZERO"
- "MSK_RES_WRN_POW_CONES_WITH_ROOT_FIXED_AT_ZERO"

Removed

- MSK_RES_ERR_CANNOT_CLONE_NL
- MSK_RES_ERR_CANNOT_HANDLE_NL
- MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_ACCMODE
- MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_GENERAL_NL
- MSK_RES_ERR_NONLINEAR_FUNCTIONS_NOT_ALLOWED
- MSK_RES_ERR_NR_ARGUMENTS
- MSK_RES_ERR_OPEN_DL
- MSK_RES_ERR_USER_FUNC_RET
- MSK_RES_ERR_USER_FUNC_RET_DATA
- MSK_RES_ERR_USER_NLO_EVAL
- MSK_RES_ERR_USER_NLO_EVAL_HESSUBI
- MSK_RES_ERR_USER_NLO_EVAL_HESSUBJ
- MSK_RES_ERR_USER_NLO_FUNC
- MSK_RES_TRM_MIO_NEAR_ABS_GAP
- MSK_RES_TRM_MIO_NEAR_REL_GAP
- MSK_RES_WRN_CONSTRUCT_INVALID_SOL_ITG
- MSK_RES_WRN_CONSTRUCT_NO_SOL_ITG
- MSK_RES_WRN_CONSTRUCT_SOLUTION_INFEAS
- MSK_RES_WRN_NO_NONLINEAR_FUNCTION_WRITE

Bibliography

[AA95] E. D. Andersen and K. D. Andersen. Presolving in linear programming. *Math. Programming*, 71(2):221–245, 1995.

[AGMX96] E. D. Andersen, J. Gondzio, Cs. Mészáros, and X. Xu. Implementation of interior point methods for large scale linear programming. In T. Terlaky, editor, *Interior-point methods of mathematical programming*, pages 189–252. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.

[ART03] E. D. Andersen, C. Roos, and T. Terlaky. On implementing a primal-dual interior-point method for conic quadratic optimization. *Math. Programming*, February 2003.

[AY96] E. D. Andersen and Y. Ye. Combining interior-point and pivoting algorithms. *Management Sci.*, 42(12):1719–1731, December 1996.

[And09] Erling D. Andersen. The homogeneous and self-dual model and algorithm for linear optimization. Technical Report TR-1-2009, MOSEK ApS, 2009. URL: http://docs.mosek.com/whitepapers/homolo.pdf.

[And13] Erling D. Andersen. On formulating quadratic functions in optimization models. Technical Report TR-1-2013, MOSEK ApS, 2013. Last revised 23-feb-2016. URL: http://docs.mosek.com/whitepapers/qmodel.pdf.

[BTN00] A. Ben-Tal and A. Nemirovski. Robust solutions of linear programming problems contaminated with uncertain data. *Math. Programming*, 88(3):411–424, 2000.

[BKVH07] S. Boyd, S.J. Kim, L. Vandenberghe, and A. Hassibi. A Tutorial on Geometric Programming. *Optimization and Engineering*, 8(1):67–127, 2007. Available at http://www.stanford.edu/~boyd/gp_tutorial.html.

[Chv83] V. Chvátal. *Linear programming*. W.H. Freeman and Company, 1983.

[GK00] Richard C. Grinold and Ronald N. Kahn. *Active portfolio management*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 2 edition, 2000.

[Naz87] J. L. Nazareth. *Computer Solution of Linear Programs*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.

[RTV97] C. Roos, T. Terlaky, and J. -Ph. Vial. *Theory and algorithms for linear optimization: an interior point approach*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1997.

[Wal00] S. W. Wallace. Decision making under uncertainty: is sensitivity of any use. *Oper. Res.*, 48(1):20–25, January 2000.

[Wol98] L. A. Wolsey. *Integer programming*. John Wiley and Sons, 1998.

[BenTalN01] A. Ben-Tal and A. Nemirovski. *Lectures on Modern Convex Optimization: Analysis, Algorithms, and Engineering Applications*. MPS/SIAM Series on Optimization. SIAM, 2001.

Symbol Index

Enumerations

basindtype , 222
"MSK_BI_RESERVERED", 222
"MSK_BI_NO_ERROR", 222
"MSK_BI_NEVER", 222
"MSK_BI_IF_FEASIBLE", 222
"MSK_BI_ALWAYS", 222
boundkey, 222
"MSK_BK_UP", 222
"MSK_BK_RA", 222
"MSK_BK_LO", 222
"MSK_BK_FX", 222
"MSK_BK_FR", 222
branchdir , 239
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_UP", 240
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_ROOT_LP", 240
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_PSEUDOCOST", 240
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_NEAR", 240
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_GUIDED", 240
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_FREE", 240
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_FAR", 240
"MSK_BRANCH_DIR_DOWN", 240
callbackcode , 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_WRITE_OPF", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX_BI", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRIMAL_BI", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_PRESOLVE", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_DUAL_SIMPLEX_BI", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_DUAL_SIMPLEX", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_UPDATE_DUAL_BI", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_SOLVING_REMOTE", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_READ_OPF_SECTION", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_READ_OPF", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_NEW_INT_MIO", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_INTPNT", 228
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_SIMPLEX_BI", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_SIMPLEX", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_ROOT_CUTGEN", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_READ", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_QO_REFORMULATE", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRIMAL_SENSITIVITY", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRIMAL_BI", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_PRESOLVE", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_ORDER", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO_INTPNT", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO_DUAL_SIMPLEX", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_MIO", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_LU", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_LICENSE_WAIT", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_INTPNT", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_FULL_CONVEXITY_CHECK", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_DUAL_SIMPLEX", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_DUAL_SENSITIVITY", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_DUAL_BI", 227
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_CONIC", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_IM_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_WRITE", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_TO_CONIC", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_SIMPLEX_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_SIMPLEX", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_ROOT_CUTGEN", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_READ", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_QCQO_REFORMULATE", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SETUP_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_SENSITIVITY", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_REPAIR", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRIMAL_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_PRESOLVE", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_OPTIMIZER", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_MIO", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_LICENSE_WAIT", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_INTPNT", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_INFEAS_ANA", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_FULL_CONVEXITY_CHECK", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SIMPLEX_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SIMPLEX", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SETUP_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_SENSITIVITY", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_DUAL_BI", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_CONIC", 226
"MSK_CALLBACK_END_BI", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_DUAL_SIMPLEX", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_CONIC", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_WRITE", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_TO_CONIC", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_SIMPLEX_BI", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_SIMPLEX", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_ROOT_CUTGEN", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_READ", 225

"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_QCQO_REFORMULATE", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX_BI", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SETUP_BI", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_SENSITIVITY", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_REPAIR", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRIMAL_BI", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_PRESOLVE", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_OPTIMIZER", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_MIO", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_LICENSE_WAIT", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_INTPNT", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_INFEAS_ANA", 225
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_FULL_CONVEXITY_CHECK", 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SIMPLEX_BI", 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SIMPLEX", 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SETUP_BI", 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_SENSITIVITY", 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_DUAL_BI", 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_CONIC", 224
"MSK_CALLBACK_BEGIN_BI", 224
checkconvexitytype , 228
"MSK_CHECK_CONVEXITY_SIMPLE", 228
"MSK_CHECK_CONVEXITY_NONE", 228
"MSK_CHECK_CONVEXITY_FULL", 228
compresstype, 228
"MSK_COMPRESS_ZSTD", 229
"MSK_COMPRESS_NONE", 229
"MSK_COMPRESS_GZIP", 229
"MSK_COMPRESS_FREE", 229
conetype, 229
"MSK_CT_ZERO", 229
"MSK_CT_RQUAD", 229
"MSK_CT_QUAD", 229
"MSK_CT_PPOW", 229
"MSK_CT_PEXP", 229
"MSK_CT_DPOW", 229
"MSK_CT_DEXP", 229
dataformat , 229
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_TASK", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_PTF", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_OPF", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_MPS", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_LP", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_JSON_TASK", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_FREE_MPS", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_EXTENSION", 230
"MSK_DATA_FORMAT_CB", 230
dinfitem , 230
"MSK_DINF_TO_CONIC_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLVAR", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLCONES", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLCON", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PVIOLBARVAR", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_PRIMAL_OBJ", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_X", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_XX", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_XC", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SUX", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SUC", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SLX", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_SLC", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_BARX", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_NRM_BARS", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLVAR", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLCONES", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLCON", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DVIOLBARVAR", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITR_DUAL_OBJ", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLVAR", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLITG", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLCONES", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLCON", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PVIOLBARVAR", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_PRIMAL_OBJ", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_NRM_X", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_NRM_XX", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_NRM_XC", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_ITG_NRM_BARX", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_PVIOLVAR", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_PVIOLCON", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_PRIMAL_OBJ", 231
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_X", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_XX", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_XC", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SUX", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SUC", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SLX", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_SLC", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_NRM_BARX", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_DVIOLVAR", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_DVIOLCON", 232
"MSK_DINF_SOL_BAS_DUAL_OBJ", 232
"MSK_DINF_SIM_TIME", 232
"MSK_DINF_SIM_PRIMAL_TIME", 232
"MSK_DINF_SIM_OBJ", 232
"MSK_DINF_SIM_FEAS", 232
"MSK_DINF_SIM_DUAL_TIME", 232
"MSK_DINF_RD_TIME", 232
"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_WORST_CHOLESKY_DIA
232
"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_WORST_CHOLESKY_CO
232
"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_QCQO_REFORMULATE_MAX_PERTURBATION"
232
"MSK_DINF_PRIMAL_REPAIR_PENALTY_OBJ", 232
"MSK_DINF_PRESOLVE_TIME", 232
"MSK_DINF_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_TIME", 232
"MSK_DINF_PRESOLVE_ELI_TIME", 232
"MSK_DINF_OPTIMIZER_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_USER_OBJ_CUT", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_ROOT_PRESOLVE_TIME", 231

"MSK_DINF_MIO_ROOT_OPTIMIZER_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_ROOT_CUTGEN_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_PROBING_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_REL_GAP", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_INT", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_BOUND", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_OBJ_ABS_GAP", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_KNAPSACK_COVER_SEPARATION_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_IMPLIED_BOUND_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_GMI_SEPARATION_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_DUAL_BOUND_AFTER_PRESOLVE", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_CONSTRUCT_SOLUTION_OBJ", 230
"MSK_DINF_MIO_CMIR_SEPARATION_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_MIO_CLIQUE_SEPARATION_TIME", 231
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_TIME", 230
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_PRIMAL_OBJ", 230
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_PRIMAL_FEAS", 230
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_ORDER_TIME", 230
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_OPT_STATUS", 230
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_FACTOR_NUM_FLOPS", 230
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_DUAL_OBJ", 230
"MSK_DINF_INTPNT_DUAL_FEAS", 230
"MSK_DINF_BI_TIME", 230
"MSK_DINF_BI_PRIMAL_TIME", 230
"MSK_DINF_BI_DUAL_TIME", 230
"MSK_DINF_BI_CLEAN_TIME", 230
"MSK_DINF_BI_CLEAN_PRIMAL_TIME", 230
"MSK_DINF_BI_CLEAN_DUAL_TIME", 230
dparam, 165
feature, 234
"MSK_FEATURE_PTS", 234
"MSK_FEATURE_PTON", 234
iinfitem, 235
"MSK_IINF_STO_NUM_A_REALLOC", 239
"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITR_SOLSTA", 239
"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITR_PROSTA", 239
"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITG_SOLSTA", 239
"MSK_IINF_SOL_ITG_PROSTA", 239
"MSK_IINF_SOL_BAS_SOLSTA", 239
"MSK_IINF_SOL_BAS_PROSTA", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_SOLVE_DUAL", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_ITER", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_INF_ITER", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_HOTSTART_LU", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_HOTSTART", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_PRIMAL_DEG_ITER", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_NUMVAR", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_NUMCON", 239
"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_ITER", 238
"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_INF_ITER", 238
"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_HOTSTART_LU", 238
"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_HOTSTART", 238
"MSK_IINF_SIM_DUAL_DEG_ITER", 238
"MSK_IINF_RD_PROTYPE", 238
"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMVAR", 238
"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMQ", 238
"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMINTVAR", 238
"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMCONE", 238
"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMCON", 238
"MSK_IINF_RD_NUMBARVAR", 238
"MSK_IINF_PURIFY_PRIMAL_SUCCESS", 238
"MSK_IINF_PURIFY_DUAL_SUCCESS", 238
"MSK_IINF_OPTIMIZE_RESPONSE", 238
"MSK_IINF_OPT_NUMVAR", 238
"MSK_IINF_OPT_NUMCON", 238
"MSK_IINF_MIO_USER_OBJ_CUTS", 238
"MSK_IINF_MIO_TOTAL_NUM_CUTS", 238
"MSK_IINF_MIO_RELGAP_SATISFIED", 238
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMRQCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMQCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMPPOWCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMPEXPCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMINTCONEVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMINT", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMDPOWCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMDEXPCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONTCONEVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONT", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONEVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCONE", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMCON", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMBINCONEVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_NUMBIN", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_OBJ_BOUND_DEFINED", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMRQCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMQCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMPPOWCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMPEXPCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMINTCONEVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMINT", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMDPOWCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMDEXPCONES", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONTCONEVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONT", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONEVAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCONE", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMCON", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMBINCONEVAR", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUMBIN", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_REPEATED_PRESOLVE", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_RELAX", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_KNAPSACK_COVER_CUTS", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_INT_SOLUTIONS", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_IMPLIED_BOUND_CUTS", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_GOMORY_CUTS", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_CMIR_CUTS", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_CLIQUE_CUTS", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_BRANCH", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NUM_ACTIVE_NODES", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_NODE_DEPTH", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_CONSTRUCT_SOLUTION", 236

"MSK_IINF_MIO_CLIQUE_TABLE_SIZE", 236
"MSK_IINF_MIO_ABSGAP_SATISFIED", 236
"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_SOLVE_DUAL", 236
"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_NUM_THREADS", 236
"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_ITER", 236
"MSK_IINF_INTPNT_FACTOR_DIM_DENSE", 236
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_UP", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_RA", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_LO", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_INT", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_FR", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_EQ", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_CONT", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR_BIN", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_VAR", 237
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_CON_UP", 238
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_CON_RA", 238
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_CON_LO", 238
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_CON_FR", 238
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_CON_EQ", 238
"MSK_IINF_ANA_PRO_NUM_CON", 238
inftype , 239
"MSK_INF_LINT_TYPE", 239
"MSK_INF_INT_TYPE", 239
"MSK_INF_DOU_TYPE", 239
intpnthotstart , 224
"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_PRIMAL_DUAL", 224
"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_PRIMAL", 224
"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_NONE", 224
"MSK_INTPNT_HOTSTART_DUAL", 224
iomode, 239
"MSK_IOMODE_WRITE", 239
"MSK_IOMODE_READWRITE", 239
"MSK_IOMODE_READ", 239
iparam, 174
liinfitem , 234
"MSK_LIINF_RD_NUMQNZ", 235
"MSK_LIINF_RD_NUMANZ", 235
"MSK_LIINF_MIO_SIMPLEX_ITER", 235
"MSK_LIINF_MIO_PRESOLVED_ANZ", 235
"MSK_LIINF_MIO_INTPNT_ITER", 235
"MSK_LIINF_MIO_ANZ", 235
"MSK_LIINF_INTPNT_FACTOR_NUM_NZ", 235
"MSK_LIINF_BI_PRIMAL_ITER", 235
"MSK_LIINF_BI_DUAL_ITER", 234
"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_PRIMAL_ITER", 234
"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_PRIMAL_DEG_ITER", 234
"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_DUAL_ITER", 234
"MSK_LIINF_BI_CLEAN_DUAL_DEG_ITER", 234
mark, 222
"MSK_MARK_UP", 223
"MSK_MARK_LO", 223
miocontsoltype , 240
"MSK_MIO_CONT_SOL_ROOT", 240
"MSK_MIO_CONT_SOL_NONE", 240
"MSK_MIO_CONT_SOL_ITG_REL", 240
"MSK_MIO_CONT_SOL_ITG", 240
miomode, 240
"MSK_MIO_MODE_SATISFIED", 240
"MSK_MIO_MODE_IGNORED", 240
mionodeseltype , 240
"MSK_MIO_NODE_SELECTION_PSEUDO", 240
"MSK_MIO_NODE_SELECTION_FREE", 240
"MSK_MIO_NODE_SELECTION_FIRST", 240
"MSK_MIO_NODE_SELECTION_BEST", 240
mpsformat, 240
"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_STRICT", 241
"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_RELAXED", 241
"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_FREE", 241
"MSK_MPS_FORMAT_CPLEX", 241
nametype, 229
"MSK_NAME_TYPE_MPS", 229
"MSK_NAME_TYPE_LP", 229
"MSK_NAME_TYPE_GEN", 229
objsense , 241
"MSK_OBJECTIVE_SENSE_MINIMIZE", 241
"MSK_OBJECTIVE_SENSE_MAXIMIZE", 241
onoffkey , 241
"MSK_ON", 241
"MSK_OFF", 241
optimizertype , 241
"MSK_OPTIMIZER_PRIMAL_SIMPLEX", 241
"MSK_OPTIMIZER_MIXED_INT", 241
"MSK_OPTIMIZER_INTPNT", 241
"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE_SIMPLEX", 241
"MSK_OPTIMIZER_FREE", 241
"MSK_OPTIMIZER_DUAL_SIMPLEX", 241
"MSK_OPTIMIZER_CONIC", 241
orderingtype , 241
"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_TRY_GRAPHPAR", 241
"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_NONE", 241
"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_FREE", 241
"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_FORCE_GRAPHPAR", 241
"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_EXPERIMENTAL", 241
"MSK_ORDER_METHOD_APPMINLOC", 241
parametertype , 242
"MSK_PAR_STR_TYPE", 242
"MSK_PAR_INVALID_TYPE", 242
"MSK_PAR_INT_TYPE", 242
"MSK_PAR_DOU_TYPE", 242
presolvemode, 242
"MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_ON", 242
"MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_OFF", 242
"MSK_PRESOLVE_MODE_FREE", 242
problemitem , 242
"MSK_PI_VAR", 242
"MSK_PI_CONE", 242
"MSK_PI_CON", 242
problemtype , 242
"MSK_PROBTYPE_QO", 242
"MSK_PROBTYPE_QCQO", 242
"MSK_PROBTYPE_MIXED", 242
"MSK_PROBTYPE_LO", 242
"MSK_PROBTYPE_CONIC", 242
prosta , 242
"MSK_PRO_STA_UNKNOWN", 242

"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_INFEAS_OR_UNBOUNDED", 243
"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_INFEAS", 242
"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_FEAS", 242
"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_INFEAS", 242
"MSK_PRO_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS", 242
"MSK_PRO_STA_ILL_POSED", 243
"MSK_PRO_STA_DUAL_INFEAS", 242
"MSK_PRO_STA_DUAL_FEAS", 242
purify , 224
"MSK_PURIFY_PRIMAL_DUAL", 224
"MSK_PURIFY_PRIMAL", 224
"MSK_PURIFY_NONE", 224
"MSK_PURIFY_DUAL", 224
"MSK_PURIFY_AUTO", 224
rescode , 204
rescodetype , 243
"MSK_RESPONSE_WRN", 243
"MSK_RESPONSE_UNK", 243
"MSK_RESPONSE_TRM", 243
"MSK_RESPONSE_OK", 243
"MSK_RESPONSE_ERR", 243
scalingmethod , 243
"MSK_SCALING_METHOD_POW2", 243
"MSK_SCALING_METHOD_FREE", 243
scalingtype , 243
"MSK_SCALING_NONE", 243
"MSK_SCALING_MODERATE", 243
"MSK_SCALING_FREE", 243
"MSK_SCALING_AGGRESSIVE", 243
scopr , 229
"MSK_OPR_SQRT", 229
"MSK_OPR_POW", 229
"MSK_OPR_LOG", 229
"MSK_OPR_EXP", 229
"MSK_OPR_ENT", 229
sensitivitytype , 243
"MSK_SENSITIVITY_TYPE_BASIC", 243
simdegen , 223
"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_NONE", 223
"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_MODERATE", 223
"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_MINIMUM", 223
"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_FREE", 223
"MSK_SIM_DEGEN_AGGRESSIVE", 223
simdupvec , 223
"MSK_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_ON", 223
"MSK_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_OFF", 223
"MSK_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC_FREE", 223
simhotstart , 223
"MSK_SIM_HOTSTART_STATUS_KEYS", 223
"MSK_SIM_HOTSTART_NONE", 223
"MSK_SIM_HOTSTART_FREE", 223
simreform , 223
"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_ON", 223
"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_OFF", 223
"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_FREE", 223
"MSK_SIM_REFORMULATION_AGGRESSIVE", 223
simseltype , 243
"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_SE", 244
"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_PARTIAL", 244
"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_FULL", 243
"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_FREE", 243
"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_DEVEX", 244
"MSK_SIM_SELECTION_ASE", 244
solitem , 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_Y", 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_XX", 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_XC", 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SUX", 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SUC", 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SNX", 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SLX", 244
"MSK_SOL_ITEM_SLC", 244
solsta , 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_UNKNOWN", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_INFEAS_CER", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_ILLPOSED_CER", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_FEAS", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_PRIM_AND_DUAL_FEAS", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_OPTIMAL", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_INTEGER_OPTIMAL", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_INFEAS_CER", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_ILLPOSED_CER", 244
"MSK_SOL_STA_DUAL_FEAS", 244
soltype , 245
"MSK_SOL_ITR", 245
"MSK_SOL_ITG", 245
"MSK_SOL_BAS", 245
solveform , 245
"MSK_SOLVE_PRIMAL", 245
"MSK_SOLVE_FREE", 245
"MSK_SOLVE_DUAL", 245
sparam , 201
stakey , 245
"MSK_SK_UPR", 245
"MSK_SK_UNK", 245
"MSK_SK_SUPBAS", 245
"MSK_SK_LOW", 245
"MSK_SK_INF", 245
"MSK_SK_FIX", 245
"MSK_SK_BAS", 245
startpointtype , 245
"MSK_STARTING_POINT_SATISFY_BOUNDS", 245
"MSK_STARTING_POINT_GUESS", 245
"MSK_STARTING_POINT_FREE", 245
"MSK_STARTING_POINT_CONSTANT", 245
streamtype , 245
"MSK_STREAM_WRN", 245
"MSK_STREAM_MSG", 245
"MSK_STREAM_LOG", 245
"MSK_STREAM_ERR", 246
symmattype , 229
"MSK_SYMMAT_TYPE_SPARSE", 229
transpose , 223
"MSK_TRANSPOSE_YES", 223
"MSK_TRANSPOSE_NO", 223
uplo , 223

MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SCALING, 176
MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_SOLVE_FORM, 178
MSK_IPAR_INTPNT_STARTING_POINT, 179
MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_DEBUG, 170
MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_PAUSE_TIME, 170
MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_SUPPRESS_EXPIRE_WARNS, 170
MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_TRH_EXPIRY_WARN, 170
MSK_IPAR_LICENSE_WAIT, 170
MSK_IPAR_LOG, 179
MSK_IPAR_LOG_ANA_PRO, 180
MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI, 180
MSK_IPAR_LOG_BI_FREQ, 180
MSK_IPAR_LOG_CHECK_CONVEXITY, 180
MSK_IPAR_LOG_CUT_SECOND_OPT, 180
MSK_IPAR_LOG_EXPAND, 181
MSK_IPAR_LOG_FEAS_REPAIR, 181
MSK_IPAR_LOG_FILE, 181
MSK_IPAR_LOG_INCLUDE_SUMMARY, 181
MSK_IPAR_LOG_INFEAS_ANA, 181
MSK_IPAR_LOG_INTPNT, 181
MSK_IPAR_LOG_LOCAL_INFO, 181
MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO, 182
MSK_IPAR_LOG_MIO_FREQ, 182
MSK_IPAR_LOG_ORDER, 182
MSK_IPAR_LOG_PRESOLVE, 182
MSK_IPAR_LOG_RESPONSE, 182
MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY, 182
MSK_IPAR_LOG_SENSITIVITY_OPT, 183
MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM, 183
MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_FREQ, 183
MSK_IPAR_LOG_SIM_MINOR, 183
MSK_IPAR_LOG_STORAGE, 183
MSK_IPAR_MAX_NUM_WARNINGS, 183
MSK_IPAR_MIO_BRANCH_DIR, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_CONIC_OUTER_APPROXIMATION, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CLIQUE, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_CMIR, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_GMI, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_IMPLIED_BOUND, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_KNAPSACK_COVER, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_CUT_SELECTION_LEVEL, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_FEASPUMP_LEVEL, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_HEURISTIC_LEVEL, 184
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_BRANCHES, 185
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_RELAXS, 185
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_ROOT_CUT_ROUNDS, 185
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MAX_NUM_SOLUTIONS, 185
MSK_IPAR_MIO_MODE, 185
MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_OPTIMIZER, 185
MSK_IPAR_MIO_NODE_SELECTION, 186
MSK_IPAR_MIO_PERSPECTIVE_REFORMULATE, 186
MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROBING_LEVEL, 186
MSK_IPAR_MIO_PROPAGATE_OBJECTIVE_CONSTRAINT, 186
MSK_IPAR_MIO_RINS_MAX_NODES, 186
MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_OPTIMIZER, 186
MSK_IPAR_MIO_ROOT_REPEAT_PRESOLVE_LEVEL, 187
MSK_IPAR_MIO_SEED, 187
MSK_IPAR_MIO_VB_DETECTION_LEVEL, 187
MSK_IPAR_MT_SPINCOUNT, 187
MSK_IPAR_NUM_THREADS, 188
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_HEADER, 188
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_HINTS, 188
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_LINE_LENGTH, 188
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_PARAMETERS, 188
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_PROBLEM, 188
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_BAS, 189
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITG, 189
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOL_ITR, 189
MSK_IPAR_OPF_WRITE_SOLUTIONS, 189
MSK_IPAR_OPTIMIZER, 189
MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_CASE_NAME, 190
MSK_IPAR_PARAM_READ_IGN_ERROR, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_FILL, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_ELIMINATOR_MAX_NUM_TRIES, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LEVEL, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_ABS_WORK_TRH, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_REL_WORK_TRH, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_LINDEP_USE, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_PASS, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_MAX_NUM_REDUCTIONS, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRESOLVE_USE, 190
MSK_IPAR_PRIMAL_REPAIR_OPTIMIZER, 191
MSK_IPAR_PTF_WRITE_TRANSFORM, 191
MSK_IPAR_READ_DEBUG, 191
MSK_IPAR_READ_KEEP_FREE_CON, 191
MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_DROP_NEW_VARS_IN_BOU, 191
MSK_IPAR_READ_LP_QUOTED_NAMES, 191
MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_FORMAT, 192
MSK_IPAR_READ_MPS_WIDTH, 192
MSK_IPAR_READ_TASK_IGNORE_PARAM, 192
MSK_IPAR_REMOVE_UNUSED_SOLUTIONS, 192
MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_ALL, 192
MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_OPTIMIZER, 192
MSK_IPAR_SENSITIVITY_TYPE, 193
MSK_IPAR_SIM_BASIS_FACTOR_USE, 193
MSK_IPAR_SIM_DEGEN, 193
MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_CRASH, 193
MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_PHASEONE_METHOD, 193
MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION, 193
MSK_IPAR_SIM_DUAL_SELECTION, 193
MSK_IPAR_SIM_EXPLOIT_DUPVEC, 194
MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART, 194
MSK_IPAR_SIM_HOTSTART_LU, 194
MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_ITERATIONS, 194
MSK_IPAR_SIM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS, 194
MSK_IPAR_SIM_NON_SINGULAR, 194
MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_CRASH, 195
MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_PHASEONE_METHOD, 195
MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_RESTRICT_SELECTION, 195
MSK_IPAR_SIM_PRIMAL_SELECTION, 195
MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFACTOR_FREQ, 195
MSK_IPAR_SIM_REFORMULATION, 195
MSK_IPAR_SIM_SAVE_LU, 195

MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING, 196
MSK_IPAR_SIM_SCALING_METHOD, 196
MSK_IPAR_SIM_SEED, 196
MSK_IPAR_SIM_SOLVE_FORM, 196
MSK_IPAR_SIM_STABILITY_PRIORITY, 196
MSK_IPAR_SIM_SWITCH_OPTIMIZER, 196
MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_BASIC, 196
MSK_IPAR_SOL_FILTER_KEEP_RANGED, 197
MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_NAME_WIDTH, 197
MSK_IPAR_SOL_READ_WIDTH, 197
MSK_IPAR_SOLUTION_CALLBACK, 197
MSK_IPAR_TIMING_LEVEL, 197
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_CONSTRAINTS, 197
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_HEAD, 197
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_BAS_VARIABLES, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_COMPRESSION, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_DATA_PARAM, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_FREE_CON, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_GENERIC_NAMES_IO, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_IGNORE_INCOMPATIBLE_ITEMS, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_CONSTRAINTS, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_HEAD, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_INT_VARIABLES, 198
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_FULL_OBJ, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_LINE_WIDTH, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_QUOTED_NAMES, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_STRICT_FORMAT, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_LP_TERMS_PER_LINE, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_FORMAT, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_MPS_INT, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_PRECISION, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_BARVARIABLES, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_CONSTRAINTS, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_HEAD, 199
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_IGNORE_INVALID_NAMES, 200
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_SOL_VARIABLES, 200
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_TASK_INC_SOL, 200
MSK_IPAR_WRITE_XML_MODE, 200
String parameters, 201
MSK_SPAR_BAS_SOL_FILE_NAME, 201
MSK_SPAR_DATA_FILE_NAME, 201
MSK_SPAR_DEBUG_FILE_NAME, 201
MSK_SPAR_INT_SOL_FILE_NAME, 201
MSK_SPAR_ITR_SOL_FILE_NAME, 201
MSK_SPAR_MIO_DEBUG_STRING, 201
MSK_SPAR_PARAM_COMMENT_SIGN, 202
MSK_SPAR_PARAM_READ_FILE_NAME, 202
MSK_SPAR_PARAM_WRITE_FILE_NAME, 202
MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_BOU_NAME, 202
MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_OBJ_NAME, 202
MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RAN_NAME, 202
MSK_SPAR_READ_MPS_RHS_NAME, 202
MSK_SPAR_REMOTE_ACCESS_TOKEN, 203
MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_FILE_NAME, 203
MSK_SPAR_SENSITIVITY_RES_FILE_NAME, 203
MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_LOW, 203
MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XC_UPR, 203
MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_LOW, 203
MSK_SPAR_SOL_FILTER_XX_UPR, 203
MSK_SPAR_STAT_FILE_NAME, 203
MSK_SPAR_STAT_KEY, 203
MSK_SPAR_STAT_NAME, 203
MSK_SPAR_WRITE_LP_GEN_VAR_NAME, 204

Response codes

Termination, 204
"MSK_RES_OK", 204
"MSK_RES_TRM_INTERNAL", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_INTERNAL_STOP", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_MAX_ITERATIONS", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_MAX_NUM_SETBACKS", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_MAX_TIME", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_MIO_NUM_BRANCHES", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_MIO_NUM_RELAXS", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_NUM_MAX_NUM_INT_SOLUTIONS", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_NUMERICAL_PROBLEM", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_OBJECTIVE_RANGE", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_STALL", 205
"MSK_RES_TRM_USER_CALLBACK", 205
Warnings, 205
"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_ALMOST_INT_BOUNDS", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_C_ZERO", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_CLOSE_BOUNDS", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_EMPTY_COLS", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_ANA_LARGE_BOUNDS", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_DROPPED_NZ_QOBJ", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_BARVARIABLE_NAMES", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_CONE_NAMES", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_CONSTRAINT_NAMES", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_DUPLICATE_VARIABLE_NAMES", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_ELIMINATOR_SPACE", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_EMPTY_NAME", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_EXP_CONES_WITH_VARIABLES_FIXED_AT_ZERO", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_IGNORE_INTEGER", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_INCOMPLETE_LINEAR_DEPENDENCY_CHECK", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_AIJ", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_BOUND", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_CJ", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_CONEAX", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_LO_BOUND", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_LARGE_UP_BOUND", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_LICENSE_EXPIRE", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_LICENSE_FEATURE_EXPIRE", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_LICENSE_SERVER", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_LP_DROP_VARIABLE", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_LP_OLD_QUAD_FORMAT", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_MIO_INFEASIBLE_FINAL", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_MPS_SPLIT_BOU_VECTOR", 208

"MSK_RES_WRN_MPS_SPLIT_RAN_VECTOR", 203
"MSK_RES_WRN_MPS_SPLIT_RHS_VECTOR", 203
"MSK_RES_WRN_NAME_MAX_LEN", 204
"MSK_RES_WRN_NO_DUALIZER", 205
"MSK_RES_WRN_NO_GLOBAL_OPTIMIZER", 205
"MSK_RES_WRN_NZ_IN_UPR_TRI", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_OPEN_PARAM_FILE", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_PARAM_IGNORED_CMIO", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_PARAM_NAME_DOU", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_PARAM_NAME_INT", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_PARAM_NAME_STR", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_PARAM_STR_VALUE", 206
"MSK_RES_WRN_POW_CONES_WITH_ROOT_FIXED_AT_ZERO", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_PRESOLVE_OUTOF_SPACE", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_QUAD_CONES_WITH_ROOT_FIXED_AT_ZERO", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_RQUAD_CONES_WITH_ROOT_FIXED_AT_ZERO", 207
"MSK_RES_WRN_SOL_FILE_IGNORED_CON", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_SOL_FILE_IGNORED_VAR", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_SOL_FILTER", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_SPAR_MAX_LEN", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_SYM_MAT_LARGE", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_TOO_FEW_BASIS_VARS", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_TOO_MANY_BASIS_VARS", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_UNDEF_SOL_FILE_NAME", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_USING_GENERIC_NAMES", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_WRITE_CHANGED_NAMES", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_WRITE_DISCARDED_CFIX", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_ZERO_AIJ", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_ZEROS_IN_SPARSE_COL", 208
"MSK_RES_WRN_ZEROS_IN_SPARSE_ROW", 208
Errors, 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_AD_INVALID_CODELIST", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_ARRAY_TOO_SMALL", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_CB_CONNECT", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_FATAL_ERROR", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_API_INTERNAL", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_APPENDING_TOO_BIG_CONE", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARG_IS_TOO_LARGE", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARG_IS_TOO_SMALL", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_DIMENSION", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_IS_TOO_LARGE", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_LENNEQ", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_PERM_ARRAY", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_ARGUMENT_TYPE", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_BAR_VAR_DIM", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_BASIS", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_BASIS_FACTOR", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_BASIS_SINGULAR", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_BLANK_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_ACOORD", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_BCOORD", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_CON", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_INT", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_OBJ", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_OBJACOORD", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_POW_CONES", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_POW_STAR_CONES", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_PSDVAR", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_DUPLICATE_VAR", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_CON_TYPE", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_DIMENSION_OF_CONES", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_DOMAIN_DIMENSION", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_EXP_DIMENSION", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_INT_INDEX", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_NUMBER_OF_CONES", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER_CONE_INDEX", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_POWER_STAR_CONE_INDEX", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_PSDVAR_DIMENSION", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_INVALID_VAR_TYPE", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_NO_VARIABLES", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_NO_VERSION_SPECIFIED", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_OBJ_SENSE", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_PARSE", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_CONE_IS_TOO_LONG", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_CONE_MISMATCH", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_POWER_STAR_CONE_MISMATCH", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_SYNTAX", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_CONSTRAINTS", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_INTS", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_PSDVAR", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_FEW_VARIABLES", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_MANY_CONSTRAINTS", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_MANY_INTS", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_TOO_MANY_VARIABLES", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNHANDLED_POWER_CONE_TYPE", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNHANDLED_POWER_STAR_CONE_T 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CBF_UNSUPPORTED", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_CON_Q_NOT_NSD", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CON_Q_NOT_PSD", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_INDEX", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_OVERLAP", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_OVERLAP_APPEND", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_PARAMETER", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_REP_VAR", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_SIZE", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_TYPE", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_CONE_TYPE_STR", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_DATA_FILE_EXT", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_DUP_NAME", 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_AIJ", 213

"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_BARVARIABLE_NAMES" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_CONE_NAMES" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_CONSTRAINT_NAMES" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_DUPLICATE_VARIABLE_NAMES" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_END_OF_FILE" 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_FACTOR" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_FEASREPAIR_CANNOT_RELAX" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_FEASREPAIR_INCONSISTENT_BOUNDS" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_FEASREPAIR_SOLVING_RELAXED" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_LICENSE" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_OPEN" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_READ" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_FILE_WRITE" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_FINAL_SOLUTION" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_FIRST" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_FIRSTI" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_FIRSTJ" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_FIXED_BOUND_VALUES" 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_FLEXLM" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_FORMAT_STRING" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_GLOBAL_INV_CONIC_PROBLEM" 221
"MSK_RES_ERR_HUGE_AIJ" 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_HUGE_C" 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_IDENTICAL_TASKS" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_IN_ARGUMENT" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_ARR_IS_TOO_LARGE" 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_ARR_IS_TOO_SMALL" 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_IS_TOO_LARGE" 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_INDEX_IS_TOO_SMALL" 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_DOU_INDEX" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_DOU_NAME" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_INT_INDEX" 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_INT_NAME" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_LINT_INDEX" 212
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_LINT_NAME" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INF_TYPE" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INFEAS_UNDEFINED" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_INFINITE_BOUND" 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_INT64_TO_INT32_CAST" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_INTERNAL" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INTERNAL_TEST_FAILED" 221
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_APTRE" 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_BK" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_BKC" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_BKX" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_CONE_TYPE" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_CONE_TYPE_STR" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_MARKI" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_MARKJ" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_NAME_ITEM" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_NUMI" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_NUMJ" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_OPTIMIZER" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_PROBLEM" 221
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_QCON_SUBI" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_QCON_SUBJ" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_QCON_SUBK" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_QCON_VAL" 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_QOBJ_SUBI" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_QOBJ_SUBJ" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_QOBJ_VAL" 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SK" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SK_STR" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SKC" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SKN" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_SKX" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INV_VAR_TYPE" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_AIJ" 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_AMPL_STUB" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_BARVAR_NAME" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_COMPRESSION" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_CON_NAME" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_CONE_NAME" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_CFIX" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_CONES" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_FREE_CONST 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_NONLINEAR" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_RANGED_CO 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_FORMAT_FOR_SYM_MAT" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FILE_NAME" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_FORMAT_TYPE" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_IDX" 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_IOMODE" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_MAX_NUM" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_NAME_IN_SOL_FILE" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_OBJ_NAME" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_OBJECTIVE_SENSE" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_PROBLEM_TYPE" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_SOL_FILE_NAME" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_STREAM" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_SURPLUS" 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_SYM_MAT_DIM" 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_TASK" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_UTF8" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_VAR_NAME" 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_WCHAR" 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_INVALID_WHICHSOL" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_DATA" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_FORMAT" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_MISSING_DATA" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_NUMBER_OVERFLOW" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_STRING" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_JSON_SYNTAX" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAST" 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_LASTI" 214

"MSK_RES_ERR_LAST", 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_K", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_M", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_N", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_TRANS", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_TRANSA", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_TRANSB", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_ARG_UPLO", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_INVALID_LOWER_TRIANGULAR_MATRIX", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_INVALID_SPARSE_SYMMETRIC_MATRIX", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_NOT_POSITIVE_DEFINITE", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_SINGULAR_MATRIX", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LAU_UNKNOWN", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_CANNOT_ALLOCATE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_CANNOT_CONNECT", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_EXPIRED", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_FEATURE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_INVALID_HOSTID", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_MAX", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_MOSEKLM_DAEMON", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_NO_SERVER_LINE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_NO_SERVER_SUPPORT", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_SERVER", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_SERVER_VERSION", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LICENSE_VERSION", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_LINK_FILE_DLL", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_LIVING_TASKS", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_LOWER_BOUND_IS_A_NAN", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_DUP_SLACK_NAME", 224
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_EMPTY", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_FILE_FORMAT", 224
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_FORMAT", 224
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_FREE_CONSTRAINT", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_INCOMPATIBLE", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_INVALID_CON_NAME", 224
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_INVALID_VAR_NAME", 224
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_WRITE_CONIC_PROBLEM", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_LP_WRITE_GECO_PROBLEM", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_LU_MAX_NUM_TRIES", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAX_LEN_IS_TOO_SMALL", 224
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMBARVAR", 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMCON", 203
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMCONE", 203
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMQNZ", 203
"MSK_RES_ERR_MAXNUMVAR", 203
"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_INTERNAL", 221
"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_INVALID_NODE_OPTIMIZER", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_INVALID_ROOT_OPTIMIZER", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_MIO_NO_OPTIMIZER", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_MISSING_LICENSE_FILE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_MIXED_CONIC_AND_NL", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_CONE_OVERLAP", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_CONE_REPEAT", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_CONE_TYPE", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_DUPLICATE_Q_ELEMENT", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_FILE", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_BOUND_KEY", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_CON_KEY", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_FIELD", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_MARKER", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_SEC_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INV_SEC_ORDER", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INVALID_OBJ_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_INVALID_OBJSENSE", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_CON_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_CSEC", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_QOBJ", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_MUL_QSEC", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_NO_OBJECTIVE", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_NON_SYMMETRIC_Q", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_NULL_CON_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_NULL_VAR_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_SPLITTED_VAR", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_TAB_IN_FIELD2", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_TAB_IN_FIELD3", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_TAB_IN_FIELD5", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_UNDEF_CON_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MPS_UNDEF_VAR_NAME", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_MUL_A_ELEMENT", 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAME_IS_NULL", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAME_MAX_LEN", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAN_IN_BLC", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAN_IN_BLX", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAN_IN_BUC", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAN_IN_BUX", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAN_IN_C", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_NAN_IN_DOUBLE_DATA", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_NEGATIVE_APPEND", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_NEGATIVE_SURPLUS", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_NEWER_DLL", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_BARS_FOR_SOLUTION", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_BARX_FOR_SOLUTION", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_BASIS_SOL", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_DUAL_FOR_ITG_SOL", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_DUAL_INFEAS_CER", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_INIT_ENV", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_OPTIMIZER_VAR_TYPE", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_PRIMAL_INFEAS_CER", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_SNX_FOR_BAS_SOL", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NO_SOLUTION_IN_CALLBACK", 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_NON_UNIQUE_ARRAY", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_NONCONVEX", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_NONLINEAR_EQUALITY", 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_NONLINEAR_RANGED", 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_NULL_ENV", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_NULL_POINTER", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_NULL_TASK", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_NUM_ARGUMENTS", 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_NUMCONLIM", 213

"MSK_RES_ERR_NUMVAR", 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_OBJ_Q_NOT_NSD", 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_OBJ_Q_NOT_PSD", 215
"MSK_RES_ERR_OBJECTIVE_RANGE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_OLDER_DUMP", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_OPF_FORMAT", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_OPF_NEW_VARIABLE", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_OPF_PREMATURE_EOF", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_OPTIMIZER_LICENSE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_OVERFLOW", 207
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_INDEX", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_IS_TOO_LARGE", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_IS_TOO_SMALL", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME_DOU", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME_INT", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_NAME_STR", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_TYPE", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PARAM_VALUE_STR", 202
"MSK_RES_ERR_PLATFORM_NOT_LICENSED", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_POSTSOLVE", 207
"MSK_RES_ERR_PRO_ITEM", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_PROB_LICENSE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_PTF_FORMAT", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_QCON_SUBI_TOO_LARGE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_QCON_SUBI_TOO_SMALL", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_QCON_UPPER_TRIANGLE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_QOBJ_UPPER_TRIANGLE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_READ_FORMAT", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_READ_LP_MISSING_END_TAG", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_READ_LP_NONEXISTING_NAME", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_REMOVE_CONE_VARIABLE", 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_REPAIR_INVALID_PROBLEM", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_REPAIR_OPTIMIZATION_FAILED", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_BOUND_INVALID_LO", 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_BOUND_INVALID_UP", 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_FORMAT", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_INDEX_INVALID", 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_INDEX_RANGE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_INVALID_REGEXP", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_NUMERICAL", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_SOLUTION_STATUS", 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_UNDEF_NAME", 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_SEN_UNHANDLED_PROBLEM_TYPE", 218
"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_CONNECT", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_PROTOCOL", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_STATUS", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_SERVER_TOKEN", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_SHAPE_IS_TOO_LARGE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_CON", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_INTVAR", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_NUMCORES", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_SIZE_LICENSE_VAR", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_SLICE_SIZE", 217
"MSK_RES_ERR_SOL_FILE_INVALID_NUMBER", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_SOLITEM", 213
"MSK_RES_ERR_SOLVER_PROBTYPE", 214
"MSK_RES_ERR_SPACE", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_SPACE_LEAKING", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_SPACE_NO_INFO", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_DUPLICATE", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_HUGE", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_INVALID", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_INVALID_COL_INDEX", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_INVALID_ROW_INDEX", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_INVALID_VALUE", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_SYM_MAT_NOT_LOWER_TRINGULAR", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_TASK_INCOMPATIBLE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_TASK_INVALID", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_TASK_WRITE", 208
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_COND_INIT", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_CREATE", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_MUTEX_INIT", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_MUTEX_LOCK", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_THREAD_MUTEX_UNLOCK", 209
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTR_NOT_CONIC", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTR_Q_NOT_PSD", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTRAINT_FX", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_CONSTRAINT_RA", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOCONIC_OBJECTIVE_NOT_PSD", 222
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOO_SMALL_A_TRUNCATION_VALUE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOO_SMALL_MAX_NUM_NZ", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_TOO_SMALL_MAXNUMANZ", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNB_STEP_SIZE", 207
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNDEF_SOLUTION", 210
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNDEFINED_OBJECTIVE_SENSE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNHANDLED_SOLUTION_STATUS", 220
"MSK_RES_ERR_UNKNOWN", 207
"MSK_RES_ERR_UPPER_BOUND_IS_A_NAN", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_UPPER_TRIANGLE", 216
"MSK_RES_ERR_WHICHITEM_NOT_ALLOWED", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_WHICHSOL", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_WRITE_LP_FORMAT", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_WRITE_LP_NON_UNIQUE_NAME", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_WRITE_MPS_INVALID_NAME", 204
"MSK_RES_ERR_WRITE_OPF_INVALID_VAR_NAME", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_WRITING_FILE", 211
"MSK_RES_ERR_XML_INVALID_PROBLEM_TYPE", 219
"MSK_RES_ERR_Y_IS_UNDEFINED", 210

Structures

bara, 152
barc, 151
callback , 153
cones, 151

duasen, 153
names, 150
primal_repair, 152
prisen, 152
prisen_data, 152
prob, 148
res, 149
solution, 150
solver_solutions, 150

Index

A

a ne conic constraint, 24
analysis
    infeasibility, 125
asset, see portfolio optimization

B

basic
    solution, 36
basis identi cation, 113
basis type
    sensitivity analysis, 131
bound
    constraint, 11, 97, 100
    linear optimization, 11
    variable, 11, 97, 100

C

callback, 44
cardinality constraints, 78
CBF format, 274
certi cate, 37
    dual, 99, 103
    primal, 98, 102
Cholesky factorization, 74
complementarity, 98, 102
cone
    dual, 101
    dual exponential, 21
    exponential, 21
    power, 19
    quadratic, 17
    rotated quadratic, 17
    semide nite, 22
conic exponential optimization, 21
conic optimization, 17, 19, 21, 24, 100, 106
    interior-point, 116
    termination criteria, 118
conic quadratic optimization, 17
Conic quadratic reformulation, 59
constraint
    bound, 11, 97, 100
    linear optimization, 11
    matrix, 11, 97, 100
    quadratic, 105
correlation matrix, 69
covariance matrix, see correlation matrix
cut, 121

D

determinism, 67
dual
    certi cate, 99, 103
    cone, 101
    feasible, 98
    infeasible, 98, 99, 103
    problem, 98, 101, 104
    solution, 38
    variable, 98, 101
duality
    conic, 101
    linear, 98
    semide nite, 104
dualizer, 109

E

e cient frontier, 72
eliminator, 109
error
    optimization, 36
errors, 39
example
    qo1, 14
    quadratic objective, 14
exceptions, 39
exponential cone, 21

F

factor model, 74
feasible
    dual, 98
    primal, 97, 111, 117
    problem, 97
format, 41
    CBF, 274
    json, 292
    LP, 248
    MPS, 253
    OPF, 265
    PTF, 288
    sol, 300
    task, 292

G

geometric programming, 27
GP, 27

H

hot-start, 115

portfolio optimization, 69
cardinality constraints, 78
efficient frontier, 72
factor model, 74
market impact cost, 75
Markowitz model, 69
Pareto optimality, 69
slippage cost, 75
transaction cost, 77
positive semidefinite, 14
power cone, 19
power cone optimization, 19
presolve, 108
eliminator, 109
linear dependency check, 109
numerical issues, 109
primal
certificate, 98, 102
feasible, 97, 111, 117
infeasible, 97, 98, 102, 111, 118
problem, 98, 101, 104
solution, 38, 97
primal-dual
problem, 110, 117
solution, 98
problem
dual, 98, 101, 104
feasible, 97
infeasible, 97, 98, 104
load, 42
primal, 98, 101, 104
primal-dual, 110, 117
save, 41
status, 36
unbounded, 99, 103
PTF format, 288

Q

qo1
example, 14
quadratic
constraint, 105
quadratic cone, 17
quadratic objective
example, 14
quadratic optimization, 105
quality
solution, 123

R

relaxation, 121
repair
infeasibility, 125
response code, 39
rotated quadratic cone, 17

S

scaling, 109
semidefinite
cone, 22
infeasibility, 104
matrix, 22
variable, 22
semidefinite optimization, 22, 104
sensitivity analysis, 129
basis type, 131
shadow price, 131
simplex
linear optimization, 115
logging, 116
numerical issues, 115
optimizer, 115
parameter, 115
termination criteria, 115
slippage cost, 75
sol format, 300
solution
basic, 36
dual, 38
file format, 300
integer, 36
integer feasible, 122
interior-point, 36
near-optimal, 122
optimal, 37
primal, 38, 97
primal-dual, 98
quality, 123
retrieve, 36
status, 37
status
problem, 36
solution, 37
symmetric
matrix, 22

T

task format, 292
termination, 36
termination criteria, 44
conic optimization, 118
integer optimization, 122
interior-point, 112, 118
linear optimization, 112, 115
simplex, 115
tolerance, 113, 119, 122
thread, 67
time limit, 44
tolerance
integer optimization, 122
termination criteria, 113, 119, 122
transaction cost, 77
troubleshooting
installation, 5

U

unbounded
    problem, 99, 103
user callback, *see* callback

V

variable, 97, 100
    bound, 11, 97, 100
    dual, 98, 101
    integer, 29
    linear optimization, 11
    semidefinite, 22